

American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	AJIDD-D-22-00061R3
Article Type:	Research Report
Keywords:	intellectual and developmental disabilities; parent expectations; youth expectations; post-school goals
Corresponding Author:	Yi-Chen Wu, Ph.D. University of Minnesota Twin Cities Campus: University of Minnesota Twin Cities Minneapolis, MN UNITED STATES
First Author:	Yi-Chen Wu, Ph.D.
Order of Authors:	Yi-Chen Wu, Ph.D.
	Xueqin Qian
	Seunghye Lee
	David Johnson
	Martha Thurlow
Manuscript Region of Origin:	UNITED STATES
Abstract:	Using National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 data, this study explored parent and youth expectations in the areas of postsecondary education, employment, independent living, and financial independence. Compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) and their parents had much lower expectations for the four postschool goals, and parent expectations were much lower than youth's own expectations. Also, youth's race, along with their daily living skills and functional abilities, were positively associated with parent and youth expectations in several future goal areas. Our discussion highlights implications for improving the transition experiences of youth with IDD.

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Abstract

Using National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 data, this study explored parent and youth expectations in the areas of postsecondary education, employment, independent living, and financial independence. Compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) and their parents had much lower expectations for the four postschool goals, and parent expectations were much lower than youth's own expectations. Also, youth's race, along with their daily living skills and functional abilities, were positively associated with parent and youth expectations in several future goal areas. Our discussion highlights the need to examine how data are collected, and then can be used to reimagine how to use data to better understand and improve the transition experiences of youth with IDD.

Keywords: intellectual and developmental disabilities, parent expectations, youth expectations, post-school goals

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Youth with disabilities continue to exit high schools with far lower rates of employment and postsecondary education (PSE) enrollment compared to their peers without disabilities (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2020). Lipscomb et al. (2017a) using data from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012) found that youth with an individualized education program (IEP) were less likely to have plans and take steps to obtain PSE or employment compared to youth without an IEP. Findings from empirical studies (Chiang et al., 2012; Doren et al., 2012) have pointed to the importance of parent expectations in youth's post-school success, including those youth with disabilities and those from diverse racial/ethnic and language groups (Doren et al.; Kirby, 2016). Further, national data show that youth with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), intellectual disability (ID), and multiple disabilities (MD) (referred to here as youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities [IDD]) are least likely to receive assistance and support to prepare for college and employment (Lipscomb et al., 2017b).

Since the passage of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) of 1990, discussions about transition services are required in IEP meetings for youth with disabilities. For youth and their parents, transition planning is the process of setting goals for their life after high school, determining related transition services, and making necessary connections with community service agencies to attain those goals (Test et al., 2004). The reauthorization of IDEA in 2004 strengthened several earlier provisions of the law in relation to setting post-school goals. This included assessing the student's strengths, preferences, and needs; considering further education beyond high school; ensuring that post-school goals are measurable; and determining the transition service needed for the student to achieve post-school goals.

Despite the increasing efforts to improve transition services for students with IDD, post-school outcomes of these students remain dismal (Carter et al., 2012; Shattuck et al., 2012). For

example, in 2018 83% did not have a paid job in the community (National Core Indicators, 2021). In 2018-19, only 45% of students with MD graduated with a regular high school diploma, the lowest among all the disability categories (National Center for Education Statistics, 2021). In addition, more than 50% of young adults with ASD left high school with no participation in any kind of employment or PSE activities (Shattuck et al., 2012).

Students with disabilities from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds experience additional challenges in achieving positive transition outcomes following high school. Haber et al. (2016) found that being part of a minority group was associated with poorer post-school transition outcomes compared to non-minority students with disabilities. Studies have shown race can predict differential outcomes of youth with ASD and other disabilities (e.g., Chen et al., 2015; Fleming & Fairweather, 2012; Roux et al., 2020). Roux et al. found that high school students with autism who were Black/ African American were less likely to have working experiences compared to their white peers with the same disabilities. Fleming and Fairweather found race predicted participation in PSE for students of all disability categories with students from racial/ethnic minority groups less likely to attend a 4-year college. Several studies focusing on employment reported minority youth with a disability had worse employment outcomes compared to white youth with a disability (Chen et al.). Unfortunately, few research studies have been conducted to examine the relationship of race on PSE, employment, and other transition outcomes for students with IDD.

Researchers investigating predictors associated with positive post-school outcomes have found that parent expectations are one of the most robust predictors (Carter et al., 2012; Chiang et al., 2012). Youth with ASD were 277% more likely to participate in PSE if they had a parent who expected them to go to college or other postsecondary training opportunity (Chiang et al.). Moreover, youth with IDD whose parents expected them to be self-supporting were 3.43 times

more likely to have a paid job during their high school years compared to students whose parents did not expect them to be self-supporting (Carter et al., 2011). Finally, Carter et al. (2012) examined the extent to which student, family, and school factors were associated with paid employment status during the initial two years following high school among youth with IDD and found paid work experiences during high school years, higher social skills, and higher parent expectations were positively linked to increased odds of employment after high school.

Research has identified numerous correlates of parent expectations. Using data from the 2000 National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (NLTS2), Kirby (2016) found family background (e.g., race, household income, mother's education) and the student's functional performances (e.g., self-care, independent living skills) were associated with parent expectations for paid jobs and future independent living. These, in turn, significantly predicted paid work, independent living, and social participation outcomes. Using a different sample of students, Holmes et al. (2018) found age, gender, and household income not to be associated with parent expectations for youth financial independence, school attainment, and independent living outcomes.

To date, only a few studies have compared youth and their parents' expectations. Using NLTS2 data, Kirby and colleagues (2019) found parents of students with disabilities held lower expectations for youth postsecondary goals than youth themselves, and both youth and parent expectations were positively associated with postsecondary outcomes. Trainor and colleagues (2019) examined post-school expectations of English learners (ELs) with disabilities and found no significant differences between youth and parent expectations for PSE for both ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities. Although both studies compared youth and parent expectations, neither examined the data only for students with IDD.

Taken together, expectations and goal setting for post-school outcomes play important roles in the successful transition of youth to post-school lives (Mazzotti et al., 2021). Identifying

potential factors associated with parent and student expectations toward post-school goals for students with IDD, including those from racial/ethnic groups and ELs, may help better support youth and their families and result in better youth post-school success.

Our study investigated the expectations of youth with IDD and their parents in the areas of PSE, employment, independent living, and financial independence using the most recently collected nationally representative data from NLTS 2012. This study extended previous studies by examining multiple post-school domains reported by parents and youth with IDD: expectations for future participation in PSE or employment, independent living, and financial independence. Three research questions were addressed:

1. To what extent are parent and youth expectations about post-school goals different for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities?
2. To what extent do differences exist in parent and youth with IDD expectations for post-school goals found between youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities?
3. To what degree do student characteristics (e.g., race, EL status, gender, age), student's education history (e.g., held back a grade), family characteristics (e.g., family income, parent highest education level), and parent involvement predict youth and parent expectations for post-school goals for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities?

Method

NLTS 2012

The NLTS 2012 sampling process was designed to allow the results to generalize to the full population of youth receiving special education services in the United States. A two-stage national probability sample was established to produce precise, nationally representative estimates of the backgrounds and experiences of youth who receive special education services. The first stage consisted of selecting a stratified national probability sample of districts and

recruiting those districts to participate. Districts included local education agencies, charter schools that operate independently, and state-sponsored special schools that serve deaf and/or blind youth. The second stage consisted of selecting a stratified sample of youth from each of the districts that agreed to participate. The two-stage sampling design resulted in an overall sample of youth with and without disabilities of 21,959 youth, of which 17,476 were youth with an IEP in 432 participating districts (Burghardt et al., 2017). The sample of districts was stratified to represent different geographic regions, district size, and other factors.

Data collection was conducted from February-October 2012 and from January-August 2013. A total of 10,459 parent surveys of youth on IEPs were completed (12,988 parent surveys were completed for youth with and without disabilities), representing a 60% unweighted response rate (Burghardt et al., 2017). Across the two years of data collection, 8,960 youth with IEPs had completed the youth survey, representing a 51% unweighted response rate. Youth were ages 12-22 when the interviews took place. Less than 2% of the youth were 12 years old, and less than 1% of them were 22 years of age. All youth were enrolled in grades 7-12 or in a secondary ungraded class at the time of the sampling.

Sample

In this study, we included a sample of youth referred to as IDD who were 14-22 years old in December 2011 and in three disability categories (ASD, ID, and MD), who were enrolled in school when the data were collected, and who attended themselves or their parents attended the IEP meeting, including a transition planning meeting. For comparison purposes, youth with IEPs enrolled in school, not in these three disability categories and they or their parents attended the IEP meeting were also included in the study.

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the sample. The overall sample included 2,280 enrolled youth with IDD (ASD: $n = 710$; weight % = 30.9%; ID: $n = 890$, weighted % = 54.2%;

and MD: $n = 670$, weighted % = 14.9%) and 4,030 enrolled youth with other disabilities.

Significant group differences were found for age ($p < .001$), EL status ($p < .05$), youth's education history (ever held back a grade: $p < .05$, ever expelled from school: $p < .001$, and ever had out-of-school suspension: $p < .001$), youth functional ability and daily living index scores ($p < .001$), and parent involvement at home ($p < .001$). The two groups were similar in racial/ethnic composition. The unweighted sample size reported in this study was rounded to the nearest 10 because of the Institute of Education Science (IES) data reporting requirement for using restricted data sets. In total, data were available for 329,094 weighted enrolled youth with IDD and 1,216,088 weighted youth with other disabilities.

Measures

Youth Future Goal Aspirations and Parent Expectations. Seven measures of post-school goal aspirations for youth and parents were included in this study: (a) PSE (student and parent surveys); (b) independent living (student and parent surveys); (c) financial independence (student and parent surveys); and (d) employment (student survey only). Responses to each of these four measures were coded into dichotomous variables (a) education beyond high school was coded as 1 = yes or 0 = no; (b) where youth would live when 30 years old was coded as 1 = yes, living independently, which was a combination of “*On your own – without friends or family,*” “*With friends,*” “*With a spouse or partner,*” or “*In military housing*”; all other responses were coded as 0, which included “*At home with parents,*” “*With a relative,*” “*In a group home, or With supervision,*” or “*In a large facility with paid staff*”; (c) financial independence by age 30 was coded as 1 = “*definitely will*” or “*probably will*” earn enough to support themselves without financial help from their family or government support program, or 0 = “*probably won't*” or “*definitely won't*”; and (d) paid job by age 30 (student only) was coded as 1 = “*definitely will*” or “*probably will*” and 0 = “*probably won't*” and “*definitely won't*.”

Student Demographics and Characteristics. Information on the demographics of youth was obtained from district records. The information included: IEP status, limited English proficient status, grade, age (in December 2011), gender, ethnicity (non-Black, any Black, Hispanic, and multi/other), and free/reduced lunch status. We used *youth functional abilities index* (range 0-3) to measure youth functional abilities and *youth daily living index* to measure the extent to which youth can complete typical teenage tasks independently (Burghardt et al., 2017). Both indexes were derived from responses to the parent survey, with higher values representing greater abilities. Example components of the functional abilities index (parent report) included how well youth communicate by any means, speak clearly, carry on an oral conversation, and understand what others say to them. Examples of the daily living index included items regarding how well youth can do tasks without help such as using an ATM or cash machine, making appointments, and getting to places outside the home like school or park

Student's Educational History. Three items were selected from the parent survey to create measures of the student's educational history. They were: (a) ever held back a grade, (b) expelled from school, and (c) out-of-school suspension. Each was coded as a binary variable.

Family Demographic Measures. Four family demographic measures from the parent survey were included in our analyses – household income, parent education, parent involvement at home, and parent involvement at school. Parents reported their most recent *annual household income*; because of small samples in some of the original categories, responses were recoded into four categories: less than \$20,000, \$20,001-\$40,000, \$40,010-\$60,000, and over \$60,000. Parents' reports of the *highest education level* for themselves and their spouse or partner were recoded into three categories (less than high school, high school or GED, and more than high school) because of small cell sizes.

Parent Involvement. For *parent involvement at home*, parents were asked how often they spoke to the child about his or her school experiences (1 = *not at all* to 4 = *regularly*) and how often they helped their child with his or her homework (1 = *never* to 4 = *3 or more times a week*). A composite score was created by summing up the responses of these two questions to present parent involvement at home, with a score ranging from 2 to 8. For *parent involvement at school*, parents were asked how frequently in the current school year, they or another adult in the household had attended a general school meeting (e.g., back to school night), attended a school or class event (e.g., a play or sports event), volunteered at school (e.g., chaperoned a class field trip), or gone to a parent/teacher conference with youth's teacher. The sum of responses (1 = 1-2 times to 4 = more than 5-6 times) to these four items was created to present the measure of parent involvement at school; scores ranged from 0 to 16 points, with higher values representing greater parental involvement.

Data Analysis

Statistics were weighted using youth enrolled weights to represent population estimates. Unweighted sample sizes and weighted percentages were presented for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities. Chi-square tests of homogeneity were conducted to examine whether parent and youth expectations for post-school goals were different for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities. Chi-square tests were also conducted to examine parent-youth differences in post-school expectations.

Multiple logistic regressions were conducted to explore the significant predictors of parent and youth expectations. Regression models estimated the adjusted effects of independent variables (gender, ethnicity, free/reduced lunch status, age, functional abilities index score, daily living index scores, student's school experiences of being held back a grade, being expelled from school, and having an out-of-school suspension, household income, parent highest education,

and parent involvement at home and at school) on four indicators of youth future goal aspirations (PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment) and three indicators of parent expectations (PSE, independent living, and financial independence) for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities.

Missing Data. Approximately 50% of weighted and unweighted data from NLTS 2012 youth survey were missing (Burghardt et al., 2017). The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) conducted nonresponse bias analyses and suggested that nonresponse adjustments to the weights succeeded in limiting the potential for bias (Burghardt et al.). Data were not missing at random, thus imputation was not performed. The missing rates were calculated for unweighted sample size, ranging from 37.9% to 38.5% for youth with IDD and 47.2% to 48.1% for youth with other disabilities across the four measures of *youth expectations*. Across the three measures of *parent expectations*, missing rates ranged from 2.5% to 14.0% for youth with IDD. Only 42.4% of the NLTS 2012 survey items were completed by youth themselves (Bloomenthal et al., 2017). SAS logistic regression procedures eliminate any cases with missing values on any variable included in the analyses. Therefore, the missing rate for each logistic regression model was only calculated for data with valid responses for each dependent variable. The weighted missing rates across logistic regression models ranged from 37.9% to 48.1% for youth with IDD and 36.6% to 45.9% for youth with other disabilities.

Results

Research Question 1: Parent and Youth Expectations

Table 2 presents the results of parent and youth expectations for each youth's post-school goals. Compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with IDD had lower future goal expectations in all four areas: obtaining PSE ($\chi^2 = 75.9, p < .001$), independent living ($\chi^2 = 101.7, p < .001$), financial independence ($\chi^2 = 39.0, p < .001$), and employment ($\chi^2 = 19.0, p < .001$).

Similar results were found for parent expectations, with parents of youth with IDD showing lower expectations for obtaining PSE ($\chi^2 = 170.6, p < .001$), independent living ($\chi^2 = 298.3, p < .001$), and financial independence ($\chi^2 = 246.4, p < .001$), compared to parents of youth with other disabilities.

Research Question 2: Differences in Parent and Youth Expectations for Post-school Goals

Compared to youth expectations for their post-school goals, parents had significantly lower expectations for all three post-school goals for youth with IDD (PSE: $\chi^2 = 26.5, p < .001$, living independently: $\chi^2 = 37.8, p < .001$, and financial independence: $\chi^2 = 66.2, p < .001$). Similar results were found for youth with other disabilities (PSE: $\chi^2 = 20.8, p < .001$ and financial independence: $\chi^2 = 6.3, p < .05$).

Research Question 3: Predictors of Youth and Parent Post-school Expectations

Full model logistic regression analyses for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities were conducted to explore significant predictors of youth's expectations toward themselves in the areas of PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment (see Table 3) and parent expectations for youth post-school goals (PSE, independent living, and financial independence; see Table 4).

Youth Expectations

Youth with IDD. Compared to male youth with IDD, female youth with IDD were more likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 1.49, p < .05$). Functional abilities index score was a positive predictor for youth expectations toward financial independence ($p < .01$) and employment ($p < .01$). Furthermore, the daily living index score was found to be a significant predictor of all dependent variables except for the employment area.

Youth with Other Disabilities. For youth with other disabilities, functional abilities index score was positively associated with expectations for independent living ($p < .001$); financial

independence ($p < .01$), and employment ($p < .05$). Youth's daily living index score was positively associated with the expectations toward PSE ($p < .01$) and financial independence ($p < .01$). Youth with other disabilities who were Hispanic were more likely than non-Black youth to expect to be living independently ($OR = 2.62, p < .05$), to be financially independent ($OR = 16.38, p < .05$), and to be employed ($OR > 999.99, p < .001$). Youth with other disabilities who were from multi- or other races were more likely than non-Black youth to expect to be employed ($OR > 999.99, p < .001$). Youth with other disabilities who were ELs were more likely to be financially independent ($OR = 9.51, p < .05$) by age 30 compared to youth with other disabilities who were non-ELs. Youth with other disabilities from families with more than \$60,000 annual household income were more than twice as likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.82, p < .001$) compared to youth with other disabilities from families with less than \$20,000 annual household income. Compared to youth whose parents had a high school diploma or GED, youth with other disabilities whose parents had more than a high school diploma were more likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 1.78, p < .01$); youth whose parents had less than a high school diploma were less likely to expect they would be financially independent by age 30 ($OR = 0.29, p < .01$). Furthermore, the student's expectation for living independently by age 30 was significantly associated with parent involvement at school ($p < .01$).

Parent Expectations

Youth with IDD. Compared to parents of non-Black students with IDD, parents of youth with IDD who were Hispanic were more than twice as likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.8, p < .01$) and parents of youth with IDD who were multi races or other were less likely to expect their child to live independently ($OR = 0.2, p < .01$). Compared to parents of youth who were not ELs, parents of youth with IDD who were ELs were more than twice as likely to expect their child to live independently ($OR = 2.1, p < .01$). Both functional abilities index and daily

living index scores were positively associated with parents' post-school expectations for youth with IDD. Age was significantly negatively associated with financial independence by age 30 ($p < .001$). Parents of older children had lower expectations for financial independence. Parents of youth with IDD who had an out-of-school suspension were less likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 0.63, p < .05$), compared to parents of youth with IDD who did not have an out-of-school suspension. Compared to parents of youth with IDD who had less than \$20,000 annual household income, parents of youth with IDD with \$20,000-\$40,000 annual household income were more likely to expect their child would obtain PSE ($OR = 1.66, p < .05$). Compared to parents of youth with IDD who had a high school diploma, parents of youth with IDD with more than a high school diploma were more likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.29, p < .001$). Also, parent involvement at home was positively associated with the expectation the child would obtain PSE ($p < .05$).

Youth with Other Disabilities. Compared to parents of non-Black youth, parents of Black youth with other disabilities were more likely to expect their children to be financially independent by age 30 ($OR = 1.70, p < .05$). Compared to parents of youth with other disabilities who were non-ELs, parents of youth with other disabilities who were ELs were more than twice as likely to expect their child to be financially independent by age 30, ($OR = 2.18, p < .05$). For parents of youth with other disabilities, the student's functional abilities index score and daily living index score were positively associated with all three parent post-school expectations ($p < .001$). Parents were more likely to expect female students with other disabilities to live independently compared to male students with other disabilities ($p < .05$). Further, negative associations were found with age for living independently ($p < .01$) and financial independency ($p < .05$). Parents with an annual household income of more than \$60,000 had higher expectations for their child for PSE ($OR = 1.77, p < .05$) and living independently ($OR = 2.26, p$

< .01) compared to parents with less than \$20,000 annual household income. Parents with more than a high school diploma were more likely to report that their child would obtain PSE ($OR = 1.58, p < .01$) than parents with less than a high school diploma.

Discussion

Having high expectations about one's future is important for all youth, including youth with IDD (Southward & Kyzar, 2017). We analyzed NLTS 2012 data to explore student and parent expectations on post-school goals (i.e., PSE, employment, independent living, and financial independence). We found that compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with IDD and their parents had much lower expectations across all four post-school goals. Additionally, youth with IDD had much higher expectations for themselves compared to those reported by their parents. Finally, youth with IDD who had greater daily living skills tended to have higher expectations for PSE, independent living, and financial independence, but not for employment. The same pattern was also found for parent expectations.

The contribution of race/ethnicity or EL status to the expectation of youth and parents was more variable. For example, race/ethnicity did not affect the expectations of youth with IDD but did affect the expectations of youth with other disabilities (e.g., being Hispanic, compared to being non-Black, was positively related to expectations for living independently, being financially independent, and being employed after high school). Doren et al (2012) in their analysis of NLTS-2 data found that parents' expectations differed based on race/ethnicity. Parents of African American adolescents with disabilities held significantly lower expectations than parents of Caucasian adolescents with disabilities for their adolescent graduating from high school. Further, no significant differences in parent expectations were found among the three major ethnic groups studied (Caucasian, African American, and Hispanic) for obtaining paid employment after high school. EL status was related only to the post-school goal of financial independence for youth with

other disabilities. Wu and others (2021) examined NLTS 2012 data to explore ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities for four post-school goals: PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment. They found that only the post-school goal of being financially independent was related to EL status. Our finding is consistent with the findings of Wu et al. for ELs with other disabilities. EL status seems to place extra barriers on the expectations of these students for being financially independent. Achieving English proficiency might be a plus for these students to find a job after high and being able to become financially independent.

Our findings extend the current literature on this topic in several ways. First, we found that youth with IDD and their parents had lower expectations for youth post-school goals compared to those with other disabilities, especially in the area of PSE. Specifically, half of youth with IDD did not plan to pursue any PSE options, and over 60% of their parents also did not expect them to participate in any PSE or training. PSE is viewed as one of the pathways to competitive integrated employment, higher-paying jobs, economic independence, and independent living for youth with IDD. Parents and youth should be made aware of PSE options available for youth with IDD, regardless of the level of severity of the disability.

Second, across post-school goals (i.e., obtaining PSE, living independently by age 30, and functionally self-supporting by age 30), youth with IDD reported a much more positive outlook on their future compared to their parents. Approximately, 90% of the youth reported that they would have a job by age 30 whereas only 45% of parents expected their child to do so. One possible explanation is that service and support systems for individuals with IDD are complex and fragmented (Lee & Burke, 2020), and many parents are unfamiliar with these services (Schutz et al., 2021). Also, these services often have a long waitlist. For example, home and community-based services provide opportunities for individuals with IDD to receive services that support employment and independent living at home or community. Yet, across the nation,

36 states report 216,328 individuals living with a family are on a waitlist for services (Larson et al., 2017). All these factors may have contributed to a relatively negative outlook reported by parents of youth with IDD regarding future goals. Additionally, this finding may suggest a different set of variables contribute to youth and parent expectations, and future studies are needed to understand what malleable factors account for the gap between expectations reported by youth and their parents.

Third, across youth and parents' expectations for both youth with IDD and other disabilities, one consistent finding is that daily living skills (e.g., fixing breakfast or lunch, doing laundry, or buying things from stores) are positively linked to at least one of the post-school goals. This finding is not surprising given that self-care/independent living skills (e.g., making meals for oneself) have been identified as the promising predictor of PSE and employment for transition-aged youth with disabilities (Mazzotti et al., 2021; Test et al., 2009). This finding suggests the importance of incorporating teaching daily living skills within academics for adolescents with IDD, regardless of the severity of the disability.

Fourth, parent involvement, including at home and school, was not significantly associated with either student or parent expectations. Based on the previous literature examining parent involvement for students with IDD (Doren et al., 2012), we expected that parents who are more involved at home and school would have higher expectations for their child. One possible explanation is that items used in NLTS 2012 to assess parent involvement were about whether parents help with homework at home or attend school conferences. Although these forms of involvement are important, they may not be directly linked to the transition planning process. In the context of transition planning, parent involvement refers to the means by which parents have access to information about PSE and career options for their child's future, parents' participation in school or non-school sponsored transition planning activities as well as involvement in advocating

and supporting organizations (Griffin et al., 2010). These forms of parent involvement may be more relevant to parents' expectations for their child's educational and career goals. Future research should investigate whether this is the case.

Limitations

Several limitations need to be acknowledged. First, the NLTS 2012 codes for race/ethnicity also limited the extent to which we could explore the contribution of this student characteristic to student and parent expectations. In the NLTS 2012 data set, race/ethnicity was coded as non-Black, any Black, Hispanic, multi- and other. These codes are not the same as those used in other data sets (Office of Management and Budget, 1995), which typically include, for example, White, Black, Asian, American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders, and Multiracial. If we had had codes with greater differentiation, we would have been able to more explicitly examine the contribution of these variables to student and parent expectations.

A second limitation is that NLTS 2012 looked at youth and parent expectations at one time point. Thus, it is impossible to know the temporal relation between youth and parent expectations. It would have been ideal to have the data collected across multiple time points to address how consistent the expectations would be different across time points and change patterns of youth and parent expectations. It may be the case that parent expectations decrease as their child gets older. Another limitation of the data set is the lack of information on teacher expectations. Given that youth spend a considerable amount of time spend at school, teacher expectations may also play an important role in influencing youth and parent expectations.

Implications

Although our findings have important implications for the future of schooling for transition age youth with IDD, including youth from diverse backgrounds, an important aspect of

improving the educational system for these students is to set up data collection systems that better enable us to study their educational experiences and outcomes. The lack of data on students identified as having IDD, including those from diverse backgrounds, significantly limits what we can say about their expectations and those of their parents. Data systems should be set up to identify these students, and over sample them to ensure adequate data to understand their educational experiences and outcomes.

Despite the data limitations, our findings do have implications for schools to better serve students from diverse racial/ethnic and language backgrounds who have IDD. For example, special educators who support these students need training (preservice and in-service) on how to integrate academic skills and functional skills in their instruction. This, of course, should occur before students are transition age. Although instruction should be integrated, daily living skills need to be addressed in the IEP, discussed during transition planning meetings if not resolved before the student is transition-aged, explicitly taught throughout the day (integrated with academics), and generalized across settings.

The lower expectations of parents may indicate a need for special educators to provide relevant information and training for parents, so that parents are aware of resources available in the community. Due to extensive waiting lists for services in many states, consideration should also be given to beginning discussions with parents regarding the post-school services needs of their child much earlier than age 16 as now required by IDEA.

Our study also indicates that the predictors of post-school goals for youth with IDD and their parents are different from youth with other disabilities and their parents. For example, youth with IDD and their parents had lower expectations for youth' post-school education compared to those with other disabilities. Thus, schools may consider providing youth with IDD and their parents with different supports or services than other student groups to increase their

expectations on post-school goals. Further, the study found that overall, across disability groups, youth held higher expectations for post-secondary education, independent living, and financial independence than did their parents. The extent to which students and their parents have divergent goal expectations for a student's movement into independence has implications for the transition planning process. Schools need to address differences in goal expectations between students and parents to avoid disagreements and challenges that may occur in setting future transition goals. Doren et al. (2012) noted in their research on parent expectations that school personnel may need to help some parents disentangle their expectations for their child from those based on their own experiences by providing information and consultation on supports, accommodations, and service available to support their child in achieving future goals they envision for themselves. Promoting high expectations for all students, including students with IDD and those from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds, is clearly a foundational goal for schools.

Further, providing all students with disabilities, including students with IDD and those from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds, opportunities for PSE and integrated competitive employment needs to be further emphasized. Significant strides have been made through research demonstrating the capacities of youth and young adults to successfully achieve these transition outcomes. We call for more schools to establish coordinated efforts and resources to improve the expectations from both parents and youth toward obtaining PSE and training and employment. A young person's future financial independence and opportunity to live independently and fully participate in their communities will not occur if high expectations are not shared by parents, students and by school personnel.

References

- Bloomenthal, A., Haimson, J., Lipscomb, S., Liu, A.Y., Potter, F., & Waits, T. (2017). *National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 restricted-use data file: Sampling and 2012-2013 survey data (NCEE 2017–4020)*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (2020, February 26). *Persons with a disability: Labor force characteristics — 2019*. <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/disabl.pdf>
- Burghardt, J., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Lipscomb, S., Potter, F., Waits, T., & Wang, S. (2017). *National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 design documentation (NCEE 2017–4021)*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Carter, E. W., Austin, D., & Trainor, A. A. (2011). Factors associated with the early work experiences of adolescents with severe disabilities. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 49*(4), 233-247. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1934-9556-49.4.233>
- Carter, E. W., Austin, D., & Trainor, A. A. (2012). Predictors of postschool employment outcomes for young adults with severe disabilities. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies, 23*, 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1044207311414680>
- Chen, J. L., Sung, C., & Pi, S. (2015). Vocational rehabilitation service patterns and outcomes for individuals with autism of different ages. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 45*(9), 3015-3029. DOI 10.1007/s10803-015-2465-y
- Chiang, H. M., Cheung, Y. K., Hickson, L., Xiang, R., & Tsai, L. Y. (2012). Predictive factors of participation in postsecondary education for high school leavers with autism. *Journal of*

- Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42(5), 685–696. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-011-1297-7>
- Doren, B., Gau, J. M., & Lindstrom, L. E. (2012). The relationship between parent expectations and postschool outcomes of adolescents with disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 79(1), 7-23. <https://doi-org.ezp2.lib.umn.edu/10.1177/001440291207900101>
- Fleming, A. R., & Fairweather, J. S. (2012). The Role of Postsecondary Education in the Path From High School to Work for Youth With Disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 55(2), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355211423303>
- Griffin, M. M., McMillan, E. D., & Hodapp, R. M. (2010). Family perspectives on post-secondary education for students with intellectual disabilities. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, 45(3), 339-346. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23880108>
- Haber, M. G., Mazzotti, V. L., Mustian, A. L., Rowe, D. A., Bartholomew, A. L., Test, D. W., & Fowler, C. H. (2016). What works, when, for whom, and with whom: A meta-analytic review of predictors of postsecondary success for students with disabilities. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(1), 123-162. DOI: 10.3102/0034654315583135
- Holmes, L.G., Kirby, A. V., Strassberg, D. S., & Hilme, M. B. (2018). Parent expectations and preparatory activities as adolescents with ASD transition to adulthood. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 48(9), 2925-2937. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-018-3545-6>
- Kirby A. V. (2016). Parent expectations mediate outcomes for young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism Developmental Disorder*, 46, 1673-1655. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-015-2691-3>

- Kirby A. V., Dell'armo. K., & Persch, A. C. (2019). Differences in youth and parent postsecondary expectations for youth with disabilities. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 51, 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JVR-191027>
- Larson, S.A., Eschenbacher, H.J., Anderson, L.L., Taylor, B., Pettingell, S., Hewitt, A., Sowers, M., & Fay, M.L. (2017). In-home and residential long-term supports and services for persons with intellectual or developmental disabilities: Status and trends through 2014. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Institute on Community Integration.
- Lee, C. E., & Burke, M. M. (2020). Future planning among families of individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities: A systematic review. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 17(2), 94-107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jppi.12324>
- Lipscomb, S., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Burghardt, J., Johnson, D.R., & Thurlow, M.L. (2017a). *Preparing for life after high school: The characteristics and experiences of youth in special education. Findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012. Volume 1: Comparisons with other youth: Full report* (NCEE 2017-4016). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Lipscomb, S., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Burghardt, J., Johnson, D.R., & Thurlow, M.L. (2017b). *Preparing for life after high school: The characteristics and experiences of youth in special education. Findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012. Volume 2: Comparisons across disability groups: Full report* (NCEE 2017-4018). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Mazzotti, V. L., Rowe, D. A., Kwiatek, S., Voggt, A., Chang, W.-H., Fowler, C. H., Poppen, M., Sinclair, J., & Test, D. W. (2021). Secondary Transition Predictors of Postschool Success:

An Update to the Research Base. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 44(1) 47–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2165143420959793>

National Center for Education Statistics (2021). *Students with disabilities*. National Center for Education Statistics. Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/pdf/2021/cgg_508c.pdf

National Core Indicators (2021). Chart generator 2017-18. Human Services Research Institute and the National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services.

Retrieved from <http://www.nationalcoreindicators.org/charts>. Office of Management and Budget (1995). *Standards for the classification of federal data on race and ethnicity*.

https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/omb/fedreg_race-ethnicity.

Roux, A. M., Rast, J. E., Garfield, T., Anderson, K. A., & Shattuck, P. T. (2020). Prevalence and correlates of work experiences among high school students on the autism spectrum. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 58(4), 273–287.

Schutz, M. A., Awsumb, J. M., Carter, E. W., & McMillian, E. D. (2021). Parent perspectives on pre-employment transition services for youth with disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355221993542>

Shattuck, P. , Narendorf, S. C., Cooper, B., Sterzing, P. R., Wagner, M., & Taylor, J. L. (2012). Postsecondary education and employment among youth with an autism spectrum disorder. *Pediatrics*, 129(6), 1042-1049. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1542/peds.2011-2864>

Southward, J. D., & Kyzar, K. (2017). Predictors of competitive employment for students with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, 52(1), 26-37.doi: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26420373>

Test, D. W., Mason, C., Hughes, C., Konrad, M., Neale, M., & Wood, W. M. (2004). Student involvement in individualized education program meetings. *Exceptional Children*, 70, 391–412. <https://doi-org.ezp2.lib.umn.edu/10.1177/001440290407000401>

Trainor, A. A., Newman, L., Garcia, E., Woodley, H. H., Traxler, R. E., & Deschene, D. N.

(2019). Postsecondary education-focused transition planning experiences of English learners with disabilities. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 42(1), 43-55. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2165143418811830>

Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. R. (2021). A national view of transition planning participation and post-school goals for English learners with disabilities. *Exceptionality*, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09362835.2021.1938060>

Table 1*Demographic Information for youth with IDD and youth with Other Disabilities*

Characteristics	Youth with IDD (n ^a = 2,280)		Youth with other disabilities (n ^a = 4,030)	
	n ^a	% ^b	n ^a	% ^b
Gender				
Male	1,500	66.0	2,580	67.6
Female	770	34.0	1,430	32.4
Race				
Non-Black	1,530	67.7	2,630	67.0
Any Black	500	23.5	880	22.3
Multi / Other	40	1.8	110	2.8
Hispanic	140	7.0	270	7.9
Free/Reduced Lunch				
No	850	44.4	1,370	41.9
Yes	960	55.6	1,920	58.1
English learner status (F = 5.14 [*])				
No	1,890	94.4	3,320	91.4
Yes	110	5.6	310	8.6
Ever held back a grade (F = 6.36 [*])				
No	1,500	64.5	2,510	60.5
Yes	750	35.5	1,490	39.5
Ever expelled from school (F = 16.90 ^{***})				

No	2,150	94.8	3,610	90.7
Yes	120	5.2	400	9.3
Ever had out-of-school suspension (F = 36.44 ^{***})				
No	1,810	77.5	2,700	66.5
Yes	460	22.5	1,320	33.5
Household income				
\$20,000 or less	180	29.4	1,050	27.6
\$20,001 to \$40,000	580	25.2	960	26.0
\$40,001 to \$60,000	510	15.4	590	16.0
Over \$60,000	510	30.1	1,150	30.4
Parent highest education level				
Less than high school	280	14.0	540	14.5
High school diploma or GED	840	39.7	1,490	38.2
More than high school	1,100	46.3	1,920	47.3
Variables	<i>n^a</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n^a</i>	<i>M</i>
Age	2,280	16.2	4,030	15.5
Parent involvement at home	2,270	6.2	4,020	6.4
Parent involvement at home	2,280	4.3	4,020	4.5
Functional index score (F = 449.37 ^{***})	2,220	2.4	3,970	2.8
Daily living index score (F = 513.88 ^{***})	2,160	1.0	3,810	1.7

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

^b Weighted %

Table 2*Results of Descriptive Analysis for Youth and Parents' Responses to Future Goal Aspirations*

Items	Youth with IDD		Youth with other disabilities	
	Weighted %	n ^a	Weighted %	n ^a
Youth future goal aspirations				
Obtaining post-secondary education	58.3	700	79.1***	2,260
Living independently by age 30	75.3	860	92.7***	2,720
Financially self-supporting by age 30	81.9	600	95.5***	1,620
Have a job by age 30	90.2	640	97.9***	1,280
Parent expectations				
Obtaining post-secondary education	36.9	810	66.4***	2,480
Living independently by age 30	44.2	870	85.4***	3,030
Financially self-supporting by age 30	44.6	910	85.6***	3,060

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

Note. Comparisons under the youth with other disabilities are between youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities.

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

*** $p < .001$.

Table 3*Summary of Logistic Regression Results for Student Expectations for Post-school Goals*

Predictors	Postsecondary Education	Living Independently	Financial Independence	Employment
	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]
Youth with IDD				
Functional index score			3.32** (4.18) [1.6, 11.2]	3.46** (4.61) [1.5, 14.4]
Daily living index score	3.82*** (2.22) [1.6, 3.0]	4.09*** (2.36) [1.6, 3.5]	3.11* (1.93) [1.2, 3.2]	
Gender (reference: Male)	1.39* (1.49) [1.0, 2.2]			
R ²	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.09
n ^a (weighted n)	720 (124,927)	720 (124,614)	450 (76,061)	440 (72,897)
Youth with Other Disabilities				
Race (reference: Non-Black)				
Hispanic		2.72* (2.62) [1.1, 6.2]	8.38* (16.38) [1.6, 172.6]	34.50*** (+) [+, +]
Multi / Other				19.35*** (+) [+, +]

English learner status (reference:			5.98* (9.51) [1.7, 53.8]	
No)				
Functional index score		3.9*** (3.90) [2.0, 7.5]	3.55** (3.62) [1.4, 9.2]	5.47* (6.69) [1.6, 28.5]
Daily living index score	2.48** (1.41) [1.1, 1.8]		5.72** (2.3) [1.3, 3.9]	
Household income (reference: <=20K)				
Over \$60,000	5.18*** (2.82) [1.5, 5.2]			
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)				
Less than high school			-4.45* (0.29) [0.1, 0.8]	
More than high School	308** (1.78) [1.2, 2.6]			
Parent involvement at school		5.63** (1.17) [1.1, 1.3]		
R ²	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.03
n ^a (weighted n)	1,870 (711,467)	1,890 (717,128)	1,080 (400,038)	823 (289,020)

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

+: >999.999

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Table 4*Summary of Logistic Regression Results for Parent Expectations for Post-school Goals*

Predictors	Postsecondary Education	Living Independently	Financial Independence
	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]
Parents of Youth with IDD			
Race (reference: Non-Black)			
Hispanic	1.94** (2.83) [1.5, 5.4]		
Multi / Other		-1.66** (0.20) [0.1, 0.6]	
English learner status (reference: No)		1.20* (2.12) [1.0, 4.4]	
Age			-2.94*** (0.79) [0.7, 0.9]
Functional index score	4.56*** (4.32) [2.7, 7.0]		5.38*** (5.52) [2.9, 10.4]
Daily living index score	3.06*** (1.84) [1.4, 2.5]	9.22*** (6.06) [4.1, 8.9]	7.01*** (3.93) [2.8, 5.5]
Out-of-school suspension (reference: No)	-1.33* (0.63) [0.4, 1.0 ^c]		
Household income (reference: <= 20K)			
\$20,001 to \$40,000	1.53* (1.66) [1.1, 2.6]		
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)			
More than high school	2.93*** (2.29) [1.5, 3.4]		
Parent involvement at home	1.51* (1.16) [1.0 ^d , 1.3]		

R ²	0.18	0.35	0.33
n ^a (weighted n)	1,160 (190,315)	1,140 (187,799)	1,150 (190,263)
Parent of Youth with Other Disabilities			
Gender (reference: Male)		2.18* (1.56) [1.0 ^d , 2.4]	
Race (reference: Non-Black)			
Any Black			2.30* (1.70) [1.1, 2.7]
English learner status			2.06* (2.18) [1.0 ^d , 4.7]
Age		-2.94** (0.80) [0.7, 0.9]	-2.12* (0.85) [0.7, 1.0 ^c]
Functional index score	3.33*** (2.91) [1.8, 4.6]	5.03*** (5.05) [2.9, 8.8]	7.31*** (10.39) [6.0, 17.9]
Daily living index score	3.73*** (1.68) [1.3, 2.1]	9.38*** (3.67) [2.4, 5.5]	9.84*** (3.95) [2.6, 6.0]
Household income (reference: ≤ 20K)			
Over \$60,000	2.79* (1.77) [1.1, 3.0]	3.99** (2.26) [1.3, 4.1]	
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)			
More than high school	2.38** (1.58) [1.1, 2.2]		
R ²	0.12	0.16	0.17
n ^a (weighted n)	2,110 (764,899)	2,100 (762,125)	2,120 (762,296)

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

^c the actual *OR* value < 1.0.

^d the actual *OR* value > 1.0.

* *p* < .05. ** *p* < .01. *** *p* < .001.

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Abstract

Using National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 data, this study explored parent and youth expectations in the areas of postsecondary education, employment, independent living, and financial independence. Compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) and their parents had much lower expectations for the four postschool goals, and parent expectations were much lower than youth's own expectations. Also, youth's race, along with their daily living skills and functional abilities, were positively associated with parent and youth expectations in several future goal areas. Our discussion highlights [implications for raising expectations for students with IDD as a way to improve the transition experiences of youth with IDD](#)~~the need to examine how data are collected~~, and ~~then can be used~~ to reimagine how to use data to better understand and improve the transition experiences of youth with IDD.

Keywords: intellectual and developmental disabilities, parent expectations, youth expectations, post-school goals

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Youth with disabilities continue to exit high schools with far lower rates of employment and postsecondary education (PSE) enrollment compared to their peers without disabilities (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2020). Lipscomb et al. (2017a) using data from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012) found that youth with an individualized education program (IEP) were less likely to have plans and take steps to obtain PSE or employment compared to youth without an IEP. Findings from empirical studies (Chiang et al., 2012; Doren et al., 2012) have pointed to the importance of parent expectations in youth's post-school success, including those youth with disabilities and those from diverse racial/ethnic and language groups (Doren et al.; Kirby, 2016). Further, national data show that youth with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), intellectual disability (ID), and multiple disabilities (MD) (referred to here as youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities [IDD]) are least likely to receive assistance and support to prepare for college and employment (Lipscomb et al., 2017b).

Since the passage of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) of 1990, discussions about transition services are required in IEP meetings for youth with disabilities [beginning no later than age 16](#). For youth and their parents, transition planning is the process of setting goals for their life after high school, determining related transition services, and making necessary connections with community service agencies to attain those goals ([Mazzotti et al., 2021](#); Test et al., 2004). The reauthorization of IDEA in 2004 strengthened several earlier provisions of the law in relation to setting post-school goals. This included assessing the student's strengths, preferences, and needs; considering further education beyond high school; ensuring that post-school goals are measurable; and determining the transition service needed for the student to achieve post-school goals.

Despite the increasing efforts to improve transition services for students with IDD, post-school outcomes of these students remain dismal (Carter et al., 2012; Shattuck et al., 2012). For example, in 2018 83% did not have a paid job in the community (National Core Indicators, 2021). In 2018-19, only 45% of students with MD graduated with a regular high school diploma, the lowest among all the disability categories (National Center for Education Statistics, 2021). In addition, more than 50% of young adults with ASD left high school with no participation in any kind of employment or PSE activities (Shattuck et al., 2012). [Difficulties in achieving employment and PSE opportunities also negatively impact a young person's chances of living independently and becoming financially independent.](#)

Students with disabilities from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds experience additional challenges in achieving positive transition outcomes following high school. Haber et al. (2016) found that being part of a minority group was associated with poorer post-school transition outcomes compared to non-minority students with disabilities. Studies have shown race can predict differential outcomes of youth with ASD and other disabilities (e.g., Chen et al., 2015; Fleming & Fairweather, 2012; Roux et al., 2020). Roux et al. found that high school students with autism who were Black/ African American were less likely to have working experiences compared to their white peers with the same disabilities. Fleming and Fairweather found race predicted participation in PSE for students of all disability categories with students from racial/ethnic minority groups less likely to attend a 4-year college. Several studies focusing on employment reported minority youth with a disability had worse employment outcomes compared to white youth with a disability (Chen et al.). Unfortunately, few research studies have [been conducted to examine](#) the relationship of race ~~on~~ [and](#) PSE, employment, and other transition outcomes for students with IDD.

[Factors Related to Post-school Expectations](#)

Researchers investigating predictors associated with positive post-school outcomes have found that parent expectations are one of the most robust predictors (Carter et al., 2012; Chiang et al., 2012). Youth with ASD were 277% more likely to participate in PSE if they had a parent who expected them to go to college or other postsecondary training opportunities (Chiang et al.). Moreover, youth with IDD whose parents expected them to be self-supporting were 3.43 times more likely to have a paid job during their high school years compared to students whose parents did not expect them to be self-supporting (Carter et al., 2011). Finally, Carter et al. (2012) examined the extent to which student, family, and school factors were associated with paid employment status during the initial two years following high school among youth with IDD and found paid work experiences during high school years, higher social skills, and higher parent expectations were positively linked to increased odds of employment after high school.

Wu et al. (2020) examined post-school goals for English learners (ELs) with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities. These researchers found that ELs with disabilities had lower expectations for being financially independent by age 30 than non-ELs with disabilities. They also found that predictors varied by post-school goal, as well as by EL status.

Research has identified numerous correlates of parent expectations. Using data from the 2000 National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (NLTS2), Kirby (2016) found family background (e.g., race, household income, mother's education) and the student's functional performances (e.g., self-care, independent living skills) were associated with parent expectations for paid jobs and future independent living. These, in turn, significantly predicted paid work, independent living, and social participation outcomes. Using a different sample of students, Holmes et al. (2018) found age, gender, and household income not to be associated with parent expectations for youth financial independence, school attainment, and independent living outcomes.

Researchers also examined NLTS 2012 data on expectations for post-school goals with a different sample of students (Qian et al., 2020; Qian et al., in press; Wu et al., 2021, Wu et al., 2022a, 2022b). Qian et al. (2021) found youth's daily index score, parent education, and youth taking college entrance or placement test were associated with parents' expectations for youth obtaining PSE. Qian et al. (in press) found parents' PSE expectations was the only factor related to youth's PSE expectations for students with autism. Researchers examined the post-school expectations for ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities (Wu et al., 2020) and for parents of ELs with disabilities (Wu et al., 2022b); they found that the predictors varied by post-school goals.

Taken together, expectations and goal setting for post-school outcomes play important roles in the successful transition of youth to post-school lives (Mazzotti et al., 2021). Identifying potential factors associated with parent and student expectations toward post-school goals for students with IDD, including those from racial/ethnic groups and ELs, may help better support youth and their families and result in better youth post-school success.

Research has shown the relationship between parent and their child's expectations for academic achievement (e.g., Agliata & Renk, 2009; Dockery et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2011). Zhang et al. in examining academic achievement found parents and their child expectations were inversely related. Agliata and Renk's study found parent-student expectation discrepancies produced affective distress (e.g., anger, depression, and anxiety). To date, only a few studies have compared youth and their parents' expectations on transition outcomes for students with disabilities (e.g., Bouck et al., 2020; Kelley & Prohn, 2019; Kirby et al., 2019). Using NLTS2 data, Kirby and colleagues ~~(2019)~~ found parents of students with disabilities held lower expectations for youth postsecondary goals than youth themselves, and both youth and parent expectations were positively associated with postsecondary outcomes. Bouck et al. examined

[NLTS 2012 data for parent-youth expectations for post-school goals of students with ID in rural school settings and found youth had higher expectations than their parents. Wu et al. \(2022a\) examined NLTS2 and NLTS 2012 data for parent-youth expectations of the post-school goals by disability groups and found that the discrepancies varied by disability category.](#)

[Researchers also examined NLTS 2012 data on one aspect of post-school goals—PSE \(e.g., Qian et al., 2020; Trainor et al., 2019\) and others have focused on either youth \(e.g., Quian et al., in press\) or parent expectaions \(e.g., Blustein et al., 2016; Qian et al.; Wu et al., 2022b\). Trainor and colleagues \(2019\) examined ~~post-school~~PSE expectations of ~~English learners~~ \(ELs\) with disabilities and found no significant differences between youth and parent expectations for PSE for both ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities. Qian et al. \(2020\) focused on parent’s PSE expectations without exploring PSE expectation for their child with IDD. Wu et al. \(2022b\) examined expectations for the post-school goals of parents of ELs with disabilities.](#)

[Even though Kirby et al. \(2019\) included both parent and youth expectations for the three postschool goals, these expectations now need to be examined with the more recent NLTS 2012 dataset. Some studies have focused on one post-school goal. For example, Qian et al. \(2020\) and Trainor et al.’s \(2019\) studies focused only on expectations for PSE and Blustein et al. \(2016\) focused solely on employment. Other studies have focused only on parent expectations \(e.g., Blustein et al.; Wu et al.\). Also, several studies focused only on one disability category or different populations \(e.g., ELs with disabilities\) \(e.g., Qian et al, in press; Wu et al., 2022b\). ~~Although both studies compared youth and parent expectations, neither~~None of these studies examined the data only for students with IDD.~~provided a whole picture of post-school~~ expectations for students with IDD by including both expectations of parents and youth on post-school PSE, employment, community living, and financial independence. Our study extends the current literature by examining the most recent nationally representative data—NLTS 2012.](#)

[NLTS 2012 allows for a comparison of parent and youth expectations and an opportunity to examine factors related to post-school goal expectations.](#)

~~Taken together, expectations and goal setting for post-school outcomes play important roles in the successful transition of youth to post-school lives (Mazzotti et al., 2021). Identifying potential factors associated with parent and student expectations toward post-school goals for students with IDD, including those from racial/ethnic groups and ELs, may help better support youth and their families and result in better youth post-school success.~~

[Our study investigated the expectations of youth with IDD and their parents in the areas of PSE, employment, independent living, and financial independence using the most recently collected nationally representative data from NLTS 2012. This study extended previous studies by examining multiple post-school domains reported by parents and youth with IDD: expectations for future participation in PSE or employment, independent living, and financial independence. We hypothesized that \(1\) expectations on three post-school goals \(PSE, independent living, and financial independence\) will vary by groups \(e.g., parent vs. students; students with IDD vs. students with other disabilities\) \(employment was not included in this analysis because the parent survey did not directly ask parents about their expectations on this goal\); \(2\) expectations will vary by specific post-school goal; and \(3\) predictors of each post-school goal will differ by group.](#) Three research questions were addressed:

1. To what extent are parent and youth expectations about post-school goals different for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities?
2. To what extent do differences exist in parent and youth ~~with IDD~~ expectations for post-school goals ~~for~~ ~~found between~~ youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities?
3. To what degree do student characteristics (e.g., race, EL status, gender, age), student's education history (e.g., held back a grade), family characteristics (e.g., family income,

parent highest education level), and parent involvement predict youth and parent expectations for post-school goals for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities?

Method

NLTS 2012

The NLTS 2012 sampling process was designed to allow the results to generalize to the full population of youth receiving special education services in the United States. A two-stage national probability sample was established to produce precise, nationally representative estimates of the backgrounds and experiences of youth who receive special education services. The first stage consisted of selecting a stratified national probability sample of districts and recruiting those districts to participate. Districts included local education agencies, charter schools that operate independently, and state-sponsored special schools that serve deaf and/or blind youth. The second stage consisted of selecting a stratified sample of youth from each of the districts that agreed to participate. The two-stage sampling design resulted in an overall sample of youth with and without disabilities of 21,959 youth, of which 17,476 were youth with an IEP in 432 participating districts (Burghardt et al., 2017). The sample of districts was stratified to represent different geographic regions, district size, and other factors.

Data collection was conducted from February-October 2012 and from January-August 2013. A total of 10,459 parent surveys of youth on IEPs were completed (12,988 parent surveys were completed for youth with and without disabilities), representing a 60% unweighted response rate (Burghardt et al., 2017). Across the two years of data collection, 8,960 youth with IEPs had completed the youth survey, representing a 51% unweighted response rate. Youth were ages 12-22 when the interviews took place. Less than 2% of the youth were 12 years old, and less than 1% of them were 22 years of age. All youth were enrolled in grades 7-12 or in a secondary ungraded class at the time of the sampling.

Sample

~~In this study, we~~ included a sample of youth ~~referred with~~ as IDD-ASD, ID, and MD who fall within the broader category of IDD. IDEA 2004 definitions of these three disability groups are found in Section 300.8 (c) (1) (6) (7), Child with a Disability. These youth were 14-22 years old in December 2011 ~~and in three disability categories (ASD, ID, and MD), who~~ were enrolled in school when the data were collected, and ~~who~~ attended themselves or their parents attended the IEP meeting, including a transition planning meeting. According to the literature, students with IDD are typically served under the disability categories of ID, ASD, or MD (Talapatra & Snider, 2022); researchers have identified students in these three categories as students with IDD (e.g., June et al, 2022; Traver & Carter, 2022). For comparison purposes, youth with IEPs enrolled in school, not in these three disability categories and they or their parents attended the IEP meeting were also included in the study. Only students who completed the NLTS 2012 survey by themselves had responses for post-school expectations included in the NLTS 2012 dataset.

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the sample. The overall sample included 2,280 enrolled youth with IDD (ASD: $n = 710$; weight % = 30.9%; ID: $n = 890$, weighted % = 54.2%; and MD: $n = 670$, weighted % = 14.9%) and 4,030 enrolled youth with other disabilities. Significant group differences were found for age ($p < .001$), EL status ($p < .05$), youth's education history (ever held back a grade: $p < .05$, ever expelled from school: $p < .001$, and ever had out-of-school suspension: $p < .001$), youth functional ability and daily living index scores ($p < .001$), and parent involvement at home ($p < .001$). The two groups were similar in racial/ethnic composition. The unweighted sample size reported in this study was rounded to the nearest 10 because of the Institute of Education Science (IES) data reporting requirement for using

restricted data sets. In total, data were available for 329,094 weighted enrolled youth with IDD and 1,216,088 weighted youth with other disabilities.

Measures

Youth Future Goal Aspirations and Parent Expectations. Seven measures of post-school goal aspirations for youth and parents were included in this study: (a) PSE (student and parent surveys); (b) independent living (student and parent surveys); (c) financial independence (student and parent surveys); and (d) employment (student survey only). Responses to each of these four measures were coded into dichotomous variables (a) education beyond high school was coded as 1 = yes or 0 = no; (b) where youth would live when 30 years old was coded as 1 = yes, living independently, which was a combination of “*On your own – without friends or family,*” “*With friends,*” “*With a spouse or partner,*” or “*In military housing*”; all other responses were coded as 0, which included “*At home with parents,*” “*With a relative,*” “*In a group home, or With supervision,*” or “*In a large facility with paid staff*”; (c) financial independence by age 30 was coded as 1 = “*definitely will*” or “*probably will*” earn enough to support themselves without financial help from their family or government support program, or 0 = “*probably won’t*” or “*definitely won’t*”; and (d) paid job by age 30 (student only) was coded as 1 = “*definitely will*” or “*probably will*” and 0 = “*probably won’t*” and “*definitely won’t.*”

Student Demographics and Characteristics. Information on the demographics of youth was obtained from district records. The information included: IEP status, limited English proficient status, grade, age (in December 2011), gender, ethnicity (non-Black, any Black, Hispanic, and multi/other), and free/reduced lunch status. We used *youth functional abilities index* (range 0-3) to measure youth functional abilities and *youth daily living index* to measure the extent to which youth can complete typical teenage tasks independently (Burghardt et al., 2017). Both indexes were derived from responses to the parent survey, with higher values

representing greater abilities. Example components of the functional abilities index (parent report) included how well youth communicate by any means, speak clearly, carry on an oral conversation, and understand what others say to them. Examples of the daily living index included items regarding how well youth can do tasks without help such as using an ATM or cash machine, making appointments, and getting to places outside the home like school or park

Student's Educational History. Three items were selected from the parent survey to create measures of the student's educational history. They were: (a) ever held back a grade, (b) expelled from school, and (c) out-of-school suspension. Each was coded as a binary variable.

Family Demographic Measures. Four family demographic measures from the parent survey were included in our analyses – household income, parent education, parent involvement at home, and parent involvement at school. Parents reported their most recent *annual household income*; because of small samples in some of the original categories, responses were recoded into four categories: less than \$20,000, \$20,001-\$40,000, \$40,010-\$60,000, and over \$60,000. Parents' reports of the *highest education level* for themselves and their spouse or partner were recoded into three categories (less than high school, high school or GED, and more than high school) because of small cell sizes.

Parent Involvement. For *parent involvement at home*, parents were asked how often they spoke to the child about his or her school experiences (1 = *not at all* to 4 = *regularly*) and how often they helped their child with his or her homework (1 = *never* to 4 = *3 or more times a week*). A composite score was created by summing up the responses of these two questions to present parent involvement at home, with a score ranging from 2 to 8. For *parent involvement at school*, parents were asked how frequently in the current school year, they or another adult in the household had attended a general school meeting (e.g., back to school night), attended a school or class event (e.g., a play or sports event), volunteered at school (e.g., chaperoned a class field

trip), or gone to a parent/teacher conference with youth's teacher. The sum of responses (1 = 1-2 times to 4 = more than 5-6 times) to these four items was created to present the measure of parent involvement at school; scores ranged from 0 to 16 points, with higher values representing greater parental involvement.

Data Analysis

Statistics were weighted using youth enrolled weights to represent population estimates. Unweighted sample sizes and weighted percentages were presented for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities. Chi-square tests of homogeneity were conducted to examine whether parent and youth expectations for post-school goals were different for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities. Chi-square tests were also conducted to examine parent-youth differences in post-school expectations.

Multiple logistic regressions were conducted to explore the significant predictors of parent and youth expectations. Regression models estimated the adjusted effects of independent variables (gender, ethnicity, free/reduced lunch status, age, functional abilities index score, daily living index scores, student's school experiences of being held back a grade, being expelled from school, and having an out-of-school suspension, household income, parent highest education, and parent involvement at home and at school) on four indicators of youth future goal aspirations (PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment) and three indicators of parent expectations (PSE, independent living, and financial independence) for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities.

Missing Data. Approximately 50% of weighted and unweighted data from NLTS 2012 youth survey were missing (Burghardt et al., 2017). The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) conducted nonresponse bias analyses and suggested that nonresponse adjustments to the weights succeeded in limiting the potential for bias (Burghardt et al.). Data were not missing at

random, thus imputation was not performed. The missing rates were calculated for unweighted sample size, ranging from 37.9% to 38.5% for youth with IDD and 47.2% to 48.1% for youth with other disabilities across the four measures of *youth expectations*. Across the three measures of *parent expectations*, missing rates ranged from 2.5% to 14.0% for youth with IDD. Only 42.4% of the NLTS 2012 survey items were completed by youth themselves (Bloomenthal et al., 2017). SAS logistic regression procedures eliminate any cases with missing values on any variable included in the analyses. Therefore, the missing rate for each logistic regression model was only calculated for data with valid responses for each dependent variable. The weighted missing rates across logistic regression models ranged from 37.9% to 48.1% for youth with IDD and 36.6% to 45.9% for youth with other disabilities.

Results

Research Question 1: Parent and Youth Expectations

Table 2 presents the results of parent and youth expectations for each youth's post-school goals. Compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with IDD had lower future goal expectations in all four areas: obtaining PSE ($\chi^2 = 75.9, p < .001$), independent living ($\chi^2 = 101.7, p < .001$), financial independence ($\chi^2 = 39.0, p < .001$), and employment ($\chi^2 = 19.0, p < .001$). Similar results were found for parent expectations, with parents of youth with IDD showing lower expectations for obtaining PSE ($\chi^2 = 170.6, p < .001$), independent living ($\chi^2 = 298.3, p < .001$), and financial independence ($\chi^2 = 246.4, p < .001$), compared to parents of youth with other disabilities.

Research Question 2: Differences in Parent and Youth Expectations for Post-school Goals

Compared to youth expectations for their post-school goals, parents had significantly lower expectations for all three post-school goals for youth with IDD (PSE: $\chi^2 = 26.5, p < .001$, living independently: $\chi^2 = 37.8, p < .001$, and financial independence: $\chi^2 = 66.2, p < .001$).

Similar results were found for youth with other disabilities (PSE: $\chi^2 = 20.8, p < .001$ and financial independence: $\chi^2 = 6.3, p < .05$).

Research Question 3: Predictors of Youth and Parent Post-school Expectations

Full model logistic regression analyses for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities were conducted to explore significant predictors of youth's expectations toward themselves in the areas of PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment (see Table 3) and parent expectations for youth post-school goals (PSE, independent living, and financial independence; see Table 4).

Youth Expectations

Youth with IDD. Compared to male youth with IDD, female youth with IDD were more likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 1.49, p < .05$). Functional abilities index score was a positive predictor for youth expectations toward financial independence ($p < .01$) and employment ($p < .01$). Furthermore, the daily living index score was found to be a significant predictor of all dependent variables except for the employment area.

Youth with Other Disabilities. For youth with other disabilities, functional abilities index score was positively associated with expectations for independent living ($p < .001$); financial independence ($p < .01$), and employment ($p < .05$). Youth's daily living index score was positively associated with the expectations toward PSE ($p < .01$) and financial independence ($p < .01$). Youth with other disabilities who were Hispanic were more likely than non-Black youth to expect to be living independently ($OR = 2.62, p < .05$), to be financially independent ($OR = 16.38, p < .05$), and to be employed ($OR > 999.99, p < .001$). Youth with other disabilities who were from multi- or other races were more likely than non-Black youth to expect to be employed ($OR > 999.99, p < .001$). Youth with other disabilities who were ELs were more likely to be financially independent ($OR = 9.51, p < .05$) by age 30 compared to youth with other disabilities

who were non-ELs. Youth with other disabilities from families with more than \$60,000 annual household income were more than twice as likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.82, p < .001$) compared to youth with other disabilities from families with less than \$20,000 annual household income. Compared to youth whose parents had a high school diploma or GED, youth with other disabilities whose parents had more than a high school diploma were more likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 1.78, p < .01$); youth whose parents had less than a high school diploma were less likely to expect they would be financially independent by age 30 ($OR = 0.29, p < .01$). Furthermore, the student's expectation for living independently by age 30 was significantly associated with parent involvement at school ($p < .01$).

Parent Expectations

Youth with IDD. Compared to parents of non-Black students with IDD, parents of youth with IDD who were Hispanic were more than twice as likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.8, p < .01$) and parents of youth with IDD who were multi races or other were less likely to expect their child to live independently ($OR = 0.2, p < .01$). Compared to parents of youth who were not ELs, parents of youth with IDD who were ELs were more than twice as likely to expect their child to live independently ($OR = 2.1, p < .01$). Both functional abilities index and daily living index scores were positively associated with parents' post-school expectations for youth with IDD. Age was significantly negatively associated with financial independence by age 30 ($p < .001$). Parents of older children had lower expectations for financial independence. Parents of youth with IDD who had an out-of-school suspension were less likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 0.63, p < .05$), compared to parents of youth with IDD who did not have an out-of-school suspension. Compared to parents of youth with IDD who had less than \$20,000 annual household income, parents of youth with IDD with \$20,000-\$40,000 annual household income were more likely to expect their child would obtain PSE ($OR = 1.66, p < .05$). Compared to

parents of youth with IDD who had a high school diploma, parents of youth with IDD with more than a high school diploma were more likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.29, p < .001$). Also, parent involvement at home was positively associated with the expectation the child would obtain PSE ($p < .05$).

Youth with Other Disabilities. Compared to parents of non-Black youth, parents of Black youth with other disabilities were more likely to expect their children to be financially independent by age 30 ($OR = 1.70, p < .05$). Compared to parents of youth with other disabilities who were non-ELs, parents of youth with other disabilities who were ELs were more than twice as likely to expect their child to be financially independent by age 30, ($OR = 2.18, p < .05$). For parents of youth with other disabilities, the student's functional abilities index score and daily living index score were positively associated with all three parent post-school expectations ($p < .001$). Parents were more likely to expect female students with other disabilities to live independently compared to male students with other disabilities ($p < .05$). Further, negative associations were found with age for living independently ($p < .01$) and financial independency ($p < .05$). Parents with an annual household income of more than \$60,000 had higher expectations for their child for PSE ($OR = 1.77, p < .05$) and living independently ($OR = 2.26, p < .01$) compared to parents with less than \$20,000 annual household income. Parents with more than a high school diploma were more likely to report that their child would obtain PSE ($OR = 1.58, p < .01$) than parents with less than a high school diploma.

Discussion

Having high expectations about one's future is important for all youth, including youth with IDD (Southward & Kyzar, 2017). We analyzed NLTS 2012 data to explore student and parent expectations ~~on~~for post-school goals (i.e., PSE, employment, independent living, and financial independence). We found that compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with

IDD and their parents had much lower expectations across all four post-school goals.

Additionally, Youth with IDD, however, had much higher expectations for themselves compared to those reported by their parents. Finally, youth with IDD who had greater daily living skills tended to have higher expectations for PSE, independent living, and financial independence, but not for employment. The same pattern was also found for parent expectations.

Age was an important factor for parent expectations that their child would be financially independent, but not for obtaining PSE and being living independently. Parents had lower expectations when their children were older for both students with IDD and students with other disabilities. One possible explanation is that schools usually do not teach students about financial literacy, which can help lead toward financial independence (Carlson, 2022). Zhu et al. (2021) stated that financial literacy is a multicomponent construct that includes financial knowledge, attitude, behaviors, and well-being. They also noted that financial education does not have a significant effect on financial behavior in the short term. Thus, it is important to start financial education as early as possible, so students have time to apply financial knowledge in their real life. This will require a group effort by both school and family.

The contribution of race/ethnicity or EL status to the expectations of youth and parents was more variable. For example, race/ethnicity did not affect the expectations of youth with IDD but did affect the expectations of youth with other disabilities (e.g., being Hispanic, compared to being non-Black, was positively related to expectations for living independently, being financially independent, and being employed after high school). Doren et al (2012) in their analysis of NLTS-2 data found that parents' expectations differed based on race/ethnicity. Parents of African American adolescents with disabilities held significantly lower expectations than parents of Caucasian adolescents with disabilities for their adolescent graduating from high school. Further, no significant differences in parent expectations were found among the three major ethnic groups

studied (Caucasian, African American, and Hispanic) for obtaining paid employment after high school. EL status was related only to the post-school goal of financial independence for youth with other disabilities. Wu and others (2021) examined NLTS 2012 data to explore ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities for four post-school goals: PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment. They found that only the post-school goal of being financially independent was related to EL status. Our finding is consistent with the findings of Wu et al. for ELs with other disabilities. EL status seems to place extra barriers on the expectations of these students for being financially independent. Achieving English proficiency might be a plus for these students to find a job after high and being able to become financially independent.

Our findings extend the current literature on this topic in several ways. First, we found that youth with IDD and their parents had lower expectations for youth post-school goals compared to those with other disabilities, especially in the area of PSE. Specifically, half of youth with IDD did not plan to pursue any PSE options, and over 60% of their parents also did not expect them to participate in any PSE or training. PSE is, [however](#), viewed as one of the pathways to competitive integrated employment, higher-paying jobs, economic independence, and independent living for youth with IDD. Parents and youth should be made aware of PSE options available [and encouraged to set goals for further education beyond high school during transition /IEP meetings. for youth with IDD, regardless of the level of severity of the disability.](#)

Second, across post-school goals (i.e., obtaining PSE, living independently by age 30, and functionally self-supporting by age 30), youth with IDD reported a much more positive outlook on their future compared to their parents. Approximately, 90% of youth reported that they would have a job by age 30 whereas only 45% of parents expected their child to do so. One possible explanation is that service and support systems for individuals with IDD are complex and fragmented (Lee & Burke, 2020), and many parents are unfamiliar with these services

(Schutz et al., 2021). Also, these services often have a long waitlist. For example, home and community-based services provide opportunities for individuals with IDD to receive services that support employment and independent living at home or community. Yet, across the nation, 36 states report 216,328 individuals living with a family are on a waitlist for services (Larson et al., 2017). All these factors may have contributed to a relatively negative outlook reported by parents of youth with IDD regarding future goals. Additionally, this finding may suggest a different set of variables contribute to youth and parent expectations, and future studies are needed to understand what malleable factors account for the gap between expectations reported by youth and their parents.

Third, across youth and parents' expectations for both youth with IDD and other disabilities, one consistent finding is that daily living skills (e.g., fixing breakfast or lunch, doing laundry, or buying things from stores) are positively ~~linked-associated with~~ linked-associated with ~~to~~ at least one of the post-school goals. This finding is not surprising given that self-care/independent living skills (e.g., making meals for oneself) have been identified as ~~thea~~ thea promising predictor of PSE and employment for transition-aged youth with disabilities (Mazzotti et al., 2021; Test et al., 2009). This finding suggests the importance of incorporating teaching daily living skills within academics for adolescents with IDD, regardless of the severity of the disability.

Fourth, parent involvement, including at home and school, was not significantly associated with either student or parent expectations. Based on the previous literature examining parent involvement for students with IDD (Doren et al., 2012), we expected that parents who are more involved at home and school would have higher expectations for their child. One possible explanation is that items used in NLTS 2012 to assess parent involvement were about whether parents help with homework at home or attend school conferences. Although these forms of involvement are important, they may not be directly linked to the transition planning process. In

the context of transition planning, parent involvement refers to the means by which parents have access to information about PSE and career options for their child's future, parents' participation in school or non-school sponsored transition planning activities as well as involvement in advocating and supporting organizations (Griffin et al., 2010). These forms of parent involvement may be more relevant to parents' expectations for their child's educational and career goals. Future research should investigate whether this is the case.

Another possible explanation of the positive outlook of students might be related to the difference between goal aspirations and expectations. Expectations concern what one will likely be able to accomplish given all existing resource and support limitations, whereas aspirations define things one really hopes to achieve despite potential barriers in the environment (Bouck et al., 2020). In the NLTS 2012 data, students were asked to assess "as things stand now, how far do you think you will get in school? or "how likely do you think you will get a paid job by the time you are 30 years old." It is likely some students may not have recognized the subtle differences between the two and thus answered the questions in terms of their aspirations. Future studies may need to consider the relationship between aspirations and expectations; studies have shown a positive association between educational expectations and occupational aspirations in individuals without disabilities (Beal & Crockett, 2013).

Limitations

Even though the NLTS 2012 dataset is a decade old, it is the only comprehensive nationally representative dataset that can provide important information for students with IDD. Still, several limitations need to be acknowledged. First, NLTS 2012 codes for race/ethnicity limited the extent to which we could explore the contribution of this student characteristic to student and parent expectations. In the NLTS 2012 data set, race/ethnicity was coded as non-Black, any Black, Hispanic, multi- and other. These codes are not the same as those used in other

data sets (Office of Management and Budget, 1995; [Office of Minority Office, 2021](#)), which typically include, for example, White, Black, Asian, American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders, and Multiracial. If we had had codes with greater differentiation, we would have been able to more explicitly examine the contribution of these variables to student and parent expectations.

A second limitation is that NLTS 2012 looked at youth and parent expectations at one time point. Thus, it is impossible to know the temporal relation between youth and parent expectations. It would have been ideal to have the data collected across multiple time points to address how consistent the expectations would be ~~different~~ across time points and change patterns of youth and parent expectations. It may be the case that parent expectations decrease as their child gets older. Another limitation of the data set is the lack of information on teacher expectations. Given that youth spend a considerable amount of time ~~spend~~ at school, teacher expectations may also play an important role in influencing youth and parent expectations.

[Further, even though each disability category is unique, sample sizes in the NLTS 2012 dataset were too small to conduct the logistic regression models by disability categories. Also, this study may not have included students with IDD who had more severe disabilities because students who did not completed the NLTS 2012 survey by themselves were excluded from responding the questions related to post-school expectations. Thus, the results should be interpreted with caution.](#)

Implications

[Although our findings from this study have important implications for the future of schooling for transition age youth with IDD, including youth from diverse backgrounds, an important aspect of improving the educational system for these students is to set up data collection systems that better enable us to study their educational experiences and outcomes. The](#)

~~lack of data on students identified as having IDD, including those from diverse backgrounds, significantly limits what we can say about their expectations and those of their parents. Still, the data limitations we noted (e.g., insufficient sample sizes to examine students with IDD from diverse backgrounds) indicate a need for better data.~~ Data systems should be set up to identify these students, and over sample them to ensure adequate data to understand their educational experiences and outcomes.

Despite the data limitations, our findings do have implications for schools to better serve students from diverse racial/ethnic and language backgrounds who have IDD. For example, special educators who support these students need training (preservice and in-service) on how to integrate academic skills and functional skills in their instruction. This, of course, should occur before students are transition age. Although instruction should be integrated, daily living skills need to be addressed in the IEP, discussed during transition planning meetings if not resolved before the student is transition-aged, explicitly taught throughout the day (integrated with academics), and generalized across settings.

The lower expectations of parents may indicate a need for special educators to provide relevant information and training for parents, so that parents are aware of resources available in the community. Due to extensive waiting lists for services in many states, consideration should also be given to beginning discussions with parents regarding the post-school services needs of their child much earlier than age 16 as now required by IDEA. Another way to increase parents' expectations is to consider programs that can increase expectations of students, teachers, and their peers. Researchers have pointed out that student expectations are strongly predicted by what their parents expected of them in terms of postschool goals (Qian et al., in press), and the reverse may also be true. Finally, connecting parents with resources within the community and establishing parent support groups may enhance parents' expectations and expand their social

[network, which is related to career opportunities \(Petner-Arrey et al., 2016\). Studies showed that social support groups encourage parents' engagement and expectations \(e.g., Harper et al., 2021; Schmid & Garrels, 2021\) associated with student outcomes \(Zhan 2006\). Thus, it might be helpful to create a support group for students with IDD and their parents, so they can exchange their successful experiences, as well as increase their expectations and engagement through group discussions.](#)

Our study also indicates that the predictors of post-school goals for youth with IDD and their parents are different from youth with other disabilities and their parents. For example, youth with IDD and their parents had lower expectations for youth' post-school education compared to those with other disabilities. Thus, schools may consider providing youth with IDD and their parents with different supports or services than other student groups to increase their expectations ~~on~~ [for](#) post-school goals. Further, the study found that overall, across disability groups, youth held higher expectations for post-secondary education, independent living, and financial independence than did their parents. The extent to which students and their parents have divergent goal expectations for a student's movement into independence has implications for the transition planning process. Schools need to address differences in goal expectations between students and parents to avoid disagreements and challenges that may occur in setting future transition goals. Doren et al. (2012) noted in their research on parent expectations that school personnel may need to help some parents disentangle their expectations for their child from those based on their own experiences by providing information and consultation on supports, accommodations, and service available to support their child in achieving future goals they envision for themselves. Promoting high expectations for all students, including students with IDD and those from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds, is clearly a foundational goal for schools.

Further, providing all students with disabilities, including students with IDD and those from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds, opportunities for PSE and integrated competitive employment needs to be further emphasized. Significant strides have been made through research demonstrating the capacities of youth and young adults to successfully achieve these transition outcomes. We call for more schools to establish coordinated efforts and resources to improve the expectations from both parents and youth toward obtaining PSE and training and employment. A young person's future financial independence and opportunity to live independently and fully participate in their communities will not occur if high expectations are not shared by parents, students, and school personnel.

References

- [Agliata A. K., & Renk, K. \(2009\). College students' affective distress: The role of expectation discrepancies and communication. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 18, 396–411. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-008-9244-8>](#)
- [Beal & Crockett, 2013 Beal, S. J., & Crockett, L. J. \(2013\). Adolescents' occupational and educational goals: A test of reciprocal relations. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 34\(5\), 219-229.](#)
- Bloomenthal, A., Haimson, J., Lipscomb, S., Liu, A.Y., Potter, F., & Waits, T. (2017). *National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 restricted-use data file: Sampling and 2012-2013 survey data (NCEE 2017–4020)*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- [Blustein, C. L., Carter, E. W., & McMillan, E. D. \(2016\). The voices of parents: Post-high school expectations, priorities, and concerns for children with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *The Journal of Special Education*, 50\(3\) 164–177. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466916641381>](#)
- [Bouck, E. C., Long, H. M., & Costello, M. P. \(2020\). Parent and youth post-school expectations: Students with intellectual disability in rural schools. *Rural Special Education Quarterly*, 40\(1\), 14-23. <https://doi.org/10.1177/8756870520945572>.](#)
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (2020, February 26). *Persons with a disability: Labor force characteristics — 2019*. <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/disabl.pdf>
- Burghardt, J., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Lipscomb, S., Potter, F., Waits, T., & Wang, S. (2017). *National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 design documentation (NCEE 2017–4021)*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

[Carlson, J. M. \(2022\). *Financial literacy can help lead towards financial independence.*](#)

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/juliacarlson/2022/04/28/financial-literacy-can-help-lead-towards-financial-independence/?sh=7418fbe777b9>

Carter, E. W., Austin, D., & Trainor, A. A. (2011). Factors associated with the early work experiences of adolescents with severe disabilities. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 49*(4), 233-247. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1934-9556-49.4.233>

Carter, E. W., Austin, D., & Trainor, A. A. (2012). Predictors of postschool employment outcomes for young adults with severe disabilities. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies, 23*, 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1044207311414680>

[Center for Disease Control and Prevention \(2022\). *Signs and Symptoms of Autism Spectrum Disorder.*](#)

Chen, J. L., Sung, C., & Pi, S. (2015). Vocational rehabilitation service patterns and outcomes for individuals with autism of different ages. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 45*(9), 3015-3029. DOI 10.1007/s10803-015-2465-y

Chiang, H. M., Cheung, Y. K., Hickson, L., Xiang, R., & Tsai, L. Y. (2012). Predictive factors of participation in postsecondary education for high school leavers with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 42*(5), 685–696. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-011-1297-7>

[Colorado Department of Education \(n.d.\). *Autism spectrum disorder.*](#)

<https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/sd-autism>

[Dockery, A. M., Koshy, P., & Li, I. W. \(2022\). Parental expectations of children's higher education participation in Australia. *British Educational Research Journal, 48*, 617– 639.](#)
<https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3786>

Doren, B., Gau, J. M., & Lindstrom, L. E. (2012). The relationship between parent expectations and postschool outcomes of adolescents with disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 79(1), 7-23.

<https://doi-org.ezp2.lib.umn.edu/10.1177/001440291207900101>

~~Dockery, A. M., Koshy, P., & Li, I. W. (2022). Parental expectations of children's higher education participation in Australia. *British Educational Research Journal*, 48, 617-639. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3786>~~

Fleming, A. R., & Fairweather, J. S. (2012). The Role of Postsecondary Education in the Path From High School to Work for Youth With Disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 55(2), 71-81. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355211423303>

Griffin, M. M., McMillan, E. D., & Hodapp, R. M. (2010). Family perspectives on post-secondary education for students with intellectual disabilities. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, 45(3), 339-346. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23880108>

Haber, M. G., Mazzotti, V. L., Mustian, A. L., Rowe, D. A., Bartholomew, A. L., Test, D. W., & Fowler, C. H. (2016). What works, when, for whom, and with whom: A meta-analytic review of predictors of postsecondary success for students with disabilities. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(1), 123-162. DOI: 10.3102/0034654315583135

[Harper, F. K., Rosenberg, J. M., Comperry, S., Howell, K., & Womble, S. \(2021\). #Mathathome during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Exploring and reimagining resources and social supports for parents. *Education Sciences*, 11\(2\), 60. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11020060>](#)

Holmes, L.G., Kirby, A. V., Strassberg, D. S., & Hilme, M. B. (2018). Parent expectations and preparatory activities as adolescents with ASD transition to adulthood. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 48(9), 2925-2937. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-018-3545-6>

[Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 \(2004\).](#)

- [Jung, S., Ousley, C., & McNaughton, D. \(2022\). The effects of technology supports on community grocery shopping skills for students with intellectual and developmental disabilities: a meta-analysis *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 37\(3\), 351-362.](#)
- [Kelley, K. R., & Prohn, S. M. \(2019\). Postsecondary and employment expectations of families and students with intellectual disability. *Journal of Inclusive Postsecondary Education*, 1\(1\). <https://doi.org/10.13021/jipe.2019.2455>.](#)
- Kirby A. V. (2016). Parent expectations mediate outcomes for young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism Developmental Disorder*, 46, 1673-1655. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-015-2691-3>
- Kirby A. V., Dell'armo, K., & Persch, A. C. (2019). Differences in youth and parent postsecondary expectations for youth with disabilities. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 51, 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JVR-191027>
- Larson, S.A., Eschenbacher, H.J., Anderson, L.L., Taylor, B., Pettingell, S., Hewitt, A., Sowers, M., & Fay, M.L. (2017). In-home and residential long-term supports and services for persons with intellectual or developmental disabilities: Status and trends through 2014. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Institute on Community Integration.
- Lee, C. E., & Burke, M. M. (2020). Future planning among families of individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities: A systematic review. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 17(2), 94-107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jppi.12324>
- Lipscomb, S., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Burghardt, J., Johnson, D._R., & Thurlow, M._L. (2017a). *Preparing for life after high school: The characteristics and experiences of youth in special education. Findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012. Volume 1: Comparisons with other youth: Full report* (NCEE 2017-4016). Washington, DC: U.S.

Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

Lipscomb, S., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Burghardt, J., Johnson, D.R., & Thurlow, M.L. (2017b).

Preparing for life after high school: The characteristics and experiences of youth in special education. Findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012. Volume 2:

Comparisons across disability groups: Full report (NCEE 2017-4018). Washington, DC:

U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for

Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

Mazzotti, V. L., Rowe, D. A., Kwiatek, S., Voggt, A., Chang, W.-H., Fowler, C. H., Poppen, M.,

Sinclair, J., & Test, D. W. (2021). Secondary Transition Predictors of Postschool Success:

An Update to the Research Base. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional*

Individuals, 44(1) 47–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2165143420959793>

National Center for Education Statistics (2021). *Students with disabilities*. National Center for

Education Statistics. Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/pdf/2021/cgg_508c.pdf

National Core Indicators (2021). Chart generator 2017-18. Human Services Research Institute

and the National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services.

Retrieved from <http://www.nationalcoreindicators.org/charts>. Office of Management and

Budget (1995). *Standards for the classification of federal data on race and ethnicity*.

https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/omb/fedreg_race-ethnicity.

[Office of Management and Budget \(1995\). *Standards for the classification of federal data on*](https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/omb/fedreg_race-ethnicity)

[race and ethnicity. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/omb/fedreg_race-ethnicity](https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/omb/fedreg_race-ethnicity)

[Office of Minority Office \(2021\). *Explanation of data standards for race, ethnicity, sex, primary*](https://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/omh/browse.aspx?lvl=3&lvlid=54#1)

[language, and disability. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.](https://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/omh/browse.aspx?lvl=3&lvlid=54#1)

<https://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/omh/browse.aspx?lvl=3&lvlid=54#1>

- [Petner-Arrey, J., Howell-Moneta, A., & Lysaght, R. \(2016\). Facilitating employment opportunities for adults with intellectual and developmental disability through parents and social networks. *Disability and rehabilitation*, 38\(8\), 789-795.](#)
- [Qian, X., Johnson, D. J., Wu, Y., LaVelle, J., Thurlow, M., Davenport, E. \(2020\). Parents' postsecondary education expectations for students with autism, intellectual disability, and multiple disabilities: Findings from NLTS 2012. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities*, 45\(4\), 256-270.](#)
- [Qian, X., Lee, S., Wu, Y., & Johnson, D. \(in press\). Expectations of transition-age students with autism spectrum disorder toward postsecondary education. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*.](#)
- Roux, A. M., Rast, J. E., Garfield, T., Anderson, K. A., & Shattuck, P. T. (2020). Prevalence and correlates of work experiences among high school students on the autism spectrum. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 58(4), 273–287.
- [Schmid, E., & Garrels, V. \(2021\). Parental involvement and educational success among vulnerable students in vocational education and training. *Educational Research*, 63\(4\), 456-473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2021.1988672>](#)
- Schutz, M. A., Awsumb, J. M., Carter, E. W., & McMillian, E. D. (2021). Parent perspectives on pre-employment transition services for youth with disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355221993542>
- Shattuck, P. , Narendorf, S. C., Cooper, B., Sterzing, P. R., Wagner, M., & Taylor, J. L. (2012). Postsecondary education and employment among youth with an autism spectrum disorder. *Pediatrics*, 129(6), 1042-1049. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1542/peds.2011-2864>

Southward, J. D., & Kyzar, K. (2017). Predictors of competitive employment for students with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, 52(1), 26-37. doi: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26420373>

[Srivastava & Schwartz \(2014\). Intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorders: Causal genes and molecular mechanisms. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 46\(2\).161-174.](#)

[Talapatra, D., & Snider, L. A. \(2022\). Partnering for success: Leveraging legislation for Transitioning students with intellectual disabilities. *Contemporary School Psychology*, 26\(3\), 309-320.](#)

Test, D. W., Mason, C., Hughes, C., Konrad, M., Neale, M., & Wood, W. M. (2004). Student involvement in individualized education program meetings. *Exceptional Children*, 70, 391–412. <https://doi-org.ezp2.lib.umn.edu/10.1177/001440290407000401>

[Test, D. W., Mazzotti, V. L., Mustian, A. L., Fowler, C. H., Kortering, L., & Kohler, P. \(2009\). Evidence-based secondary transition predictors for improving postschool outcomes for students with disabilities. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 32, 160–181. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885728809346960>](#)

Trainor, A. A., Newman, L., Garcia, E., Woodley, H. H., Traxler, R. E., & Deschene, D. N. (2019). Postsecondary education-focused transition planning experiences of English learners with disabilities. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 42(1), 43-55. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2165143418811830>

[Travers, H. E., & Carter, E. W. \(2022\). A portrait of peers within peer-mediated interventions: A literature review. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 37\(2\), 71-82.](#)

Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. R. (2021). A national view of transition planning participation and post-school goals for English learners with disabilities. *Exceptionality*, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09362835.2021.1938060>

- [Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. \(2022a\). *Parent and Youth Expectations on Post-school Outcomes for Youth with Disabilities* \[Paper presentation\]. Annual Conference of National Council on Measurement in Education, San Diego, CA, United States.](#)
- [Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. \(2022b\). Parent's post-school goal expectations for English learners with disabilities. *Exceptionality*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09362835.2022.2156868>](#)
- [Zhan, M. \(2006\). Assets, parental expectations and involvement, and children's educational performance. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 28\(8\), 961-975.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2005.10.008>](#)
- [Zhang, Y., Haddad, E., Torres, B., & Chen, C. \(2011\). The reciprocal relationships among parents' expectations, adolescents' expectations, and adolescents' achievement: A two-wave longitudinal analysis of the NELS data. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 40, 479–489.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-010-9568-8>](#)
- [Zhu, A. Y. F., Yu, C. W. M., & Chou, K. L. \(2021\). Improving financial literacy in secondary school students: An randomized experiment. *Youth & Society*, 53\(4\), 539–562.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118X19851311>](#)

Table 1*Demographic Information for youth with IDD and youth with Other Disabilities*

Characteristics	Youth with IDD (n ^a = 2,280)		Youth with other disabilities (n ^a = 4,030)	
	n ^a	% ^b	n ^a	% ^b
Gender				
Male	1,500	66.0	2,580	67.6
Female	770	34.0	1,430	32.4
Race				
Non-Black	1,530	67.7	2,630	67.0
Any Black	500	23.5	880	22.3
Multi / Other	40	1.8	110	2.8
Hispanic	140	7.0	270	7.9
Free/Reduced Lunch				
No	850	44.4	1,370	41.9
Yes	960	55.6	1,920	58.1
English learner status (F = 5.14 [*])				
No	1,890	94.4	3,320	91.4
Yes	110	5.6	310	8.6
Ever held back a grade (F = 6.36 [*])				
No	1,500	64.5	2,510	60.5
Yes	750	35.5	1,490	39.5
Ever expelled from school (F = 16.90 ^{***})				

No	2,150	94.8	3,610	90.7
Yes	120	5.2	400	9.3
Ever had out-of-school suspension (F = 36.44 ^{***})				
No	1,810	77.5	2,700	66.5
Yes	460	22.5	1,320	33.5
Household income				
\$20,000 or less	180	29.4	1,050	27.6
\$20,001 to \$40,000	580	25.2	960	26.0
\$40,001 to \$60,000	510	15.4	590	16.0
Over \$60,000	510	30.1	1,150	30.4
Parent highest education level				
Less than high school	280	14.0	540	14.5
High school diploma or GED	840	39.7	1,490	38.2
More than high school	1,100	46.3	1,920	47.3
Variables	<i>n^a</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n^a</i>	<i>M</i>
Age	2,280	16.2	4,030	15.5
Parent involvement at home	2,270	6.2	4,020	6.4
Parent involvement at home	2,280	4.3	4,020	4.5
Functional index score (F = 449.37 ^{***})	2,220	2.4	3,970	2.8
Daily living index score (F = 513.88 ^{***})	2,160	1.0	3,810	1.7

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

^b Weighted %

Table 2*Results of Descriptive Analysis for Youth and Parents' Responses to Future Goal Aspirations*

Items	Youth with IDD		Youth with other disabilities	
	Weighted %	n ^a	Weighted %	n ^a
Youth future goal aspirations				
Obtaining post-secondary education	58.3	700	79.1***	2,260
Living independently by age 30	75.3	860	92.7***	2,720
Financially self-supporting by age 30	81.9	600	95.5***	1,620
Have a job by age 30	90.2	640	97.9***	1,280
Parent expectations				
Obtaining post-secondary education	36.9	810	66.4***	2,480
Living independently by age 30	44.2	870	85.4***	3,030
Financially self-supporting by age 30	44.6	910	85.6***	3,060

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

Note. Comparisons under the youth with other disabilities are between youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities.

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

*** $p < .001$.

Table 3*Summary of Logistic Regression Results for Student Expectations for Post-school Goals*

Predictors	Postsecondary Education	Living Independently	Financial Independence	Employment
	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]
Youth with IDD				
Functional index score			3.32** (4.18) [1.6, 11.2]	3.46** (4.61) [1.5, 14.4]
Daily living index score	3.82*** (2.22) [1.6, 3.0]	4.09*** (2.36) [1.6, 3.5]	3.11* (1.93) [1.2, 3.2]	
Gender (reference: Male)	1.39* (1.49) [1.0, 2.2]			
R ²	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.09
n ^a (weighted n)	720 (124,927)	720 (124,614)	450 (76,061)	440 (72,897)
Youth with Other Disabilities				
Race (reference: Non-Black)				
Hispanic		2.72* (2.62) [1.1, 6.2]	8.38* (16.38) [1.6, 172.6]	34.50*** (+) [+, +]
Multi / Other				19.35*** (+) [+, +]

English learner status (reference:			5.98* (9.51) [1.7, 53.8]	
No)				
Functional index score		3.9*** (3.90) [2.0, 7.5]	3.55** (3.62) [1.4, 9.2]	5.47* (6.69) [1.6, 28.5]
Daily living index score	2.48** (1.41) [1.1, 1.8]		5.72** (2.3) [1.3, 3.9]	
Household income (reference: <=20K)				
Over \$60,000	5.18*** (2.82) [1.5, 5.2]			
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)				
Less than high school			-4.45* (0.29) [0.1, 0.8]	
More than high School	308** (1.78) [1.2, 2.6]			
Parent involvement at school		5.63** (1.17) [1.1, 1.3]		
R ²	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.03
n ^a (weighted n)	1,870 (711,467)	1,890 (717,128)	1,080 (400,038)	823 (289,020)

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

+: >999.999

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Table 4*Summary of Logistic Regression Results for Parent Expectations for Post-school Goals*

Predictors	Postsecondary Education	Living Independently	Financial Independence
	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]
Parents of Youth with IDD			
Race (reference: Non-Black)			
Hispanic	1.94** (2.83) [1.5, 5.4]		
Multi / Other		-1.66** (0.20) [0.1, 0.6]	
English learner status (reference: No)		1.20* (2.12) [1.0, 4.4]	
Age			-2.94*** (0.79) [0.7, 0.9]
Functional index score	4.56*** (4.32) [2.7, 7.0]		5.38*** (5.52) [2.9, 10.4]
Daily living index score	3.06*** (1.84) [1.4, 2.5]	9.22*** (6.06) [4.1, 8.9]	7.01*** (3.93) [2.8, 5.5]
Out-of-school suspension (reference: No)	-1.33* (0.63) [0.4, 1.0 ^c]		
Household income (reference: <= 20K)			
\$20,001 to \$40,000	1.53* (1.66) [1.1, 2.6]		
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)			
More than high school	2.93*** (2.29) [1.5, 3.4]		
Parent involvement at home	1.51* (1.16) [1.0 ^d , 1.3]		

R ²	0.18	0.35	0.33
n ^a (weighted n)	1,160 (190,315)	1,140 (187,799)	1,150 (190,263)
Parent of Youth with Other Disabilities			
Gender (reference: Male)		2.18* (1.56) [1.0 ^d , 2.4]	
Race (reference: Non-Black)			
Any Black			2.30* (1.70) [1.1, 2.7]
English learner status			2.06* (2.18) [1.0 ^d , 4.7]
Age		-2.94** (0.80) [0.7, 0.9]	-2.12* (0.85) [0.7, 1.0 ^c]
Functional index score	3.33*** (2.91) [1.8, 4.6]	5.03*** (5.05) [2.9, 8.8]	7.31*** (10.39) [6.0, 17.9]
Daily living index score	3.73*** (1.68) [1.3, 2.1]	9.38*** (3.67) [2.4, 5.5]	9.84*** (3.95) [2.6, 6.0]
Household income (reference: ≤ 20K)			
Over \$60,000	2.79* (1.77) [1.1, 3.0]	3.99** (2.26) [1.3, 4.1]	
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)			
More than high school	2.38** (1.58) [1.1, 2.2]		
R ²	0.12	0.16	0.17
n ^a (weighted n)	2,110 (764,899)	2,100 (762,125)	2,120 (762,296)

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

^c the actual *OR* value < 1.0.

^d the actual *OR* value > 1.0.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Abstract

Using National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 data, this study explored parent and youth expectations in the areas of postsecondary education, employment, independent living, and financial independence. Compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) and their parents had much lower expectations for the four postschool goals, and parent expectations were much lower than youth's own expectations. Also, youth's race, along with their daily living skills and functional abilities, were positively associated with parent and youth expectations in several future goal areas. Our discussion highlights implications for raising expectations for students with IDD as a way to improve the transition experiences of youth with IDD~~the need to examine how data are collected~~, and ~~then can be used~~ to reimagine how to use data to better understand and improve the transition experiences of youth with IDD.

Keywords: intellectual and developmental disabilities, parent expectations, youth expectations, post-school goals

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

~~Youth with disabilities continue to exit high schools with far lower rates of employment and postsecondary education (PSE) enrollment compared to their peers without disabilities (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2020). Lipscomb et al. (2017a) using data from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012) found that youth with an individualized education program (IEP) were less likely to have plans and take steps to obtain PSE or employment compared to youth without an IEP. Findings from empirical studies (Chiang et al., 2012; Doren et al., 2012) have pointed to the importance of parent expectations in youth's post-school success, including those youth with disabilities and those from diverse racial/ethnic and language groups (Doren et al.; Kirby, 2016). Further, national data show that youth with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), intellectual disability (ID), and multiple disabilities (MD) (referred to here as youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities [IDD]) are least likely to receive assistance and support to prepare for college and employment (Lipscomb et al., 2017b).~~

Since the passage of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) of 1990, discussions about transition services ~~are~~ have been required in IEP meetings for youth with disabilities beginning no later than age 16. For youth with disabilities and their parents, transition planning is the process of setting goals for their life after high school, determining related transition services, and making necessary connections with community service agencies to attain those goals (Mazzotti et al., 2021; Test et al., 2004). The reauthorization of IDEA in 2004 strengthened several earlier provisions of the law in relation to setting post-school goals. ~~These~~ is included taking into account ~~assessing~~ the individual student's strengths along with their needs, preferences, and ~~needs~~ interests; preparing them for ~~fore~~ considering further education, as well as employment and independent living beyond high school; ensuring appropriate measurable ~~that~~

post-school goals ~~are measurable~~; and determining the transition service needed to assist them in for the student to achieve post-school goals (Johnson, 2005).

Despite the increasing efforts to improve transition services for students with IDD, post-school outcomes of these students remain dismal (Carter et al., 2012; Shattuck et al., 2012). For example, in 2017-18 837% of young adults with IDD, ages 18 to 22, did not have a paid job in the community (National Core Indicators, 2021). In 2018-19, only 45% of students with multiple disabilities (MD) graduated with a regular high school diploma, which is the lowest rate among all the disability categories (National Center for Education Statistics, 2021). In addition, more than 50% of young adults with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) left high school with no participation in any kind of employment or further education after high school ~~PSE activities~~ (Shattuck et al., 2012). Using data from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012), Lipscomb et al. (2017a) found that youth with an individualized education program (IEP) were less likely to have plans and take steps to obtain postsecondary education (PSE) or employment compared to youth without an IEP. Furthermore, national data show that youth with ASD, intellectual disability (ID), and MD (often referred to here as youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities [IDD] because of their similar intellectual and adaptive behavior characteristics) are least likely to receive support to prepare for PSE and employment (Lipscomb et al., 2017b). Difficulties in achieving employment and PSE opportunities also negatively impact on youth's chances of living independently and becoming financially independent. Youth with disabilities continue to exit high schools with far lower rates of employment and PSE enrollment compared to their peers without disabilities (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2020).

Students with disabilities from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds experience additional challenges in achieving positive transition-post-school outcomes ~~following high school~~ (Chen et al., 2015; Fleming & Fairweather, 2012; Haber et al., (2016) ~~found that being part of a minority~~

~~group was associated with poorer post-school transition outcomes compared to non-minority students with disabilities. Studies have shown race can predict differential outcomes of youth with ASD and other disabilities (e.g., Chen et al., 2015; Fleming & Fairweather, 2012; Roux et al., 2020). Roux et al. found that~~For example, high school students with autism who were Black/African American were less likely to have working experiences compared to their white peers with the same disabilities ~~(Roux et al.). Fleming and Fairweather found race predicted participation in PSE for students of all disability categories with students from racial/ethnic minority groups less likely to attend a 4-year college.~~ Several studies focusing on employment reported minority youth with a disability~~iesy~~ had worse employment outcomes compared to white youth with a disability~~iesy~~ (e.g., Chen et al.; Lindsay et al., 2022). Unfortunately, ~~f~~few research studies have ~~been conducted to~~ examined the relationship of race ~~on and~~ PSE, employment, and other transition outcomes for students with IDD.

Factors Related to Post-school Expectations

~~Researchers investigating predictors associated with positive post-school outcomes have found that p~~Parent expectations are one of the most robust predictors associated with positive post-school outcomes (Carter et al., 2012; Chiang et al., 2012). Youth with ASD were 277% more likely to participate in PSE if they had a parent who expected them to go to college or other postsecondary training opportunity~~iesy~~ (Chiang et al.). Moreover, youth with IDD whose parents expected them to be self-supporting were 3.43 times more likely to have a paid job during their high school years compared to students whose parents did not expect them to be self-supporting (Carter et al., 2011). ~~Finally, Carter et al. (2012) examined the extent to which student, family, and school factors were associated with paid employment status during the initial two years following high school among youth with IDD and found~~Research has also shown that paid work experiences during high school years, higher social skills, and higher parent expectations were

positively linked to increased odds of employment after high school (Carter et al., 2012). Wu et al. (2020) found English learners (ELs) with disabilities had lower expectations for being financially independent by age 30 than non-ELs with disabilities. They also found that predictors varied by post-school goal, as well as by EL status. For example, students' educational history, including *ever expelled from school, ever held back a grade, and out-of-school suspension*, was a significant predictor for ELs with disabilities, but not for non-ELs with disabilities. Further, the predictors also varied by post-school goals; for example, *ever held back a grade* was a significant predictor for the expectation of independent living, but not for the expectation of obtaining postsecondary education.

Research has identified numerous correlates of parent expectations. Using data from the 2000-National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (NLTS2), Kirby (2016) found family background (e.g., race, household income, mother's education) and the student's functional performances (e.g., self-care, independent living skills) were associated with parent expectations for youth to have a for paid jobs and to live independently in the future-independent living. These, in turn, significantly predicted youth's paid work, independent living, and social participation outcomes. Using a different sample of students In contrast, Holmes et al. (2018) found age, gender, and household income were not to be associated with parent expectations for youth financial independence, school attainment, and independent living outcomes.

Researchers also examined NLTS 2012 data on expectations for post-school goals with a different sample of students (Qian et al., 2020; Qian et al., in press; Wu et al., 2021, Wu et al., 2022a, 2022b). Youth's daily living skills, parents' education level, and whether youth took a college entrance or placement exam were positively associated with parent expectations for youth obtaining PSE (Qian, et al, 2021). Furthermore, parents' PSE expectation for their child was the only factor related to youth's PSE expectations for students with autism (Qian et al., in

press). Finally, researchers examined the post-school expectations for ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities (Wu et al., 2020) and for parents of ELs with disabilities (Wu et al., 2022b); they found that the predictors varied by post-school goals, as well as EL status. For example, for parents of ELs with disabilities, age was an important predictor of a parent expectation for their child to be financially independent by age 30, but not for the other two post-school goal expectations, obtaining PSE and living independently. However, age was an important factor for parents of non-ELs with disabilities for expecting that their child would be financially independent by age 30, as well as living independently.

Taken together, expectations and goal setting for post-school outcomes play important roles in the successful transition of youth's successful transition to post-school lives (Mazzotti et al., 2021). Identifying potential factors associated with parent and student expectations toward post-school goals for students with IDD, including those from racial/ethnic groups and ELs, may help better support youth and their families and result in better youth post-school success outcomes.

Research has shown the relation between parent and their child's expectations for academic achievement (e.g., Agliata & Renk, 2009; Dockery et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2011). Zhang et al. found parents' and their child's expectations were reciprocal. Agliata and Renk's study found parent-student expectation discrepancies produced affective distress (e.g., anger, depression, and anxiety). To date, only a few studies have compared youth and their parents' expectations on transition outcomes for students with disabilities (e.g., Bouck et al., 2020; Kelley & Prohn, 2019; Kirby et al., 2019). Using NLTS2 data, Kirby and colleagues ~~(2019)~~ found parents of students with disabilities held lower expectations for youth postsecondary goals than youth themselves, and both youth and parent expectations were positively associated with postsecondary outcomes. Bouck et al. examined NLTS 2012 data for parent-youth expectations

for post-school goals of students with ID in rural school settings and found youth had higher expectations than their parents. Further, researchers examined NLTS2 and NLTS 2012 data for parent-youth expectations of the post-school goals by disability groups and found that the discrepancies varied by disability category (Wu et al., 2022a).

Trainor and colleagues (2019) examined post-school expectations of English learners (ELs) with disabilities and found no significant differences between youth and parent expectations for PSE for both ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities. Even though Kirby et al. (2019) included both parent and youth expectations for the three postschool goals, these expectations now need to be examined with the more recent NLTS 2012 dataset. Some studies using NLTS 2012 have focused on one post-school goal. For example, Qian et al. (2020) and Trainor et al.'s (2019) studies focused only on expectations for PSE and Blustein et al. (2016) focused solely on employment. Other studies have focused only on parent expectations (e.g., Blustein et al.; Wu et al.). Also, several studies focused only on one disability category or different populations (e.g., ELs with disabilities) (e.g., Qian et al, in press; Wu et al., 2022b). Although both studies compared youth and parent expectations, neither~~None of these studies examined the data only for students with IDD.~~provided a whole picture of post-school expectations for students with IDD by including both expectations of parents and youth on post-school PSE, employment, community living, and financial independence. Our study extends the current literature by examining the most recent nationally representative data—NLTS 2012. NLTS 2012 allows for a comparison of parent and youth expectations and an opportunity to examine factors related to post-school goal expectations.

~~Taken together, expectations and goal setting for post-school outcomes play important roles in the successful transition of youth to post-school lives (Mazzotti et al., 2021). Identifying potential factors associated with parent and student expectations toward post-school goals for~~

~~students with IDD, including those from racial/ethnic groups and ELs, may help better support youth and their families and result in better youth post-school success.~~

~~Our study investigated the expectations of youth with IDD and their parents in the areas of PSE, employment, independent living, and financial independence using the most recently collected nationally representative data from NLTCS 2012. This study extended previous studies by examining multiple post-school domains reported by parents and youth with IDD: expectations for future participation in PSE or employment, independent living, and financial independence. We hypothesized that (1) expectations toward youth on three post-school goals (i.e., PSE, independent living, and financial independence) will vary by groups (e.g., parent vs. students; students with IDD vs. students with other disabilities) (employment was not included in this analysis because the parent survey did not directly ask parents about their expectations on this goal); (2) expectations will vary by specific post-school goal; and (3) predictors of each post-school goal will differ by group.~~ Three research questions were addressed:

1. To what extent are parent and youth expectations ~~about~~ for post-school goals for youth with IDD different ~~for youth with IDD and from parent and youth expectations for youth~~ with other disabilities?
2. To what extent do differences exist in post-school goal expectations between parent and youth ~~with IDD expectations for post-school goals for~~ found between youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities?
3. To what degree do student characteristics (e.g., race, EL status, gender, age), student's education history (e.g., held back a grade), family characteristics (e.g., family income, parent highest education level), and parent involvement predict youth and parent expectations for post-school goals for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities?

Method

NLTS 2012

The NLTS 2012 sampling process was designed to allow the results to generalize to the full population of youth receiving special education services in the United States. A two-stage national probability sample was established to produce precise, nationally representative estimates of the backgrounds and experiences of youth who receive special education services. The first stage consisted of selecting a stratified national probability sample of districts and recruiting those districts to participate. Districts included local education agencies, charter schools that operate independently, and state-sponsored special schools that serve deaf and/or blind youth. The second stage consisted of selecting a stratified sample of youth from each of the districts that agreed to participate. The two-stage sampling design resulted in an overall sample of youth with and without disabilities of 21,959 youth, of which 17,476 were youth with an IEP in 432 participating districts (Burghardt et al., 2017). The sample of districts was stratified to represent different geographic regions, district size, and other factors.

Data collection was conducted from February-October 2012 and from January-August 2013. A total of 10,459 parent surveys of youth on IEPs were completed (12,988 parent surveys were completed for youth with and without disabilities), representing a 60% unweighted response rate (Burghardt et al., 2017). Across the two years of data collection, 8,960 youth with IEPs had completed the youth survey, representing a 51% unweighted response rate. Youth were ages 12-22 when the interviews took place. Less than 2% of the youth were 12 years old, and less than 1% of them were 22 years of age. All youth were enrolled in grades 7-12 or in a secondary ungraded class at the time of the sampling.

Sample

~~In this study, we included a sample of youth referred with to as IDD-ASD, ID, and MD. IDEA 2004 definitions of these three disability groups are found in Section 300.8 (c) (1)~~

(6) (7), Child with a Disability. These youth were 14-22 years old in December 2011 ~~and in three disability categories (ASD, ID, and MD), who~~ were enrolled in school when the data were collected, and ~~who~~ attended themselves or their parents attended the IEP meeting, including a transition planning meeting. According to the literature, students with IDD are typically served under the disability categories of ID, ASD, or MD (Talapatra & Snider, 2022); researchers have identified students in these three categories as students with IDD (e.g., June et al, 2022; Traver & Carter, 2022). For comparison purposes, youth with IEPs enrolled in school, not in these three disability categories and they or their parents attended the IEP meeting were also included in the study. Only students who completed the NLTS 2012 survey by themselves had responses for post-school expectations included in the NLTS 2012 dataset.

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the sample. The overall sample included 2,280 enrolled youth with IDD (ASD: $n = 710$; weight % = 30.9%; ID: $n = 890$, weighted % = 54.2%; and MD: $n = 670$, weighted % = 14.9%) and 4,030 enrolled youth with other disabilities. Significant group differences were found for age ($p < .001$), EL status ($p < .05$), youth's education history (ever held back a grade: $p < .05$, ever expelled from school: $p < .001$, and ever had out-of-school suspension: $p < .001$), youth functional ability and daily living index scores ($p < .001$), and parent involvement at home ($p < .001$). The two groups were similar in racial/ethnic composition. The unweighted sample size reported in this study was rounded to the nearest 10 because of the Institute of Education Science (IES) data reporting requirement for using restricted data sets. In total, data were available for 329,094 weighted enrolled youth with IDD and 1,216,088 weighted youth with other disabilities.

Measures

Based on previous findings in the literature, and variables available in the NLTS 2012 dataset, we used data on youth goal aspirations and parent expectations, youth demographics and characteristics, and family-related measures.

Youth Future Goal Aspirations and Parent Expectations. Seven measures of post-school goal aspirations for youth and parents were included in this study: (a) PSE (student and parent surveys); (b) independent living (student and parent surveys); (c) financial independence (student and parent surveys); and (d) employment (student survey only). Responses to each of these four measures were coded into dichotomous variables (a) education beyond high school was coded as 1 = yes or 0 = no; (b) where youth would live when 30 years old was coded as 1 = yes, living independently, which was a combination of “*On your own – without friends or family,*” “*With friends,*” “*With a spouse or partner,*” or “*In military housing*”; all other responses were coded as 0, which included “*At home with parents,*” “*With a relative,*” “*In a group home, or With supervision,*” or “*In a large facility with paid staff*”; (c) financial independence by age 30 was coded as 1 = “*definitely will*” or “*probably will*” earn enough to support themselves without financial help from their family or government support program, or 0 = “*probably won’t*” or “*definitely won’t*”; and (d) paid job by age 30 (student only) was coded as 1 = “*definitely will*” or “*probably will*” and 0 = “*probably won’t*” and “*definitely won’t.*”

Student Demographics and Characteristics. Information on the demographics of youth was obtained from district records. The information included: IEP status, limited English proficient status, grade, age (in December 2011), gender, ethnicity (non-Black, any Black, Hispanic, and multi/other), and free/reduced lunch status. We used *youth functional abilities index* (range 0-3) to measure youth functional abilities and *youth daily living index* to measure the extent to which youth can complete typical teenage tasks independently (Burghardt et al., 2017). Both indexes were derived from responses to the parent survey, with higher values

representing greater abilities. Example components of the functional abilities index (parent report) included how well youth communicate by any means, speak clearly, carry on an oral conversation, and understand what others say to them. Examples of the daily living index included items regarding how well youth can do tasks without help such as using an ATM or cash machine, making appointments, and getting to places outside the home like school or park.

Student's Educational History. Three items were selected from the parent survey to create measures of the student's educational history. They were: (a) ever held back a grade, (b) expelled from school, and (c) out-of-school suspension. Each was coded as a binary variable.

Family ~~Related~~Demographic Measures. Four family ~~demographic~~ measures from the parent survey were included in our analyses – household income, parent education, parent involvement at home, and parent involvement at school. Because of small cell sizes in some of the original categories, these measures were recoded. Parents' reports of ~~ed~~ their most recent annual household income; ~~because of small samples in some of the original categories, responses~~ were recoded into four categories: less than \$20,000, \$20,001-\$40,000, \$40,010-\$60,000, and over \$60,000. Parents' reports of the *highest education level* for themselves and their spouse or partner were recoded into three categories (less than high school, high school or GED, and more than high school) because of small cell sizes.

Parent Involvement. For *parent involvement at home*, parents were asked how often they spoke to the child about his or her school experiences (1 = *not at all* to 4 = *regularly*) and how often they helped their child with his or her homework (1 = *never* to 4 = *3 or more times a week*). A composite score was created by summing up the responses of these two questions to present parent involvement at home, with a score ranging from 2 to 8. For *parent involvement at school*, parents were asked how frequently in the current school year, they or another adult in the household had attended a general school meeting (e.g., back to school night), attended a school

or class event (e.g., a play or sports event), volunteered at school (e.g., chaperoned a class field trip), or gone to a parent/teacher conference with youth's teacher. The sum of responses (1 = 1-2 times to 4 = more than 5-6 times) to these four items was created to present the measure of parent involvement at school; scores ranged from 0 to 16 points, with higher values representing greater parental involvement.

Data Analysis

Statistics were weighted using youth enrolled weights to represent population estimates because the sample only included enrolled students. ~~Unweighted sample sizes and weighted percentages were presented for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities.~~ Chi-square tests of homogeneity were conducted to examine whether parent and youth expectations for post-school goals for youth with IDD were different from the expectations for parents for youth with ~~IDD~~ and youth with other disabilities. Chi-square tests were also conducted to examine parent-youth differences in post-school expectations.

The expectations for post-school goals were binary, so M~~m~~ultiple logistic regressions were conducted to explore the significant predictors of parent and youth expectations. Regression models estimated the adjusted effects of independent variables (gender, ethnicity, free/reduced lunch status, age, functional abilities index score, daily living index scores, student's school experiences of being held back a grade, being expelled from school, and having an out-of-school suspension, household income, parent highest education, and parent involvement at home and at school) on four indicators of youth future goal aspirations (PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment) and three indicators of parent expectations (PSE, independent living, and financial independence) for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities. A correlation matrix was created to examine multicollinearity. Results showed the correlation ranged from -.56 to .55 with low to medium correlation. No

paired correlation exceeded the cutoff value 0.80 (Berry & Feldman, 1985), so no multicollinearity issue was detected.

Missing Data. Approximately 50% of weighted and unweighted data from NLTS 2012 youth survey were missing (Burghardt et al., 2017). The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) conducted nonresponse bias analyses and suggested that nonresponse adjustments to the weights succeeded in limiting the potential for bias (Burghardt et al.). Data were not missing at random, thus imputation was not performed. The missing rates were calculated for unweighted sample size, ranging from 37.9% to 38.5% for youth with IDD and 47.2% to 48.1% for youth with other disabilities across the four measures of *youth expectations*. Across the three measures of *parent expectations*, missing rates ranged from 2.5% to 14.0% for youth with IDD. Only 42.4% of the NLTS 2012 survey items were completed by youth themselves (Bloomenthal et al., 2017). SAS logistic regression procedures eliminate any cases with missing values on any variable included in the analyses. Therefore, the missing rate for each logistic regression model was only calculated for data with valid responses for each dependent variable. The weighted missing rates across logistic regression models ranged from 37.9% to 48.1% for youth with IDD and 36.6% to 45.9% for youth with other disabilities.

Results

Research Question 1: Parent and Youth Expectations

Table 2 presents the results of parent and youth expectations for each youth's post-school goals. Compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with IDD had lower future goal expectations in all four areas: obtaining PSE ($\chi^2 = 75.9, p < .001$), independent living ($\chi^2 = 101.7, p < .001$), financial independence ($\chi^2 = 39.0, p < .001$), and employment ($\chi^2 = 19.0, p < .001$). Similar results were found for parent expectations, with parents of youth with IDD showing lower expectations for obtaining PSE ($\chi^2 = 170.6, p < .001$), independent living ($\chi^2 = 298.3, p$

< .001), and financial independence ($\chi^2 = 246.4, p < .001$), compared to parents of youth with other disabilities.

Research Question 2: Differences in Parent and Youth Expectations for Post-school Goals

Compared to youth expectations for their post-school goals, parents had significantly lower expectations for all three post-school goals for youth with IDD (PSE: $\chi^2 = 26.5, p < .001$, living independently: $\chi^2 = 37.8, p < .001$, and financial independence: $\chi^2 = 66.2, p < .001$). Similar results were found for youth with other disabilities (PSE: $\chi^2 = 20.8, p < .001$ and financial independence: $\chi^2 = 6.3, p < .05$).

Research Question 3: Predictors of Youth and Parent Post-school Expectations

Full model logistic regression analyses for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities were conducted to explore significant predictors of youth's expectations toward themselves in the areas of PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment (see Table 3) and parent expectations for youth post-school goals (PSE, independent living, and financial independence; see Table 4).

Youth Expectations

Youth with IDD. Compared to male youth with IDD, female youth with IDD were more likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 1.49, p < .05$). Functional abilities index score was a positive predictor for youth expectations toward financial independence ($p < .01$) and employment ($p < .01$). Furthermore, the daily living index score was found to be a significant predictor of all dependent variables except for the employment area.

Youth with Other Disabilities. For youth with other disabilities, functional abilities index score was positively associated with expectations for independent living ($p < .001$); financial independence ($p < .01$), and employment ($p < .05$). Youth's daily living index score was positively associated with the expectations toward PSE ($p < .01$) and financial independence (p

< .01). Youth with other disabilities who were Hispanic were more likely than non-Black youth to expect to be living independently ($OR = 2.62, p < .05$), to be financially independent ($OR = 16.38, p < .05$), and to be employed ($OR > 999.99, p < .001$). Youth with other disabilities who were from multi- or other races were more likely than non-Black youth to expect to be employed ($OR > 999.99, p < .001$). Youth with other disabilities who were ELs were more likely to be financially independent ($OR = 9.51, p < .05$) by age 30 compared to youth with other disabilities who were non-ELs. Youth with other disabilities from families with more than \$60,000 annual household income were more than twice as likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.82, p < .001$) compared to youth with other disabilities from families with less than \$20,000 annual household income. Compared to youth whose parents had a high school diploma or GED, youth with other disabilities whose parents had more than a high school diploma were more likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 1.78, p < .01$); youth whose parents had less than a high school diploma were less likely to expect they would be financially independent by age 30 ($OR = 0.29, p < .01$). Furthermore, the student's expectation for living independently by age 30 was significantly associated with parent involvement at school ($p < .01$).

Parent Expectations

Youth with IDD. Compared to parents of non-Black students with IDD, parents of youth with IDD who were Hispanic were more than twice as likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.8, p < .01$) and parents of youth with IDD who were multi races or other were less likely to expect their child to live independently ($OR = 0.2, p < .01$). Compared to parents of youth who were not ELs, parents of youth with IDD who were ELs were more than twice as likely to expect their child to live independently ($OR = 2.1, p < .01$). Both functional abilities index and daily living index scores were positively associated with parents' post-school expectations for youth with IDD. Age was significantly negatively associated with financial independence by age 30 (p

< .001). Parents of older children had lower expectations for financial independence. Parents of youth with IDD who had an out-of-school suspension were less likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 0.63, p < .05$), compared to parents of youth with IDD who did not have an out-of-school suspension. Compared to parents of youth with IDD who had less than \$20,000 annual household income, parents of youth with IDD with \$20,000-\$40,000 annual household income were more likely to expect their child would obtain PSE ($OR = 1.66, p < .05$). Compared to parents of youth with IDD who had a high school diploma, parents of youth with IDD with more than a high school diploma were more likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.29, p < .001$). Also, parent involvement at home was positively associated with the expectation the child would obtain PSE ($p < .05$).

Youth with Other Disabilities. Compared to parents of non-Black youth, parents of Black youth with other disabilities were more likely to expect their children to be financially independent by age 30 ($OR = 1.70, p < .05$). Compared to parents of youth with other disabilities who were non-ELs, parents of youth with other disabilities who were ELs were more than twice as likely to expect their child to be financially independent by age 30, ($OR = 2.18, p < .05$). For parents of youth with other disabilities, the student's functional abilities index score and daily living index score were positively associated with all three parent post-school expectations ($p < .001$). Parents were more likely to expect female students with other disabilities to live independently compared to male students with other disabilities ($p < .05$). Further, negative associations were found with age for living independently ($p < .01$) and financial independency ($p < .05$). Parents with an annual household income of more than \$60,000 had higher expectations for their child for PSE ($OR = 1.77, p < .05$) and living independently ($OR = 2.26, p < .01$) compared to parents with less than \$20,000 annual household income. Parents with more

than a high school diploma were more likely to report that their child would obtain PSE ($OR = 1.58, p < .01$) than parents with less than a high school diploma.

Discussion

Having high expectations about one's future is important for all youth, including youth with IDD (Southward & Kyzar, 2017). We analyzed NLTS 2012 data to explore student and parent expectations ~~on~~for post-school goals (i.e., PSE, employment, independent living, and financial independence). We found that compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with IDD and their parents had much lower expectations across all four post-school goals.

~~Additionally, Y~~outh with IDD, however, had much higher expectations for themselves compared to those reported by their parents. Finally, youth with IDD who had greater daily living skills tended to have higher expectations for PSE, independent living, and financial independence, but not for employment. The same pattern was also found for parent expectations.

Age was an important factor for parent expectations that their child would be financially independent, but not for obtaining PSE and being living independently. Parents had lower expectations when their children were older for both students with IDD and students with other disabilities. One possible explanation is that schools usually do not teach students about financial literacy, which can help lead toward financial independence (Carlson, 2022). Zhu et al. (2021) stated that financial literacy is a multicomponent construct that includes financial knowledge, attitude, behaviors, and well-being. They also noted that financial education does not have a significant effect on financial behavior in the short term. Thus, it is important to start financial education as early as possible, so students have time to apply financial knowledge in their real life. This will require a group effort by both school and family.

The contribution of race/ethnicity or EL status to the expectationss of youth and parents was more variable. For example, race/ethnicity did not affect the expectations of youth with IDD but

did affect the expectations of youth with other disabilities (e.g., being Hispanic, compared to being non-Black, was positively related to expectations for living independently, being financially independent, and being employed after high school). Doren et al (2012) in their analysis of NLTS-2 data found that parents' expectations differed based on race/ethnicity. Parents of African American adolescents with disabilities held significantly lower expectations than parents of Caucasian adolescents with disabilities for their adolescent graduating from high school. Further, no significant differences in parent expectations were found among the three major ethnic groups studied (Caucasian, African American, and Hispanic) for obtaining paid employment after high school. EL status was related only to the post-school goal of financial independence for youth with other disabilities. Wu and others (2021) examined NLTS 2012 data to explore ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities for four post-school goals: PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment. They found that only the post-school goal of being financially independent was related to EL status. Our finding is consistent with the findings of Wu et al. for ELs with other disabilities. EL status seems to place extra barriers on the expectations of these students for being financially independent. Achieving English proficiency might be a plus for these students to find a job after high and being able to become financially independent.

Our findings extend the current literature on this topic in several ways. First, we found that youth with IDD and their parents had lower expectations for youth post-school goals compared to those with other disabilities, especially in the area of PSE. Specifically, half of youth with IDD did not plan to pursue any PSE options, and over 60% of their parents also did not expect them to participate in any PSE or training. PSE is, however, viewed as one of the pathways to competitive integrated employment, higher-paying jobs, economic independence, and independent living for youth with IDD. Parents and youth should be made aware of PSE

options available and encouraged to set goals for further education beyond high school during transition /IEP meetings. for youth with IDD, regardless of the level of severity of the disability.

Second, across post-school goals (i.e., obtaining PSE, living independently by age 30, and functionally self-supporting by age 30), youth with IDD reported a much more positive outlook on their future compared to their parents. Approximately, 90% of youth reported that they would have a job by age 30 whereas only 45% of parents expected their child to do so. One possible explanation is that service and support systems for individuals with IDD are complex and fragmented (Lee & Burke, 2020), and many parents are unfamiliar with these services (Schutz et al., 2021). Also, these services often have a long waitlist. For example, home and community-based services provide opportunities for individuals with IDD to receive services that support employment and independent living at home or community. Yet, across the nation, 36 states report 216,328 individuals living with a family are on a waitlist for services (Larson et al., 2017). All these factors may have contributed to a relatively negative outlook reported by parents of youth with IDD regarding future goals. Additionally, this finding may suggest a different set of variables contribute to youth and parent expectations, and future studies are needed to understand what malleable factors account for the gap between expectations reported by youth and their parents.

Third, across youth and parents' expectations for both youth with IDD and other disabilities, one consistent finding is that daily living skills (e.g., fixing breakfast or lunch, doing laundry, or buying things from stores) are positively linked-associated with ~~to~~ at least one of the post-school goals. This finding is not surprising given that self-care/independent living skills (e.g., making meals for oneself) have been identified as ~~thea~~ promising predictor of PSE and employment for transition-aged youth with disabilities (Mazzotti et al., 2021; Test et al., 2009).

This finding suggests the importance of incorporating teaching daily living skills within academics for adolescents with IDD, regardless of the severity of the disability.

Fourth, parent involvement, including at home and school, was not significantly associated with either student or parent expectations. Based on the previous literature examining parent involvement for students with IDD (Doren et al., 2012), we expected that parents who are more involved at home and school would have higher expectations for their child. One possible explanation is that items used in NLTS 2012 to assess parent involvement were about whether parents help with homework at home or attend school conferences. Although these forms of involvement are important, they may not be directly linked to the transition planning process. In the context of transition planning, parent involvement refers to the means by which parents have access to information about PSE and career options for their child's future, parents' participation in school or non-school sponsored transition planning activities as well as involvement in advocating and supporting organizations (Griffin et al., 2010). These forms of parent involvement may be more relevant to parents' expectations for their child's educational and career goals. Future research should investigate whether this is the case.

Another possible explanation of the positive outlook of students might be related to the difference between goal aspirations and expectations. Expectations concern what one will likely be able to accomplish given all existing resource and support limitations, whereas aspirations define things one really hopes to achieve despite potential barriers in the environment (Bouck et al., 2020). In the NLTS 2012 data, students were asked to assess “as things stand now, how far do you think you will get in school? or “how likely do you think you will get a paid job by the time you are 30 years old.” It is likely some students may not have recognized the subtle differences between the two and thus answered the questions in terms of their aspirations. Future studies may need to consider the relationship between aspirations and expectations; studies have shown a

positive association between educational expectations and occupational aspirations in individuals without disabilities (Beal & Crockett, 2013).

Limitations

Even though the NLTS 2012 dataset is a decade old, it is the only comprehensive nationally representative dataset that can provide important information for students with IDD.

Still, several limitations need to be acknowledged. First, NLTS 2012 codes for race/ethnicity limited the extent to which we could explore the contribution of this student characteristic to student and parent expectations. In the NLTS 2012 data set, race/ethnicity was coded as non-Black, any Black, Hispanic, multi- and other. These codes are not the same as those used in other data sets (Office of Management and Budget, 1995; Office of Minority Office, 2021), which typically include, for example, White, Black, Asian, American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders, and Multiracial. If we had had codes with greater differentiation, we would have been able to more explicitly examine the contribution of these variables to student and parent expectations.

A second limitation is that NLTS 2012 looked at youth and parent expectations at one time point. Thus, it is impossible to know the temporal relation between youth and parent expectations. It would have been ideal to have the data collected across multiple time points to address how consistent the expectations would be ~~different~~ across time points and change patterns of youth and parent expectations. It may be the case that parent expectations decrease as their child gets older. Another limitation of the data set is the lack of information on teacher expectations. Given that youth spend a considerable amount of time ~~spend~~ at school, teacher expectations may also play an important role in influencing youth and parent expectations.

Further, even though each disability category is unique, sample sizes in the NLTS 2012 dataset were too small to conduct the logistic regression models by disability categories. Also, this study

may not have included students with IDD who had more severe disabilities because students who did not completed the NLTS 2012 survey by themselves were excluded from responding the questions related to post-school expectations. Thus, the results should be interpreted with caution.

Third, this study used secondary data, so the variables included in this study were limited to those available in the NLTS 2012 dataset. For example, the NLTS 2012 surveys did not collect data on teachers' expectations for youth with disabilities. Research has shown that teacher expectations have an impact on youth's performance (Boer et al., 2018). Furthermore, the surveys lacked culturally responsive supports that may play an important role in post-school goal expectations for students from different cultural backgrounds.

Last, this study compared the results of students with IDD and students with other disabilities. IDD was the term used for students with AU, ID, and MD because they are often grouped in research because of their similar intellectual and behavioral characteristics. Disability category was not examined in this study. Wu et al. (2022) found that post-school goal expectations varied by disability category. Thus, findings from this study cannot be interpreted for students with specific disabilities. Future research should examine whether disability category alone with other factors might play an important role in the post-school goal expectations of both parent and youth with disabilities.

Implications

~~Although our findings from this study have important implications for the future of schooling for transition age youth with IDD, including youth from diverse backgrounds, an important aspect of improving the educational system for these students is to set up data collection systems that better enable us to study their educational experiences and outcomes. The lack of data on students identified as having IDD, including those from diverse backgrounds,~~

~~significantly limits what we can say about their expectations and those of their parents. Still, the data limitations we noted (e.g., insufficient sample sizes to examine students with IDD from diverse backgrounds) indicate a need for better data.~~ Data systems should be set up to identify these students, and over sample them to ensure adequate data to understand their educational experiences and outcomes.

Despite the data limitations, our findings do have implications for schools to better serve students from diverse racial/ethnic and language backgrounds who have IDD. For example, special educators who support these students need training (preservice and in-service) on how to integrate academic skills and functional skills in their instruction. This, of course, should occur before students are transition age. Although instruction should be integrated, daily living skills need to be addressed in the IEP, discussed during transition planning meetings if not resolved before the student is transition-aged, explicitly taught throughout the day (integrated with academics), and generalized across settings. Finally, few teachers may be familiar with long-term services related to employment and postsecondary education available to adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities. A comprehensive program that equips teachers with knowledge and skills in this area will increase teachers' capacity to provide education for parents to be a better advocate for students who are in transition to adult life.

The lower expectations of parents may indicate a need for special educators to provide relevant information and training for parents, so that parents are aware of resources available in the community. Due to extensive waiting lists for services in many states, consideration should also be given to beginning discussions with parents regarding the post-school services needs of their child much earlier than age 16 as now required by IDEA. Another way to increase parents' expectations is to consider programs that can increase expectations of students, teachers, and their peers. Researchers have pointed out that student expectations are strongly predicted by what

their parents expected of them in terms of postschool goals (Qian et al., in press), and the reverse may also be true. Finally, connecting parents with resources within the community and establishing parent support groups may enhance parents' expectations and expand their social network, which is related to career opportunities (Petner-Arrey et al., 2016). Studies showed that social support groups encourage parents' engagement and expectations (e.g., Harper et al., 2021; Schmid & Garrels, 2021) associated with student outcomes (Zhan 2006). Thus, it might be helpful to create a support group for students with IDD and their parents, so they can exchange their successful experiences, as well as increase their expectations and engagement through group discussions.

Our study also indicates that the predictors of post-school goals for youth with IDD and their parents are different from youth with other disabilities and their parents. For example, youth with IDD and their parents had lower expectations for youth' post-school education compared to those with other disabilities. Thus, schools may consider providing youth with IDD and their parents with different supports or services than other student groups to increase their expectations ~~on~~ for post-school goals. Further, the study found that overall, across disability groups, youth held higher expectations for post-secondary education, independent living, and financial independence than did their parents. The extent to which students and their parents have divergent goal expectations for a student's movement into independence has implications for the transition planning process. Schools need to address differences in goal expectations between students and parents to avoid disagreements and challenges that may occur in setting future transition goals. Doren et al. (2012) noted in their research on parent expectations that school personnel may need to help some parents disentangle their expectations for their child from those based on their own experiences by providing information and consultation on supports, accommodations, and service available to support their child in achieving future goals

they envision for themselves. Promoting high expectations for all students, including students with IDD and those from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds, is clearly a foundational goal for schools.

Further, providing all students with disabilities, including students with IDD and those from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds, opportunities for PSE and integrated competitive employment needs to be further emphasized. Significant strides have been made through research demonstrating the capacities of youth and young adults to successfully achieve these transition outcomes. We call for more schools to establish coordinated efforts and resources to improve the expectations from both parents and youth toward obtaining PSE and training and employment. A young person's future financial independence and opportunity to live independently and fully participate in their communities will not occur if high expectations are not shared by parents, students, and by-school personnel.

References

- Agliata A. K., & Renk, K. (2009). College students' affective distress: The role of expectation discrepancies and communication. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 18, 396–411. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-008-9244-8>
- Beal & Crockett, 2013 Beal, S. J., & Crockett, L. J. (2013). Adolescents' occupational and educational goals: A test of reciprocal relations. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 34(5), 219-229.
- Berry, W. D. & Feldman, S. (1985). *Multiple regression in practice (Quantitative applications in the social sciences)*. SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Bloomenthal, A., Haimson, J., Lipscomb, S., Liu, A.Y., Potter, F., & Waits, T. (2017). *National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 restricted-use data file: Sampling and 2012-2013 survey data (NCEE 2017–4020)*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Blustein, C. L., Carter, E. W., & McMillan, E. D. (2016). The voices of parents: Post-high school expectations, priorities, and concerns for children with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *The Journal of Special Education*, 50(3) 164–177. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466916641381>
- Bouck, E. C., Long, H. M., & Costello, M. P. (2020). Parent and youth post-school expectations: Students with intellectual disability in rural schools. *Rural Special Education Quarterly*, 40(1), 14-23. <https://doi.org/10.1177/8756870520945572>.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (2020, February 26). *Persons with a disability: Labor force characteristics — 2019*. <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/disabl.pdf>
- Burghardt, J., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Lipscomb, S., Potter, F., Waits, T., & Wang, S. (2017). *National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 design documentation (NCEE 2017–4021)*.

U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

Carlson, J. M. (2022). *Financial literacy can help lead towards financial independence.*

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/juliacarlson/2022/04/28/financial-literacy-can-help-lead-towards-financial-independence/?sh=7418fbe777b9>

Carter, E. W., Austin, D., & Trainor, A. A. (2011). Factors associated with the early work experiences of adolescents with severe disabilities. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 49*(4), 233-247. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1934-9556-49.4.233>

Carter, E. W., Austin, D., & Trainor, A. A. (2012). Predictors of postschool employment outcomes for young adults with severe disabilities. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies, 23*, 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1044207311414680>

Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2022). *Signs and Symptoms of Autism Spectrum Disorder.*

Chen, J. L., Sung, C., & Pi, S. (2015). Vocational rehabilitation service patterns and outcomes for individuals with autism of different ages. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 45*(9), 3015-3029. DOI 10.1007/s10803-015-2465-y

Chiang, H. M., Cheung, Y. K., Hickson, L., Xiang, R., & Tsai, L. Y. (2012). Predictive factors of participation in postsecondary education for high school leavers with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 42*(5), 685–696. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-011-1297-7>

Colorado Department of Education (n.d.). *Autism spectrum disorder.*
<https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/sd-autism>

Dockery, A. M., Koshy, P., & Li, I. W. (2022). Parental expectations of children's higher education participation in Australia. *British Educational Research Journal*, 48, 617– 639. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3786>

Doren, B., Gau, J. M., & Lindstrom, L. E. (2012). The relationship between parent expectations and postschool outcomes of adolescents with disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 79(1), 7-23. <https://doi-org.ezp2.lib.umn.edu/10.1177/001440291207900101>

~~Dockery, A. M., Koshy, P., & Li, I. W. (2022). Parental expectations of children's higher education participation in Australia. *British Educational Research Journal*, 48, 617– 639. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3786>~~

–Fleming, A. R., & Fairweather, J. S. (2012). The Role of Postsecondary Education in the Path From High School to Work for Youth With Disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 55(2), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355211423303>

Griffin, M. M., McMillan, E. D., & Hodapp, R. M. (2010). Family perspectives on post-secondary education for students with intellectual disabilities. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, 45(3), 339-346. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23880108>

Haber, M. G., Mazzotti, V. L., Mustian, A. L., Rowe, D. A., Bartholomew, A. L., Test, D. W., & Fowler, C. H. (2016). What works, when, for whom, and with whom: A meta-analytic review of predictors of postsecondary success for students with disabilities. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(1), 123-162. DOI: 10.3102/0034654315583135

Harper, F. K., Rosenberg, J. M., Comperry, S., Howell, K., & Womble, S. (2021). #Mathathome during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Exploring and reimagining resources and social supports for parents. *Education Sciences*, 11(2), 60. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11020060>

Holmes, L.G., Kirby, A. V., Strassberg, D. S., & Hilme, M. B. (2018). Parent expectations and preparatory activities as adolescents with ASD transition to adulthood. *Journal of Autism and*

Developmental Disorders, 48(9), 2925-2937. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-018-3545-6>

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 (2004).

Johnson, D. R. (2005). Key provisions on transition: A comparison of IDEA 1997 and IDEA 2004. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 28(1), 60-63.

Jung, S., Ousley, C., & McNaughton, D. (2022). The effects of technology supports on community grocery shopping skills for students with intellectual and developmental disabilities: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 37(3), 351-362.

Kelley, K. R., & Prohn, S. M. (2019). Postsecondary and employment expectations of families and students with intellectual disability. *Journal of Inclusive Postsecondary Education*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.13021/jipe.2019.2455>

Kirby A. V. (2016). Parent expectations mediate outcomes for young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism Developmental Disorder*, 46, 1673-1655. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-015-2691-3>

Kirby A. V., Dell'armo, K., & Persch, A. C. (2019). Differences in youth and parent postsecondary expectations for youth with disabilities. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 51, 77-86. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JVR-191027>

Larson, S.A., Eschenbacher, H.J., Anderson, L.L., Taylor, B., Pettingell, S., Hewitt, A., Sowers, M., & Fay, M.L. (2017). In-home and residential long-term supports and services for persons with intellectual or developmental disabilities: Status and trends through 2014. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Institute on Community Integration.

Lee, C. E., & Burke, M. M. (2020). Future planning among families of individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities: A systematic review. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 17(2), 94-107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jppi.12324>

Lindsay S, Varahra A, Ahmed H, Abrahamson S, Pulver S, Primucci M, Wong K. (2022).

Exploring the relationships between race, ethnicity, and school and work outcomes among youth and young adults with disabilities: a scoping review. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 44(25), 8110-8129. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2021.2001056

Lipscomb, S., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Burghardt, J., Johnson, D. R., & Thurlow, M. L. (2017a).

Preparing for life after high school: The characteristics and experiences of youth in special education. Findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012. Volume 1: Comparisons with other youth: Full report (NCEE 2017-4016). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

Lipscomb, S., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Burghardt, J., Johnson, D. R., & Thurlow, M. L. (2017b).

Preparing for life after high school: The characteristics and experiences of youth in special education. Findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012. Volume 2: Comparisons across disability groups: Full report (NCEE 2017-4018). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

Mazzotti, V. L., Rowe, D. A., Kwiatek, S., Voggt, A., Chang, W.-H., Fowler, C. H., Poppen, M.,

Sinclair, J., & Test, D. W. (2021). Secondary Transition Predictors of Postschool Success: An Update to the Research Base. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 44(1) 47–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2165143420959793>

National Center for Education Statistics (2021). *Students with disabilities*. National Center for

Education Statistics. Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/pdf/2021/cgg_508c.pdf

National Core Indicators (2021). Chart generator 2017-18. Human Services Research Institute

and the National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services.

Retrieved from <http://www.nationalcoreindicators.org/charts>. Office of Management and Budget (1995). *Standards for the classification of federal data on race and ethnicity*.

https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/omb/fedreg_race-ethnicity.

Office of Management and Budget (1995). *Standards for the classification of federal data on race and ethnicity*. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/omb/fedreg_race-ethnicity

Office of Minority Office (2021). *Explanation of data standards for race, ethnicity, sex, primary language, and disability*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

<https://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/omh/browse.aspx?lvl=3&lvlid=54#1>

Petner-Arrey, J., Howell-Moneta, A., & Lysaght, R. (2016). Facilitating employment opportunities for adults with intellectual and developmental disability through parents and social networks. *Disability and rehabilitation*, 38(8), 789-795.

Qian, X., Johnson, D. J., Wu, Y., LaVelle, J., Thurlow, M., Davenport, E. (2020). Parents' postsecondary education expectations for students with autism, intellectual disability, and multiple disabilities: Findings from NLTS 2012. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities*, 45(4), 256-270.

Qian, X., Lee, S., Wu, Y., & Johnson, D. (in press). Expectations of transition-age students with autism spectrum disorder toward postsecondary education. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*.

Roux, A. M., Rast, J. E., Garfield, T., Anderson, K. A., & Shattuck, P. T. (2020). Prevalence and correlates of work experiences among high school students on the autism spectrum. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 58(4), 273–287.

Schmid, E., & Garrels, V. (2021). Parental involvement and educational success among vulnerable students in vocational education and training. *Educational Research*, 63(4), 456-473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2021.1988672>

- Schutz, M. A., Awsumb, J. M., Carter, E. W., & McMillian, E. D. (2021). Parent perspectives on pre-employment transition services for youth with disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355221993542>
- Shattuck, P. , Narendorf, S. C., Cooper, B., Sterzing, P. R., Wagner, M., & Taylor, J. L. (2012). Postsecondary education and employment among youth with an autism spectrum disorder. *Pediatrics*, 129(6), 1042-1049. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1542/peds.2011-2864>
- Southward, J. D., & Kyzar, K. (2017). Predictors of competitive employment for students with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, 52(1), 26-37.doi: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26420373>
- Srivastava & Schwartz (2014). Intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorders: Causal genes and molecular mechanisms. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 46(2).161-174.
- Talapatra, D., & Snider, L. A. (2022). Partnering for success: Leveraging legislation for Transitioning students with intellectual disabilities. *Contemporary School Psychology*, 26(3), 309-320.
- Test, D. W., Mason, C., Hughes, C., Konrad, M., Neale, M., & Wood, W. M. (2004). Student involvement in individualized education program meetings. *Exceptional Children*, 70, 391–412. <https://doi-org.ezp2.lib.umn.edu/10.1177/001440290407000401>
- Test, D. W., Mazzotti, V. L., Mustian, A. L., Fowler, C. H., Kortering, L., & Kohler, P. (2009). Evidence-based secondary transition predictors for improving postschool outcomes for students with disabilities. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 32, 160–181. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885728809346960>
- Trainor, A. A., Newman, L., Garcia, E., Woodley, H. H., Traxler, R. E., & Deschene, D. N. (2019). Postsecondary education-focused transition planning experiences of English learners

with disabilities. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 42(1), 43-

55. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2165143418811830>

Travers, H. E., & Carter, E. W. (2022). A portrait of peers within peer-mediated interventions: A literature review. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 37(2), 71-82.

Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. R. (2021). A national view of transition planning participation and post-school goals for English learners with disabilities. *Exceptionality*, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09362835.2021.1938060>

Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. (2022a). Parent and Youth Expectations on Post-school Outcomes for Youth with Disabilities [Paper presentation]. Annual Conference of National Council on Measurement in Education, San Diego, CA, United States.

Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. (2022b). Parent's post-school goal expectations for English learners with disabilities. *Exceptionality*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09362835.2022.2156868>

Zhan, M. (2006). Assets, parental expectations and involvement, and children's educational performance. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 28(8), 961-975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2005.10.008>

Zhang, Y., Haddad, E., Torres, B., & Chen, C. (2011). The reciprocal relationships among parents' expectations, adolescents' expectations, and adolescents' achievement: A two-wave longitudinal analysis of the NELS data. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 40, 479-489. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-010-9568-8>

Zhu, A. Y. F., Yu, C. W. M., & Chou, K. L. (2021). Improving financial literacy in secondary school students: An randomized experiment. *Youth & Society*, 53(4), 539-562. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118X19851311>

Table 1*Demographic Information for youth with IDD and youth with Other Disabilities*

Characteristics	Youth with IDD (n ^a = 2,280)		Youth with other disabilities (n ^a = 4,030)	
	n ^a	% ^b	n ^a	% ^b
Gender				
Male	1,500	66.0	2,580	67.6
Female	770	34.0	1,430	32.4
Race				
Non-Black	1,530	67.7	2,630	67.0
Any Black	500	23.5	880	22.3
Multi / Other	40	1.8	110	2.8
Hispanic	140	7.0	270	7.9
Free/Reduced Lunch				
No	850	44.4	1,370	41.9
Yes	960	55.6	1,920	58.1
English learner status (F = 5.14 [*])				
No	1,890	94.4	3,320	91.4
Yes	110	5.6	310	8.6
Ever held back a grade (F = 6.36 [*])				
No	1,500	64.5	2,510	60.5
Yes	750	35.5	1,490	39.5
Ever expelled from school (F = 16.90 ^{***})				

No	2,150	94.8	3,610	90.7
Yes	120	5.2	400	9.3
Ever had out-of-school suspension (F = 36.44 ^{***})				
No	1,810	77.5	2,700	66.5
Yes	460	22.5	1,320	33.5
Household income				
\$20,000 or less	180	29.4	1,050	27.6
\$20,001 to \$40,000	580	25.2	960	26.0
\$40,001 to \$60,000	510	15.4	590	16.0
Over \$60,000	510	30.1	1,150	30.4
Parent highest education level				
Less than high school	280	14.0	540	14.5
High school diploma or GED	840	39.7	1,490	38.2
More than high school	1,100	46.3	1,920	47.3
Variables	<i>n^a</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n^a</i>	<i>M</i>
Age	2,280	16.2	4,030	15.5
Parent involvement at home	2,270	6.2	4,020	6.4
Parent involvement at home	2,280	4.3	4,020	4.5
Functional index score (F = 449.37 ^{***})	2,220	2.4	3,970	2.8
Daily living index score (F = 513.88 ^{***})	2,160	1.0	3,810	1.7

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

^b Weighted %

Table 2*Results of Descriptive Analysis for Youth and Parents' Responses to Future Goal Aspirations*

Items	Youth with IDD		Youth with other disabilities	
	Weighted %	n ^a	Weighted %	n ^a
Youth future goal aspirations				
Obtaining post-secondary education	58.3	700	79.1***	2,260
Living independently by age 30	75.3	860	92.7***	2,720
Financially self-supporting by age 30	81.9	600	95.5***	1,620
Have a job by age 30	90.2	640	97.9***	1,280
Parent expectations				
Obtaining post-secondary education	36.9	810	66.4***	2,480
Living independently by age 30	44.2	870	85.4***	3,030
Financially self-supporting by age 30	44.6	910	85.6***	3,060

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

Note. Comparisons under the youth with other disabilities are between youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities.

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

*** $p < .001$.

Table 3*Summary of Logistic Regression Results for Student Expectations for Post-school Goals*

Predictors	Postsecondary Education	Living Independently	Financial Independence	Employment
	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]
Youth with IDD				
Functional index score			3.32** (4.18) [1.6, 11.2]	3.46** (4.61) [1.5, 14.4]
Daily living index score	3.82*** (2.22) [1.6, 3.0]	4.09*** (2.36) [1.6, 3.5]	3.11* (1.93) [1.2, 3.2]	
Gender (reference: Male)	1.39* (1.49) [1.0, 2.2]			
R ²	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.09
n ^a (weighted n)	720 (124,927)	720 (124,614)	450 (76,061)	440 (72,897)
Youth with Other Disabilities				
Race (reference: Non-Black)				
Hispanic		2.72* (2.62) [1.1, 6.2]	8.38* (16.38) [1.6, 172.6]	34.50*** (+) [+ , +]
Multi / Other				19.35*** (+) [+ , +]

English learner status (reference:			5.98* (9.51) [1.7, 53.8]	
No)				
Functional index score		3.9*** (3.90) [2.0, 7.5]	3.55** (3.62) [1.4, 9.2]	5.47* (6.69) [1.6, 28.5]
Daily living index score	2.48** (1.41) [1.1, 1.8]		5.72** (2.3) [1.3, 3.9]	
Household income (reference: <=20K)				
Over \$60,000	5.18*** (2.82) [1.5, 5.2]			
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)				
Less than high school			-4.45* (0.29) [0.1, 0.8]	
More than high School	308** (1.78) [1.2, 2.6]			
Parent involvement at school		5.63** (1.17) [1.1, 1.3]		
R ²	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.03
n ^a (weighted n)	1,870 (711,467)	1,890 (717,128)	1,080 (400,038)	823 (289,020)

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

+: >999.999

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Table 4*Summary of Logistic Regression Results for Parent Expectations for Post-school Goals*

Predictors	Postsecondary Education	Living Independently	Financial Independence
	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]
Parents of Youth with IDD			
Race (reference: Non-Black)			
Hispanic	1.94** (2.83) [1.5, 5.4]		
Multi / Other		-1.66** (0.20) [0.1, 0.6]	
English learner status (reference: No)		1.20* (2.12) [1.0, 4.4]	
Age			-2.94*** (0.79) [0.7, 0.9]
Functional index score	4.56*** (4.32) [2.7, 7.0]		5.38*** (5.52) [2.9, 10.4]
Daily living index score	3.06*** (1.84) [1.4, 2.5]	9.22*** (6.06) [4.1, 8.9]	7.01*** (3.93) [2.8, 5.5]
Out-of-school suspension (reference: No)	-1.33* (0.63) [0.4, 1.0 ^c]		
Household income (reference: <= 20K)			
\$20,001 to \$40,000	1.53* (1.66) [1.1, 2.6]		
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)			
More than high school	2.93*** (2.29) [1.5, 3.4]		
Parent involvement at home	1.51* (1.16) [1.0 ^d , 1.3]		

POST-SCHOOL GOAL EXPECTATIONS

40

R ²	0.18	0.35	0.33
n ^a (weighted n)	1,160 (190,315)	1,140 (187,799)	1,150 (190,263)
Parent of Youth with Other Disabilities			
Gender (reference: Male)		2.18* (1.56) [1.0 ^d , 2.4]	
Race (reference: Non-Black)			
Any Black			2.30* (1.70) [1.1, 2.7]
English learner status			2.06* (2.18) [1.0 ^d , 4.7]
Age		-2.94** (0.80) [0.7, 0.9]	-2.12* (0.85) [0.7, 1.0 ^c]
Functional index score	3.33*** (2.91) [1.8, 4.6]	5.03*** (5.05) [2.9, 8.8]	7.31*** (10.39) [6.0, 17.9]
Daily living index score	3.73*** (1.68) [1.3, 2.1]	9.38*** (3.67) [2.4, 5.5]	9.84*** (3.95) [2.6, 6.0]
Household income (reference: ≤ 20K)			
Over \$60,000	2.79* (1.77) [1.1, 3.0]	3.99** (2.26) [1.3, 4.1]	
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)			
More than high school	2.38** (1.58) [1.1, 2.2]		
R ²	0.12	0.16	0.17
n ^a (weighted n)	2,110 (764,899)	2,100 (762,125)	2,120 (762,296)

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.^c the actual *OR* value < 1.0.^d the actual *OR* value > 1.0.* *p* < .05. ** *p* < .01. *** *p* < .001.

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Abstract

Using National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 data, this study explored parent and youth expectations in the areas of postsecondary education, employment, independent living, and financial independence. Compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) and their parents had much lower expectations for the four postschool goals, and parent expectations were much lower than youth's own expectations. Also, youth's race, along with their daily living skills and functional abilities, were positively associated with parent and youth expectations in several future goal areas. Our discussion highlights implications for improving the transition experiences of youth with IDD~~the need to examine how data are collected, and then can be used to reimagine how to use data to better understand and improve the transition experiences of youth with IDD.~~

Keywords: intellectual and developmental disabilities, parent expectations, youth expectations, post-school goals

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

~~Youth with disabilities continue to exit high schools with far lower rates of employment and postsecondary education (PSE) enrollment compared to their peers without disabilities (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2020). Lipscomb et al. (2017a) using data from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012) found that youth with an individualized education program (IEP) were less likely to have plans and take steps to obtain PSE or employment compared to youth without an IEP. Findings from empirical studies (Chiang et al., 2012; Doren et al., 2012) have pointed to the importance of parent expectations in youth's post-school success, including those youth with disabilities and those from diverse racial/ethnic and language groups (Doren et al.; Kirby, 2016). Further, national data show that youth with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), intellectual disability (ID), and multiple disabilities (MD) (referred to here as youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities [IDD]) are least likely to receive assistance and support to prepare for college and employment (Lipscomb et al., 2017b).~~

Since the passage of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) of 1990, discussions about transition services ~~are~~ have been required in IEP meetings for youth with disabilities beginning no later than age 16. For youth with disabilities and their parents, transition planning is the process of setting goals for their life after high school, determining related transition services, and making necessary connections with community service agencies to attain those goals (Mazzotti et al., 2021; Test et al., 2004). The reauthorization of IDEA in 2004 strengthened several earlier provisions of the law in relation to setting post-school goals. ~~These~~ is included taking into account ~~assessing~~ the individual student's strengths along with their needs, preferences, and ~~needs~~ interests; preparing them for ~~fore~~ considering further education, as well as employment and independent living beyond high school; ensuring appropriate measurable ~~that~~

post-school goals ~~are measurable~~; and determining the transition service needed to assist them in for the student to achieve post-school goals (Johnson, 2005).

Despite the increasing efforts to improve transition services for students with IDD, post-school outcomes of these students remain dismal (Carter et al., 2012; Shattuck et al., 2012). For example, in 2017-18 837% of young adults with IDD, ages 18 to 22, did not have a paid job in the community (National Core Indicators, 2021). In 2018-19, only 45% of students with multiple disabilities (MD) graduated with a regular high school diploma, which is the lowest rate among all the disability categories (National Center for Education Statistics, 2021). In addition, more than 50% of young adults with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) left high school with no participation in any kind of employment or further education after high school ~~PSE activities~~ (Shattuck et al., 2012). Using data from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012), Lipscomb et al. (2017a) found that youth with an individualized education program (IEP) were less likely to have plans and take steps to obtain postsecondary education (PSE) or employment compared to youth without an IEP. Furthermore, national data show that youth with ASD, intellectual disability (ID), and MD (often referred to here as youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities [IDD] because of their similar intellectual and adaptive behavior characteristics) are least likely to receive support to prepare for PSE and employment (Lipscomb et al., 2017b). Difficulties in achieving employment and PSE opportunities also negatively impact a youth's chances of living independently and becoming financially independent. Youth with disabilities continue to exit high schools with far lower rates of employment and PSE enrollment compared to their peers without disabilities (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2020).

Students with disabilities from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds experience additional challenges in achieving positive transition-post-school outcomes ~~following high school~~ (Chen et al., 2015; Fleming & Fairweather, 2012; Haber et al., (2016) ~~found that being part of a minority~~

~~group was associated with poorer post-school transition outcomes compared to non-minority students with disabilities. Studies have shown race can predict differential outcomes of youth with ASD and other disabilities (e.g., Chen et al., 2015; Fleming & Fairweather, 2012; Roux et al., 2020). Roux et al. found that~~For example, high school students with autism who were Black/African American were less likely to have working experiences compared to their white peers with the same disabilities ~~(Roux et al.). Fleming and Fairweather found race predicted participation in PSE for students of all disability categories with students from racial/ethnic minority groups less likely to attend a 4-year college.~~ Several studies focusing on employment reported minority youth with a disability~~iesy~~ had worse employment outcomes compared to white youth with a disability~~iesy~~ (e.g., Chen et al.; Lindsay et al., 2022). Unfortunately, ~~f~~few research studies have ~~been conducted to~~ examined the relationship of race ~~on and~~ PSE, employment, and other transition outcomes for students with IDD.

Factors Related to Post-school Expectations

~~Researchers investigating predictors associated with positive post-school outcomes have found that p~~Parent expectations are one of the most robust predictors associated with positive post-school outcomes (Carter et al., 2012; Chiang et al., 2012). Youth with ASD were 277% more likely to participate in PSE if they had a parent who expected them to go to college or other postsecondary training opportunity~~iesy~~ (Chiang et al.). Moreover, youth with IDD whose parents expected them to be self-supporting were 3.43 times more likely to have a paid job during their high school years compared to students whose parents did not expect them to be self-supporting (Carter et al., 2011). ~~Finally, Carter et al. (2012) examined the extent to which student, family, and school factors were associated with paid employment status during the initial two years following high school among youth with IDD and found~~Research has also shown that paid work experiences during high school years, higher social skills, and higher parent expectations were

positively linked to increased odds of employment after high school (Carter et al., 2012). Wu et al. (2020) found English learners (ELs) with disabilities had lower expectations for being financially independent by age 30 than non-ELs with disabilities. They also found that predictors varied by post-school goal, as well as by EL status. For example, students' educational history, including *ever expelled from school, ever held back a grade, and out-of-school suspension*, was a significant predictor for ELs with disabilities, but not for non-ELs with disabilities. Further, the predictors also varied by post-school goals; for example, *ever held back a grade* was a significant predictor for the expectation of independent living, but not for the expectation of obtaining postsecondary education.

Research has identified numerous correlates of parent expectations. Using data from the 2000-National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (NLTS2), Kirby (2016) found family background (e.g., race, household income, mother's education) and the student's functional performances (e.g., self-care, independent living skills) were associated with parent expectations for youth to have a for paid jobs and to live independently in the future-independent living. These, in turn, significantly predicted youth's paid work, independent living, and social participation outcomes. Using a different sample of students In contrast, Holmes et al. (2018) found age, gender, and household income were not to be associated with parent expectations for youth financial independence, school attainment, and independent living outcomes.

Researchers also examined NLTS 2012 data on expectations for post-school goals with a different sample of students (Qian et al., 2020; Qian et al., in press; Wu et al., 2021, Wu et al., 2022a, 2022b). Youth's daily living skills, parents' education level, and whether youth took a college entrance or placement exam were positively associated with parent expectations for youth obtaining PSE (Qian, et al, 2021). Furthermore, parents' PSE expectation for their child was the only factor related to youth's PSE expectations for students with autism (Qian et al., in

press). Finally, researchers examined the post-school expectations for ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities (Wu et al., 2020) and for parents of ELs with disabilities (Wu et al., 2022b); they found that the predictors varied by post-school goals, as well as EL status. For example, for parents of ELs with disabilities, age was an important predictor of a parent expectation for their child to be financially independent by age 30, but not for the other two post-school goal expectations, obtaining PSE and living independently. However, age was an important factor for parents of non-ELs with disabilities for expecting that their child would be financially independent by age 30, as well as living independently.

Taken together, expectations and goal setting for post-school outcomes play important roles in the successful transition of youth's successful transition to post-school lives (Mazzotti et al., 2021). Identifying potential factors associated with parent and student expectations toward post-school goals for students with IDD, including those from racial/ethnic groups and ELs, may help better support youth and their families and result in better youth post-school success outcomes.

Research has shown the relation between parent and their child's expectations for academic achievement (e.g., Agliata & Renk, 2009; Dockery et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2011). Zhang et al. found parents' and their child's expectations were reciprocal. Agliata and Renk's study found parent-student expectation discrepancies produced affective distress (e.g., anger, depression, and anxiety). To date, only a few studies have compared youth and their parents' expectations on transition outcomes for students with disabilities (e.g., Bouck et al., 2020; Kelley & Prohn, 2019; Kirby et al., 2019). Using NLTS2 data, Kirby and colleagues (2019) found parents of students with disabilities held lower expectations for youth postsecondary goals than youth themselves, and both youth and parent expectations were positively associated with postsecondary outcomes. Bouck et al. examined NLTS 2012 data for parent-youth expectations

for post-school goals of students with ID in rural school settings and found youth had higher expectations than their parents. Further, researchers examined NLTS2 and NLTS 2012 data for parent-youth expectations of the post-school goals by disability groups and found that the discrepancies varied by disability category (Wu et al., 2022a).

Trainor and colleagues (2019) examined post-school expectations of English learners (ELs) with disabilities and found no significant differences between youth and parent expectations for PSE for both ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities. Even though Kirby et al. (2019) included both parent and youth expectations for the three postschool goals, these expectations now need to be examined with the more recent NLTS 2012 dataset. Some studies using NLTS 2012 have focused on one post-school goal. For example, Qian et al. (2020) and Trainor et al.'s (2019) studies focused only on expectations for PSE and Blustein et al. (2016) focused solely on employment. Other studies have focused only on parent expectations (e.g., Blustein et al.; Wu et al.). Also, several studies focused only on one disability category or different populations (e.g., ELs with disabilities) (e.g., Qian et al, in press; Wu et al., 2022b). Although both studies compared youth and parent expectations, neither None of these studies examined the data only for students with IDD. provided a whole picture of post-school expectations for students with IDD by including both expectations of parents and youth on post-school PSE, employment, community living, and financial independence. Our study extends the current literature by examining the most recent nationally representative data—NLTS 2012. NLTS 2012 allows for a comparison of parent and youth expectations and an opportunity to examine factors related to post-school goal expectations.

Taken together, expectations and goal setting for post-school outcomes play important roles in the successful transition of youth to post-school lives (Mazzotti et al., 2021). Identifying potential factors associated with parent and student expectations toward post-school goals for

~~students with IDD, including those from racial/ethnic groups and ELs, may help better support youth and their families and result in better youth post-school success.~~

~~Our study investigated the expectations of youth with IDD and their parents in the areas of PSE, employment, independent living, and financial independence using the most recently collected nationally representative data from NLTCS 2012. This study extended previous studies by examining multiple post-school domains reported by parents and youth with IDD: expectations for future participation in PSE or employment, independent living, and financial independence. We hypothesized that (1) expectations toward youth on three post-school goals (i.e., PSE, independent living, and financial independence) will vary by groups (e.g., parent vs. students; students with IDD vs. students with other disabilities); (2) expectations will vary by specific post-school goal; and (3) predictors of each post-school goal will differ by group. Three research questions were addressed:~~

1. To what extent are parent and youth expectations ~~about~~ for post-school goals for youth with IDD different ~~for youth with IDD and from parent and youth expectations for youth~~ with other disabilities?
2. To what extent do differences exist in post-school goal expectations between youth with IDD and their parents and between youth with IDD expectations for post-school goals found between youth with other disabilities and their parents ~~IDD and youth with other disabilities~~?
3. To what degree do student characteristics (e.g., race, EL status, gender, age), student's education history (e.g., held back a grade), family characteristics (e.g., family income, parent highest education level), and parent involvement predict youth and parent expectations for post-school goals for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities?

Method

NLTS 2012

The NLTS 2012 sampling process was designed to allow the results to generalize to the full population of youth receiving special education services in the United States. A two-stage national probability sample was established to produce precise, nationally representative estimates of the backgrounds and experiences of youth who receive special education services. The first stage consisted of selecting a stratified national probability sample of districts and recruiting those districts to participate. Districts included local education agencies, charter schools that operate independently, and state-sponsored special schools that serve deaf and/or blind youth. The second stage consisted of selecting a stratified sample of youth from each of the districts that agreed to participate. The two-stage sampling design resulted in an overall sample of youth with and without disabilities of 21,959 youth, of which 17,476 were youth with an IEP in 432 participating districts (Burghardt et al., 2017). The sample of districts was stratified to represent different geographic regions, district size, and other factors.

Data collection was conducted from February-October 2012 and from January-August 2013. A total of 10,459 parent surveys of youth on IEPs were completed (12,988 parent surveys were completed for youth with and without disabilities), representing a 60% unweighted response rate (Burghardt et al., 2017). Across the two years of data collection, 8,960 youth with IEPs had completed the youth survey, representing a 51% unweighted response rate. Youth were ages 12-22 when the interviews took place. Less than 2% of the youth were 12 years old, and less than 1% of them were 22 years of age. All youth were enrolled in grades 7-12 or in a secondary ungraded class at the time of the sampling.

Sample

~~In this study, we included a sample of youth referred with to as IDD-ASD, ID, and MD who. IDEA 2004 definitions of these three disability groups are found in Section 300.8 (c) (1)~~

(6) (7), Child with a Disability. These youth were 14-22 years old in December 2011 ~~and in three disability categories (ASD, ID, and MD), who~~ were enrolled in school when the data were collected, and ~~who~~ attended themselves or their parents attended the IEP meeting, including a transition planning meeting. According to the literature, students with IDD are typically served under the disability categories of ID, ASD, or MD (Talapatra & Snider, 2022); researchers have identified students in these three categories as students with IDD (e.g., June et al, 2022; Traver & Carter, 2022). For comparison purposes, youth with IEPs enrolled in school, not in these three disability categories and they or their parents attended the IEP meeting were also included in the study. These other disability categories were: deaf-blindness, emotional disturbance, hearing impairment, orthopedic impairment, other health impairment, specific learning disabilities, speech or language impairment, traumatic brain injury, and visual impairment. Only students who completed the NLTS 2012 survey by themselves had responses for post-school expectations included in the NLTS 2012 dataset.

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the sample. The overall sample included 2,280 enrolled youth with IDD (ASD: $n = 710$; weight % = 30.9%; ID: $n = 890$, weighted % = 54.2%; and MD: $n = 670$, weighted % = 14.9%) and 4,030 enrolled youth with other disabilities. Significant group differences were found for age ($p < .001$), EL status ($p < .05$), youth's education history (ever held back a grade: $p < .05$, ever expelled from school: $p < .001$, and ever had out-of-school suspension: $p < .001$), youth functional ability and daily living index scores ($p < .001$), and parent involvement at home ($p < .001$). The two groups were similar in racial/ethnic composition. The unweighted sample size reported in this study was rounded to the nearest 10 because of the Institute of Education Science (IES) data reporting requirement for using restricted data sets. In total, data were available for 329,094 weighted enrolled youth with IDD and 1,216,088 weighted youth with other disabilities.

Measures

Based on previous findings in the literature, and variables available in the NLTS 2012 dataset, we used data on youth goal aspirations and parent expectations, youth demographics and characteristics, and family-related measures.

Youth Future Goal Aspirations and Parent Expectations. Seven measures of post-school goal aspirations for youth and parents were included in this study: (a) PSE (student and parent surveys); (b) independent living (student and parent surveys); (c) financial independence (student and parent surveys); and (d) employment (student survey only). Responses to each of these four measures were coded into dichotomous variables (a) education beyond high school was coded as 1 = yes or 0 = no; (b) where youth would live when 30 years old was coded as 1 = yes, living independently, which was a combination of “*On your own – without friends or family,*” “*With friends,*” “*With a spouse or partner,*” or “*In military housing*”; all other responses were coded as 0, which included “*At home with parents,*” “*With a relative,*” “*In a group home, or With supervision,*” or “*In a large facility with paid staff*”; (c) financial independence by age 30 was coded as 1 = “*definitely will*” or “*probably will*” earn enough to support themselves without financial help from their family or government support program, or 0 = “*probably won’t*” or “*definitely won’t*”; and (d) paid job by age 30 (student only) was coded as 1 = “*definitely will*” or “*probably will*” and 0 = “*probably won’t*” and “*definitely won’t*.”

Student Demographics and Characteristics. Information on the demographics of youth was obtained from district records. The information included: IEP status, limited English proficient status, grade, age (in December 2011), gender, ethnicity (non-Black, any Black, Hispanic, and multi/other), and free/reduced lunch status. We used *youth functional abilities index* (range 0-3) to measure youth functional abilities and *youth daily living index* to measure the extent to which youth can complete typical teenage tasks independently (Burghardt et al.,

2017). Both indexes were derived from responses to the parent survey, with higher values representing greater abilities. Example components of the functional abilities index (parent report) included how well youth communicate by any means, speak clearly, carry on an oral conversation, and understand what others say to them. Examples of the daily living index included items regarding how well youth can do tasks without help such as using an ATM or cash machine, making appointments, and getting to places outside the home like school or park.

~~Student's Educational History.~~ Three items were selected from the parent survey to create measures of the student's educational history. They were: (a) ever held back a grade, (b) expelled from school, and (c) out-of-school suspension. Each was coded as a binary variable.

Family ~~Related Demographic~~ Measures. Four family ~~demographic~~ measures from the parent survey were included in our analyses – household income, parent education, parent involvement at home, and parent involvement at school. Because of small cell sizes in some of the original categories, these measures were recoded. Parents' reports of ~~ed~~ their most recent annual household income; ~~because of small samples in some of the original categories, responses~~ were recoded into four categories: less than \$20,000, \$20,001-\$40,000, \$40,010-\$60,000, and over \$60,000. Parents' reports of the *highest education level* for themselves and their spouse or partner were recoded into three categories (less than high school, high school or GED, and more than high school) because of small cell sizes.

~~Parent Involvement.~~ For *parent involvement at home*, parents were asked how often they spoke to the child about his or her school experiences (1 = *not at all* to 4 = *regularly*) and how often they helped their child with his or her homework (1 = *never* to 4 = *3 or more times a week*). A composite score was created by summing up the responses of these two questions to present parent involvement at home, with a score ranging from 2 to 8. For *parent involvement at school*, parents were asked how frequently in the current school year, they or another adult in the

household had attended a general school meeting (e.g., back to school night), attended a school or class event (e.g., a play or sports event), volunteered at school (e.g., chaperoned a class field trip), or gone to a parent/teacher conference with youth's teacher. The sum of responses (1 = 1-2 times to 4 = more than 5-6 times) to these four items was created to present the measure of parent involvement at school; scores ranged from 0 to 16 points, with higher values representing greater parental involvement.

Data Analysis

Statistics were weighted using youth enrolled weights to represent population estimates ~~because the sample only included enrolled students. Unweighted sample sizes and weighted percentages were presented for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities.~~ Chi-square tests of homogeneity were conducted to examine whether parent and youth expectations for post-school goals ~~for youth with IDD~~ were different ~~from the expectations for parents for youth with IDD~~ and youth with other disabilities. Chi-square tests were also conducted to examine parent-youth differences in post-school expectations.

~~The expectations for post-school goals were binary, so M~~multiple logistic regressions were conducted to explore the significant predictors of parent and youth expectations. Regression models estimated the adjusted effects of independent variables (gender, ethnicity, free/reduced lunch status, age, functional abilities index score, daily living index scores, student's school experiences of being held back a grade, being expelled from school, and having an out-of-school suspension, household income, parent highest education, and parent involvement at home and at school) on four indicators of youth future goal aspirations (PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment) and three indicators of parent expectations (PSE, independent living, and financial independence) for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities. ~~A correlation matrix was created to examine multicollinearity.~~

Results showed the correlation ranged from -.56 to .55 with low to medium correlation. No paired correlation exceeded the cutoff value 0.80 (Berry & Feldman, 1985), so no multicollinearity issue was detected.

Missing Data. Approximately 50% of weighted and unweighted data from NLTS 2012 youth survey were missing (Burghardt et al., 2017). The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) conducted nonresponse bias analyses and suggested that nonresponse adjustments to the weights succeeded in limiting the potential for bias (Burghardt et al.). Data were not missing at random, thus imputation was not performed. The missing rates were calculated for unweighted sample size, ranging from 37.9% to 38.5% for youth with IDD and 47.2% to 48.1% for youth with other disabilities across the four measures of *youth expectations*. Across the three measures of *parent expectations*, missing rates ranged from 2.5% to 14.0% for youth with IDD. Only 42.4% of the NLTS 2012 survey items were completed by youth themselves (Bloomenthal et al., 2017). SAS logistic regression procedures eliminate any cases with missing values on any variable included in the analyses. Therefore, the missing rate for each logistic regression model was only calculated for data with valid responses for each dependent variable. The weighted missing rates across logistic regression models ranged from 37.9% to 48.1% for youth with IDD and 36.6% to 45.9% for youth with other disabilities.

Results

Research Question 1: Parent and Youth Expectations

Table 2 presents the results of parent and youth expectations for each youth's post-school goals. Compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with IDD had lower future goal expectations in all four areas: obtaining PSE ($\chi^2 = 75.9, p < .001$), independent living ($\chi^2 = 101.7, p < .001$), financial independence ($\chi^2 = 39.0, p < .001$), and employment ($\chi^2 = 19.0, p < .001$). Similar results were found for parent expectations, with parents of youth with IDD showing

lower expectations for obtaining PSE ($\chi^2 = 170.6, p < .001$), independent living ($\chi^2 = 298.3, p < .001$), and financial independence ($\chi^2 = 246.4, p < .001$), compared to parents of youth with other disabilities.

Research Question 2: Differences in Parent and Youth Expectations for Post-school Goals

Compared to youth expectations for their post-school goals, parents had significantly lower expectations for all three post-school goals for youth with IDD (PSE: $\chi^2 = 26.5, p < .001$, living independently: $\chi^2 = 37.8, p < .001$, and financial independence: $\chi^2 = 66.2, p < .001$). Similar results were found for youth with other disabilities (PSE: $\chi^2 = 20.8, p < .001$ and financial independence: $\chi^2 = 6.3, p < .05$).

Research Question 3: Predictors of Youth and Parent Post-school Expectations

Full model logistic regression analyses for youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities were conducted to explore significant predictors of youth's expectations toward themselves in the areas of PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment (see Table 3) and parent expectations for youth post-school goals (PSE, independent living, and financial independence; see Table 4).

Youth Expectations

Youth with IDD. Compared to male youth with IDD, female youth with IDD were more likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 1.49, p < .05$). Functional abilities index score was a positive predictor for youth expectations toward financial independence ($p < .01$) and employment ($p < .01$). Furthermore, the daily living index score was found to be a significant predictor of all dependent variables except for the employment area.

Youth with Other Disabilities. For youth with other disabilities, functional abilities index score was positively associated with expectations for independent living ($p < .001$); financial independence ($p < .01$), and employment ($p < .05$). Youth's daily living index score was

positively associated with the expectations toward PSE ($p < .01$) and financial independence ($p < .01$). Youth with other disabilities who were Hispanic were more likely than non-Black youth to expect to be living independently ($OR = 2.62, p < .05$), to be financially independent ($OR = 16.38, p < .05$), and to be employed ($OR > 999.99, p < .001$). Youth with other disabilities who were from multi- or other races were more likely than non-Black youth to expect to be employed ($OR > 999.99, p < .001$). Youth with other disabilities who were ELs were more likely to be financially independent ($OR = 9.51, p < .05$) by age 30 compared to youth with other disabilities who were non-ELs. Youth with other disabilities from families with more than \$60,000 annual household income were more than twice as likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.82, p < .001$) compared to youth with other disabilities from families with less than \$20,000 annual household income. Compared to youth whose parents had a high school diploma or GED, youth with other disabilities whose parents had more than a high school diploma were more likely to expect themselves to obtain PSE ($OR = 1.78, p < .01$); youth whose parents had less than a high school diploma were less likely to expect they would be financially independent by age 30 ($OR = 0.29, p < .01$). Furthermore, the student's expectation for living independently by age 30 was significantly associated with parent involvement at school ($p < .01$).

Parent Expectations

Youth with IDD. Compared to parents of non-Black students with IDD, parents of youth with IDD who were Hispanic were more than twice as likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.8, p < .01$) and parents of youth with IDD who were multi races or other were less likely to expect their child to live independently ($OR = 0.2, p < .01$). Compared to parents of youth who were not ELs, parents of youth with IDD who were ELs were more than twice as likely to expect their child to live independently ($OR = 2.1, p < .01$). Both functional abilities index and daily living index scores were positively associated with parents' post-school expectations for youth

with IDD. Age was significantly negatively associated with financial independence by age 30 ($p < .001$). Parents of older children had lower expectations for financial independence. Parents of youth with IDD who had an out-of-school suspension were less likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 0.63, p < .05$), compared to parents of youth with IDD who did not have an out-of-school suspension. Compared to parents of youth with IDD who had less than \$20,000 annual household income, parents of youth with IDD with \$20,000-\$40,000 annual household income were more likely to expect their child would obtain PSE ($OR = 1.66, p < .05$). Compared to parents of youth with IDD who had a high school diploma, parents of youth with IDD with more than a high school diploma were more likely to expect their child to obtain PSE ($OR = 2.29, p < .001$). Also, parent involvement at home was positively associated with the expectation the child would obtain PSE ($p < .05$).

Youth with Other Disabilities. Compared to parents of non-Black youth, parents of Black youth with other disabilities were more likely to expect their children to be financially independent by age 30 ($OR = 1.70, p < .05$). Compared to parents of youth with other disabilities who were non-ELs, parents of youth with other disabilities who were ELs were more than twice as likely to expect their child to be financially independent by age 30, ($OR = 2.18, p < .05$). For parents of youth with other disabilities, the student's functional abilities index score and daily living index score were positively associated with all three parent post-school expectations ($p < .001$). Parents were more likely to expect female students with other disabilities to live independently compared to male students with other disabilities ($p < .05$). Further, negative associations were found with age for living independently ($p < .01$) and financial independency ($p < .05$). Parents with an annual household income of more than \$60,000 had higher expectations for their child for PSE ($OR = 1.77, p < .05$) and living independently ($OR = 2.26, p < .01$) compared to parents with less than \$20,000 annual household income. Parents with more

than a high school diploma were more likely to report that their child would obtain PSE ($OR = 1.58, p < .01$) than parents with less than a high school diploma.

Discussion

Having high expectations about one's future is important for all youth, including youth with IDD (Southward & Kyzar, 2017). We analyzed NLTS 2012 data to explore student and parent expectations ~~on~~ for post-school goals (i.e., PSE, employment, independent living, and financial independence). We found that compared to youth with other disabilities, youth with IDD and their parents had much lower expectations across all four post-school goals.

~~Additionally, Y~~ youth with IDD, however, had much higher expectations for themselves compared to those reported by their parents. Finally, youth with IDD who had greater daily living skills tended to have higher expectations for PSE, independent living, and financial independence, but not for employment. The same pattern was also found for parent expectations.

Age was an important factor for parent expectations that their child would be financially independent, but not for obtaining PSE and being living independently. Parents had lower expectations when their children were older for both students with IDD and students with other disabilities. One possible explanation is that schools usually do not teach students about financial literacy, which can help lead toward financial independence (Carlson, 2022). Zhu et al. (2021) stated that financial literacy is a multicomponent construct that includes financial knowledge, attitude, behaviors, and well-being. They also noted that financial education does not have a significant effect on financial behavior in the short term. Thus, it is important to start financial education as early as possible, so students have time to apply financial knowledge in their real life. This will require a group effort by both school and family.

Differences in expectations ~~The contribution of by~~ race/ethnicity ~~or and~~ EL status ~~to the expectation of youth and parents was were~~ more variable. For example, race/ethnicity ~~did not affect~~

~~the~~was not associated with expectations of youth with IDD but ~~did affect~~was associated with the expectations of youth with other disabilities (e.g., being Hispanic, compared to being non-Black, was positively related to expectations for living independently, being financially independent, and being employed after high school). Doren et al (2012) in their analysis of NLTS-2 data found that parents' expectations differed based on race/ethnicity. Parents of African American adolescents with disabilities held significantly lower expectations than parents of Caucasian adolescents with disabilities for their adolescent graduating from high school. Further, no significant differences in parent expectations were found among the three major ethnic groups studied (Caucasian, African American, and Hispanic) for obtaining paid employment after high school. EL status was related only to the post-school goal of financial independence for youth with other disabilities. Wu and others (2021) examined NLTS 2012 data to explore ELs with disabilities and non-ELs with disabilities for four post-school goals: PSE, independent living, financial independence, and employment. They found that only the post-school goal of being financially independent was related to EL status. Our finding is consistent with the findings of Wu et al. for ELs with other disabilities. ~~EL status seems to place extra barriers on the expectations of these students for being financially independent. Achieving English proficiency might be a plus for these students to find a job after high and being able to become financially independent.~~

Our findings extend the current literature on this topic in several ways. First, we found that youth with IDD and their parents had lower expectations for youth post-school goals compared to those with other disabilities, especially in the area of PSE. Specifically, half of youth with IDD did not plan to pursue any PSE options, and over 60% of their parents also did not expect them to participate in any PSE or training. PSE is, however, viewed as one of the pathways to competitive integrated employment, higher-paying jobs, economic independence, and independent living for youth with IDD. Parents and youth should be made aware of PSE

options available and supported to consider education beyond high school during transition /IEP meetings. for youth with IDD, regardless of the level of severity of the disability.

Second, across post-school goals (i.e., obtaining PSE, living independently by age 30, and functionally self-supporting by age 30), youth with IDD reported a much more positive outlook on their future compared to their parents. Approximately, 90% of youth reported that they would have a job by age 30 whereas only 45% of parents expected their child to do so. One possible explanation is that service and support systems for individuals with IDD are complex and fragmented (Lee & Burke, 2020), and many parents are unfamiliar with these services (Schutz et al., 2021). Also, these services often have a long waitlist. For example, home and community-based services provide opportunities for individuals with IDD to receive services that support employment and independent living at home or community. Yet, across the nation, 36 states report 216,328 individuals living with a family are on a waitlist for services (Larson et al., 2017). All these factors may have contributed to a relatively negative outlook reported by parents of youth with IDD regarding future goals. Additionally, this finding may suggest a different set of variables contribute to youth and parent expectations, and future studies are needed to understand what malleable factors account for the gap between expectations reported by youth and their parents.

Third, across youth and parents' expectations for both youth with IDD and other disabilities, one consistent finding is that daily living skills (e.g., fixing breakfast or lunch, doing laundry, or buying things from stores) are positively linked-associated with ~~to~~ at least one of the post-school goals. This finding is not surprising given that self-care/independent living skills (e.g., making meals for oneself) have been identified as ~~thea~~ promising predictor of PSE and employment for transition-aged youth with disabilities (Mazzotti et al., 2021; Test et al., 2009).

This finding suggests the importance of incorporating teaching daily living skills within academics for adolescents with IDD, regardless of the severity of the disability.

Fourth, parent involvement, including at home and school, was not significantly associated with either student or parent expectations. Based on the previous literature examining parent involvement for students with IDD (Doren et al., 2012), we expected that parents who are more involved at home and school would have higher expectations for their child. One possible explanation is that items used in NLTS 2012 to assess parent involvement were about whether parents help with homework at home or attend school conferences. Although these forms of involvement are important, they may not be directly linked to the transition planning process. In the context of transition planning, parent involvement refers to the means by which parents have access to information about PSE and career options for their child's future, parents' participation in school or non-school sponsored transition planning activities as well as involvement in advocating and supporting organizations (Griffin et al., 2010). These forms of parent involvement may be more relevant to parents' expectations for their child's educational and career goals. Future research should investigate whether this is the case.

Another possible explanation of the positive outlook of students might be related to the difference between goal aspirations and expectations. Expectations concern what one will likely be able to accomplish given all existing resource and support limitations, whereas aspirations define things one really hopes to achieve despite potential barriers in the environment (Bouck et al., 2020). In the NLTS 2012 data, students were asked to assess “as things stand now, how far do you think you will get in school? or “how likely do you think you will get a paid job by the time you are 30 years old.” It is likely some students may not have recognized the subtle differences between the two and thus answered the questions in terms of their aspirations. Future studies may need to consider the relationship between aspirations and expectations; studies have shown a

positive association between educational expectations and occupational aspirations in individuals without disabilities (Beal & Crockett, 2013).

Limitations

Even though the NLTS 2012 dataset is a decade old, it is the only comprehensive nationally representative dataset that can provide important information for students with IDD.

Still, several limitations need to be acknowledged. First, NLTS 2012 codes for race/ethnicity limited the extent to which we could explore the contribution of this student characteristic to student and parent expectations. In the NLTS 2012 data set, race/ethnicity was coded as non-Black, any Black, Hispanic, multi- and other. These codes are not the same as those used in other data sets (Office of Management and Budget, 1995; Office of Minority Office, 2021), which typically include, for example, White, Black, Asian, American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders, and Multiracial. If we had had codes with greater differentiation, we would have been able to more explicitly examine the contribution of these variables to student and parent expectations.

A second limitation is that NLTS 2012 looked at youth and parent expectations at one time point. Thus, it is impossible to know the temporal relation between youth and parent expectations. It would have been ideal to have the data collected across multiple time points to address how consistent the expectations would be ~~different~~ across time points and change patterns of youth and parent expectations. It may be the case that parent expectations decrease as their child gets older. Another limitation of the data set is the lack of information on teacher expectations. Given that youth spend a considerable amount of time ~~spend~~ at school, teacher expectations may also play an important role in influencing youth and parent expectations.

Further, even though each disability category is unique, sample sizes in the NLTS 2012 dataset were too small to conduct the logistic regression models by disability categories. Also, this study

may not have included students with IDD who had more severe disabilities because students who did not completed the NLTS 2012 survey by themselves were excluded from responding the questions related to post-school expectations. Thus, the results should be interpreted with caution.

Third, this study used secondary data that had a significant level of missing data. Also, the variables included in this study were limited to those available in the NLTS 2012 dataset. For example, the NLTS 2012 surveys did not collect data on teachers' expectations for youth with disabilities. Research has shown that teacher expectations have an impact on youth's performance (Boer et al., 2018). Furthermore, the surveys lacked culturally responsive supports that may play an important role in post-school goal expectations for students from different cultural backgrounds.

Last, this study compared the results of students with IDD and students with other disabilities. IDD was the term used for students with AU, ID, and MD because they are often grouped in research because of their similar intellectual and behavioral characteristics. Disability category was not examined in this study. Wu et al. (2022) found that post-school goal expectations varied by disability category. Thus, findings from this study cannot be interpreted for students with specific disabilities. Future research should examine whether disability category alone with other factors might play an important role in the post-school goal expectations of both parent and youth with disabilities.

Implications

~~Although our findings from this study have important implications for the future of schooling for transition age youth with IDD, including youth from diverse backgrounds, an important aspect of improving the educational system for these students is to set up data collection systems that better enable us to study their educational experiences and outcomes. The~~

~~lack of data on students identified as having IDD, including those from diverse backgrounds, significantly limits what we can say about their expectations and those of their parents. Still, the data limitations we noted (e.g., insufficient sample sizes to examine students with IDD from diverse backgrounds) indicate a need for better data.~~ Data systems should be set up to identify these students, and over sample them to ensure adequate data to understand their educational experiences and outcomes.

Despite the data limitations, our findings do have implications for schools to better serve students from diverse racial/ethnic and language backgrounds who have IDD. For example, special educators who support these students need training (preservice and in-service) on how to integrate academic skills and functional skills in their instruction. This, of course, should occur before students are transition age. Although instruction should be integrated, daily living skills need to be addressed in the IEP, discussed during transition planning meetings if not resolved before the student is transition-aged, explicitly taught throughout the day (integrated with academics), and generalized across settings. Finally, few teachers may be familiar with long-term services related to employment and postsecondary education available to adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities. A comprehensive program that equips teachers with knowledge and skills in this area will increase teachers' capacity to provide education for parents to be a better advocate for students who are in transition to adult life.

The lower expectations of parents may indicate a need for special educators to provide relevant information and training for parents, so that parents are aware of resources available in the community. Due to extensive waiting lists for services in many states, consideration should also be given to beginning discussions with parents regarding the post-school services needs of their child much earlier than age 16 as now required by IDEA. Another way to increase parents' expectations is to consider programs that can increase expectations of students, teachers, and

their peers. Researchers have pointed out that student expectations are strongly predicted by what their parents expected of them in terms of postschool goals (Qian et al., in press), and the reverse may also be true. Finally, connecting parents with resources within the community and establishing parent support groups may enhance parents' expectations and expand their social network, which is related to career opportunities (Petner-Arrey et al., 2016). Studies showed that social support groups encourage parents' engagement and expectations (e.g., Harper et al., 2021; Schmid & Garrels, 2021) associated with student outcomes (Zhan 2006). Thus, it might be helpful to create a support group for students with IDD and their parents, so they can exchange their successful experiences, as well as increase their expectations and engagement through group discussions.

Our study also indicates that the predictors of post-school goals for youth with IDD and their parents are different from youth with other disabilities and their parents. For example, youth with IDD and their parents had lower expectations for youth' post-school education compared to those with other disabilities. Thus, schools may consider providing youth with IDD and their parents with different supports or services than other student groups to increase their expectations ~~on~~ for post-school goals. Further, the study found that overall, across disability groups, youth held higher expectations for post-secondary education, independent living, and financial independence than did their parents. The extent to which students and their parents have divergent goal expectations for a student's movement into independence has implications for the transition planning process. Schools need to address differences in goal expectations between students and parents to avoid disagreements and challenges that may occur in setting future transition goals. Doren et al. (2012) noted in their research on parent expectations that school personnel may need to help some parents disentangle their expectations for their child from those based on their own experiences by providing information and consultation on

supports, accommodations, and service available to support their child in achieving future goals they envision for themselves. Promoting high expectations for all students, including students with IDD and those from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds, is clearly a foundational goal for schools.

Further, providing all students with disabilities, including students with IDD and those from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds, opportunities for PSE and integrated competitive employment needs to be further emphasized. Significant strides have been made through research demonstrating the capacities of youth and young adults to successfully achieve these transition outcomes. We call for more schools to establish coordinated efforts and resources to improve the expectations from both parents and youth toward obtaining PSE and training and employment. A young person's future financial independence and opportunity to live independently and fully participate in their communities will not occur if high expectations are not shared by parents, students, and by school personnel.

References

- Agliata A. K., & Renk, K. (2009). College students' affective distress: The role of expectation discrepancies and communication. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 18, 396–411. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-008-9244-8>
- Beal & Crockett, 2013 Beal, S. J., & Crockett, L. J. (2013). Adolescents' occupational and educational goals: A test of reciprocal relations. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 34(5), 219-229.
- Berry, W. D. & Feldman, S. (1985). *Multiple regression in practice (Quantitative applications in the social sciences)*. SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Bloomenthal, A., Haimson, J., Lipscomb, S., Liu, A.Y., Potter, F., & Waits, T. (2017). *National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 restricted-use data file: Sampling and 2012-2013 survey data (NCEE 2017–4020)*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Blustein, C. L., Carter, E. W., & McMillan, E. D. (2016). The voices of parents: Post-high school expectations, priorities, and concerns for children with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *The Journal of Special Education*, 50(3) 164–177. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466916641381>
- Bouck, E. C., Long, H. M., & Costello, M. P. (2020). Parent and youth post-school expectations: Students with intellectual disability in rural schools. *Rural Special Education Quarterly*, 40(1), 14-23. <https://doi.org/10.1177/8756870520945572>.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (2020, February 26). *Persons with a disability: Labor force characteristics — 2019*. <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/disabl.pdf>
- Burghardt, J., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Lipscomb, S., Potter, F., Waits, T., & Wang, S. (2017). *National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 design documentation (NCEE 2017–4021)*.

U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

Carlson, J. M. (2022). *Financial literacy can help lead towards financial independence.*

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/juliacarlson/2022/04/28/financial-literacy-can-help-lead-towards-financial-independence/?sh=7418fbe777b9>

Carter, E. W., Austin, D., & Trainor, A. A. (2011). Factors associated with the early work experiences of adolescents with severe disabilities. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 49*(4), 233-247. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1934-9556-49.4.233>

Carter, E. W., Austin, D., & Trainor, A. A. (2012). Predictors of postschool employment outcomes for young adults with severe disabilities. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies, 23*, 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1044207311414680>

Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2022). *Signs and Symptoms of Autism Spectrum Disorder.*

Chen, J. L., Sung, C., & Pi, S. (2015). Vocational rehabilitation service patterns and outcomes for individuals with autism of different ages. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 45*(9), 3015-3029. DOI 10.1007/s10803-015-2465-y

Chiang, H. M., Cheung, Y. K., Hickson, L., Xiang, R., & Tsai, L. Y. (2012). Predictive factors of participation in postsecondary education for high school leavers with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 42*(5), 685–696. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-011-1297-7>

Colorado Department of Education (n.d.). *Autism spectrum disorder.*
<https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/sd-autism>

Dockery, A. M., Koshy, P., & Li, I. W. (2022). Parental expectations of children's higher education participation in Australia. *British Educational Research Journal*, 48, 617– 639. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3786>

Doren, B., Gau, J. M., & Lindstrom, L. E. (2012). The relationship between parent expectations and postschool outcomes of adolescents with disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 79(1), 7-23. <https://doi-org.ezp2.lib.umn.edu/10.1177/001440291207900101>

~~Dockery, A. M., Koshy, P., & Li, I. W. (2022). Parental expectations of children's higher education participation in Australia. *British Educational Research Journal*, 48, 617– 639. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3786>~~

–Fleming, A. R., & Fairweather, J. S. (2012). The Role of Postsecondary Education in the Path From High School to Work for Youth With Disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 55(2), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355211423303>

Griffin, M. M., McMillan, E. D., & Hodapp, R. M. (2010). Family perspectives on post-secondary education for students with intellectual disabilities. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, 45(3), 339-346. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23880108>

Haber, M. G., Mazzotti, V. L., Mustian, A. L., Rowe, D. A., Bartholomew, A. L., Test, D. W., & Fowler, C. H. (2016). What works, when, for whom, and with whom: A meta-analytic review of predictors of postsecondary success for students with disabilities. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(1), 123-162. DOI: 10.3102/0034654315583135

Harper, F. K., Rosenberg, J. M., Comperry, S., Howell, K., & Womble, S. (2021). #Mathathome during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Exploring and reimagining resources and social supports for parents. *Education Sciences*, 11(2), 60. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11020060>

Holmes, L.G., Kirby, A. V., Strassberg, D. S., & Hilme, M. B. (2018). Parent expectations and preparatory activities as adolescents with ASD transition to adulthood. *Journal of Autism and*

Developmental Disorders, 48(9), 2925-2937. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-018-3545-6>

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 (2004).

Johnson, D. R. (2005). Key provisions on transition: A comparison of IDEA 1997 and IDEA 2004. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 28(1), 60-63.

Jung, S., Ousley, C., & McNaughton, D. (2022). The effects of technology supports on community grocery shopping skills for students with intellectual and developmental disabilities: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 37(3), 351-362.

Kelley, K. R., & Prohn, S. M. (2019). Postsecondary and employment expectations of families and students with intellectual disability. *Journal of Inclusive Postsecondary Education*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.13021/jipe.2019.2455>

Kirby A. V. (2016). Parent expectations mediate outcomes for young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism Developmental Disorder*, 46, 1673-1655. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/s10803-015-2691-3>

Kirby A. V., Dell'armo, K., & Persch, A. C. (2019). Differences in youth and parent postsecondary expectations for youth with disabilities. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 51, 77-86. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JVR-191027>

Larson, S.A., Eschenbacher, H.J., Anderson, L.L., Taylor, B., Pettingell, S., Hewitt, A., Sowers, M., & Fay, M.L. (2017). In-home and residential long-term supports and services for persons with intellectual or developmental disabilities: Status and trends through 2014. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Institute on Community Integration.

Lee, C. E., & Burke, M. M. (2020). Future planning among families of individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities: A systematic review. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 17(2), 94-107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jppi.12324>

Lindsay S, Varahra A, Ahmed H, Abrahamson S, Pulver S, Primucci M, Wong K. (2022).

Exploring the relationships between race, ethnicity, and school and work outcomes among youth and young adults with disabilities: a scoping review. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 44(25), 8110-8129. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2021.2001056

Lipscomb, S., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Burghardt, J., Johnson, D.R., & Thurlow, M.L. (2017a).

Preparing for life after high school: The characteristics and experiences of youth in special education. Findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012. Volume 1: Comparisons with other youth: Full report (NCEE 2017-4016). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

Lipscomb, S., Haimson, J., Liu, A.Y., Burghardt, J., Johnson, D.R., & Thurlow, M.L. (2017b).

Preparing for life after high school: The characteristics and experiences of youth in special education. Findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012. Volume 2: Comparisons across disability groups: Full report (NCEE 2017-4018). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

Mazzotti, V. L., Rowe, D. A., Kwiatek, S., Voggt, A., Chang, W.-H., Fowler, C. H., Poppen, M.,

Sinclair, J., & Test, D. W. (2021). Secondary Transition Predictors of Postschool Success: An Update to the Research Base. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 44(1) 47–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2165143420959793>

National Center for Education Statistics (2021). *Students with disabilities*. National Center for

Education Statistics. Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/pdf/2021/cgg_508c.pdf

National Core Indicators (2021). Chart generator 2017-18. Human Services Research Institute

and the National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services.

Retrieved from <http://www.nationalcoreindicators.org/charts>. Office of Management and Budget (1995). *Standards for the classification of federal data on race and ethnicity*.

https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/omb/fedreg_race-ethnicity.

Office of Management and Budget (1995). *Standards for the classification of federal data on race and ethnicity*. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/omb/fedreg_race-ethnicity

Office of Minority Office (2021). *Explanation of data standards for race, ethnicity, sex, primary language, and disability*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

<https://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/omh/browse.aspx?lvl=3&lvlid=54#1>

Petner-Arrey, J., Howell-Moneta, A., & Lysaght, R. (2016). Facilitating employment opportunities for adults with intellectual and developmental disability through parents and social networks. *Disability and rehabilitation*, 38(8), 789-795.

Qian, X., Johnson, D. J., Wu, Y., LaVelle, J., Thurlow, M., Davenport, E. (2020). Parents' postsecondary education expectations for students with autism, intellectual disability, and multiple disabilities: Findings from NLTS 2012. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities*, 45(4), 256-270.

Qian, X., Lee, S., Wu, Y., & Johnson, D. (in press). Expectations of transition-age students with autism spectrum disorder toward postsecondary education. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*.

Roux, A. M., Rast, J. E., Garfield, T., Anderson, K. A., & Shattuck, P. T. (2020). Prevalence and correlates of work experiences among high school students on the autism spectrum. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 58(4), 273–287.

Schmid, E., & Garrels, V. (2021). Parental involvement and educational success among vulnerable students in vocational education and training. *Educational Research*, 63(4), 456-473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2021.1988672>

- Schutz, M. A., Awsumb, J. M., Carter, E. W., & McMillian, E. D. (2021). Parent perspectives on pre-employment transition services for youth with disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355221993542>
- Shattuck, P. , Narendorf, S. C., Cooper, B., Sterzing, P. R., Wagner, M., & Taylor, J. L. (2012). Postsecondary education and employment among youth with an autism spectrum disorder. *Pediatrics*, 129(6), 1042-1049. <https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1542/peds.2011-2864>
- Southward, J. D., & Kyzar, K. (2017). Predictors of competitive employment for students with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, 52(1), 26-37.doi: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26420373>
- Srivastava & Schwartz (2014). Intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorders: Causal genes and molecular mechanisms. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 46(2).161-174.
- Talapatra, D., & Snider, L. A. (2022). Partnering for success: Leveraging legislation for Transitioning students with intellectual disabilities. *Contemporary School Psychology*, 26(3), 309-320.
- Test, D. W., Mason, C., Hughes, C., Konrad, M., Neale, M., & Wood, W. M. (2004). Student involvement in individualized education program meetings. *Exceptional Children*, 70, 391–412. <https://doi-org.ezp2.lib.umn.edu/10.1177/001440290407000401>
- Test, D. W., Mazzotti, V. L., Mustian, A. L., Fowler, C. H., Kortering, L., & Kohler, P. (2009). Evidence-based secondary transition predictors for improving postschool outcomes for students with disabilities. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 32, 160–181. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885728809346960>
- Trainor, A. A., Newman, L., Garcia, E., Woodley, H. H., Traxler, R. E., & Deschene, D. N. (2019). Postsecondary education-focused transition planning experiences of English learners

with disabilities. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 42(1), 43-

55. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2165143418811830>

Travers, H. E., & Carter, E. W. (2022). A portrait of peers within peer-mediated interventions: A literature review. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 37(2), 71-82.

Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. R. (2021). A national view of transition planning participation and post-school goals for English learners with disabilities. *Exceptionality*, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09362835.2021.1938060>

Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. (2022a). Parent and Youth Expectations on Post-school Outcomes for Youth with Disabilities [Paper presentation]. Annual Conference of National Council on Measurement in Education, San Diego, CA, United States.

Wu, Y.-C., Thurlow, M. L., & Johnson, D. (2022b). Parent's post-school goal expectations for English learners with disabilities. *Exceptionality*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09362835.2022.2156868>

Zhan, M. (2006). Assets, parental expectations and involvement, and children's educational performance. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 28(8), 961-975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2005.10.008>

Zhang, Y., Haddad, E., Torres, B., & Chen, C. (2011). The reciprocal relationships among parents' expectations, adolescents' expectations, and adolescents' achievement: A two-wave longitudinal analysis of the NELS data. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 40, 479-489. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-010-9568-8>

Zhu, A. Y. F., Yu, C. W. M., & Chou, K. L. (2021). Improving financial literacy in secondary school students: An randomized experiment. *Youth & Society*, 53(4), 539-562. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118X19851311>

Table 1*Demographic Information for youth with IDD and youth with Other Disabilities*

Characteristics	Youth with IDD (n ^a = 2,280)		Youth with other disabilities (n ^a = 4,030)	
	n ^a	% ^b	n ^a	% ^b
Gender				
Male	1,500	66.0	2,580	67.6
Female	770	34.0	1,430	32.4
Race				
Non-Black	1,530	67.7	2,630	67.0
Any Black	500	23.5	880	22.3
Multi / Other	40	1.8	110	2.8
Hispanic	140	7.0	270	7.9
Free/Reduced Lunch				
No	850	44.4	1,370	41.9
Yes	960	55.6	1,920	58.1
English learner status (F = 5.14 [*])				
No	1,890	94.4	3,320	91.4
Yes	110	5.6	310	8.6
Ever held back a grade (F = 6.36 [*])				
No	1,500	64.5	2,510	60.5
Yes	750	35.5	1,490	39.5
Ever expelled from school (F = 16.90 ^{***})				

No	2,150	94.8	3,610	90.7
Yes	120	5.2	400	9.3
Ever had out-of-school suspension (F = 36.44 ^{***})				
No	1,810	77.5	2,700	66.5
Yes	460	22.5	1,320	33.5
Household income				
\$20,000 or less	180	29.4	1,050	27.6
\$20,001 to \$40,000	580	25.2	960	26.0
\$40,001 to \$60,000	510	15.4	590	16.0
Over \$60,000	510	30.1	1,150	30.4
Parent highest education level				
Less than high school	280	14.0	540	14.5
High school diploma or GED	840	39.7	1,490	38.2
More than high school	1,100	46.3	1,920	47.3
Variables	<i>n^a</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n^a</i>	<i>M</i>
Age	2,280	16.2	4,030	15.5
Parent involvement at home	2,270	6.2	4,020	6.4
Parent involvement at home	2,280	4.3	4,020	4.5
Functional index score (F = 449.37 ^{***})	2,220	2.4	3,970	2.8
Daily living index score (F = 513.88 ^{***})	2,160	1.0	3,810	1.7

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

^b Weighted %

Table 2*Results of Descriptive Analysis for Youth and Parents' Responses to Future Goal Aspirations*

Items	Youth with IDD		Youth with other disabilities	
	Weighted %	n ^a	Weighted %	n ^a
Youth future goal aspirations				
Obtaining post-secondary education	58.3	700	79.1***	2,260
Living independently by age 30	75.3	860	92.7***	2,720
Financially self-supporting by age 30	81.9	600	95.5***	1,620
Have a job by age 30	90.2	640	97.9***	1,280
Parent expectations				
Obtaining post-secondary education	36.9	810	66.4***	2,480
Living independently by age 30	44.2	870	85.4***	3,030
Financially self-supporting by age 30	44.6	910	85.6***	3,060

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

Note. Comparisons under the youth with other disabilities are between youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities.

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

*** $p < .001$.

Table 3*Summary of Logistic Regression Results for Student Expectations for Post-school Goals*

Predictors	Postsecondary Education	Living Independently	Financial Independence	Employment
	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]
Youth with IDD				
Functional index score			3.32** (4.18) [1.6, 11.2]	3.46** (4.61) [1.5, 14.4]
Daily living index score	3.82*** (2.22) [1.6, 3.0]	4.09*** (2.36) [1.6, 3.5]	3.11* (1.93) [1.2, 3.2]	
Gender (reference: Male)	1.39* (1.49) [1.0, 2.2]			
R ²	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.09
n ^a (weighted n)	720 (124,927)	720 (124,614)	450 (76,061)	440 (72,897)
Youth with Other Disabilities				
Race (reference: Non-Black)				
Hispanic		2.72* (2.62) [1.1, 6.2]	8.38* (16.38) [1.6, 172.6]	34.50*** (+) [+, +]
Multi / Other				19.35*** (+) [+, +]

English learner status (reference:			5.98* (9.51) [1.7, 53.8]	
No)				
Functional index score		3.9*** (3.90) [2.0, 7.5]	3.55** (3.62) [1.4, 9.2]	5.47* (6.69) [1.6, 28.5]
Daily living index score	2.48** (1.41) [1.1, 1.8]		5.72** (2.3) [1.3, 3.9]	
Household income (reference: <=20K)				
Over \$60,000	5.18*** (2.82) [1.5, 5.2]			
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)				
Less than high school			-4.45* (0.29) [0.1, 0.8]	
More than high School	308** (1.78) [1.2, 2.6]			
Parent involvement at school		5.63** (1.17) [1.1, 1.3]		
R ²	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.03
n ^a (weighted n)	1,870 (711,467)	1,890 (717,128)	1,080 (400,038)	823 (289,020)

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.

+: >999.999

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Table 4*Summary of Logistic Regression Results for Parent Expectations for Post-school Goals*

Predictors	Postsecondary Education	Living Independently	Financial Independence
	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]	β (OR) [95%CI]
Parents of Youth with IDD			
Race (reference: Non-Black)			
Hispanic	1.94** (2.83) [1.5, 5.4]		
Multi / Other		-1.66** (0.20) [0.1, 0.6]	
English learner status (reference: No)		1.20* (2.12) [1.0, 4.4]	
Age			-2.94*** (0.79) [0.7, 0.9]
Functional index score	4.56*** (4.32) [2.7, 7.0]		5.38*** (5.52) [2.9, 10.4]
Daily living index score	3.06*** (1.84) [1.4, 2.5]	9.22*** (6.06) [4.1, 8.9]	7.01*** (3.93) [2.8, 5.5]
Out-of-school suspension (reference: No)	-1.33* (0.63) [0.4, 1.0 ^c]		
Household income (reference: <= 20K)			
\$20,001 to \$40,000	1.53* (1.66) [1.1, 2.6]		
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)			
More than high school	2.93*** (2.29) [1.5, 3.4]		
Parent involvement at home	1.51* (1.16) [1.0 ^d , 1.3]		

POST-SCHOOL GOAL EXPECTATIONS

40

R ²	0.18	0.35	0.33
n ^a (weighted n)	1,160 (190,315)	1,140 (187,799)	1,150 (190,263)
Parent of Youth with Other Disabilities			
Gender (reference: Male)		2.18* (1.56) [1.0 ^d , 2.4]	
Race (reference: Non-Black)			
Any Black			2.30* (1.70) [1.1, 2.7]
English learner status			2.06* (2.18) [1.0 ^d , 4.7]
Age		-2.94** (0.80) [0.7, 0.9]	-2.12* (0.85) [0.7, 1.0 ^c]
Functional index score	3.33*** (2.91) [1.8, 4.6]	5.03*** (5.05) [2.9, 8.8]	7.31*** (10.39) [6.0, 17.9]
Daily living index score	3.73*** (1.68) [1.3, 2.1]	9.38*** (3.67) [2.4, 5.5]	9.84*** (3.95) [2.6, 6.0]
Household income (reference: ≤ 20K)			
Over \$60,000	2.79* (1.77) [1.1, 3.0]	3.99** (2.26) [1.3, 4.1]	
Parent highest education (reference: High school or GED)			
More than high school	2.38** (1.58) [1.1, 2.2]		
R ²	0.12	0.16	0.17
n ^a (weighted n)	2,110 (764,899)	2,100 (762,125)	2,120 (762,296)

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012).

^a Unweighted sample sizes weighted to nearest 10.^c the actual *OR* value < 1.0.^d the actual *OR* value > 1.0.* *p* < .05. ** *p* < .01. *** *p* < .001.

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Responses to Reviewers

We would like to thank the reviewers for their detailed review of our manuscript entitled, "Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities" (No. AJIDD-D-22-00061). We have carefully considered all comments from the individual reviewers. We believe we have addressed each reviewer's concerns in the revised manuscript. A summary of the revisions we made to address reviewers' comments is provided here, with reviewers' comments in *italics*, followed by our responses.

Reviewer 1 stated: "Literature in general education and other fields distinguish between expectations and aspirations. I wonder if this would be helpful for the authors to bring into their Discussion?"

We added a paragraph to the Discussion to recognize this distinction and the role it might have played in responses (p. 19).

Reviewer 1 also stated: "How do we encourage higher expectations for both parents and students (either independently or in tandem)? I would have liked more specificity around this issue. What do we know works from other areas in terms of supporting higher expectations for other populations (e.g., greater exposure to possibilities, greater awareness of supports that can help with achieving goals, representation issues)? What would such efforts require and look like for students with IDD & their families?"

Several ideas were added on how to encourage higher expectations (pp. 21-22).

Reviewer 1 noted "One minor writing error (p. 18): Significant strides have been made through research demonstrating the capacities of youth and young adults to successful[ly] achieve these transition outcomes."

We corrected this error (p. 23).

Reviewer 2 stated "Overall the study primarily replicates, rather than extends, a number of other studies addressing expectations. It is limited to describing these expectations (and associated variables) rather than understanding their formation and impact. And the primarily data source is nearly a decade old."

We added text to the introduction (pp. 5-6) to highlight the significance of this study and to show how it is not just a replication. Even though NLTS 2012 data were collected 10 years ago, we found no studies that addressed post-school expectations for students with IDD.

Reviewer 2 asked that we address why expectations would likely be different between youth with IDD and youth with other disabilities, as well as between youth and their parents.

We added text to the introduction to show that expectations vary for different student groups, as well as showing differences between parents and youth (pp. 3-4).

Reviewer 2 asked for a rationale for (and brief review of) the large assortment of predictor variables addressed in Q3.

We added a subheading to indicate the text that identifies studies that provide a rationale for the predictors addressed in RQ3 (p. 2).

Reviewer 2 asked that we add several relevant studies

In addition to the articles indicated by Reviewer 2, we also added several relevant studies in the introduction (pp.3-6) and discussion sections (pp.16, and 19-22). Citations for all added studies were added to the reference section (pp. 24-31).

Reviewer 2 asked for our hypotheses

Hypotheses were added to the introduction section (p. 6).

Reviewer 2 asked for information about how expectations were obtained from youth with IDD

We added information to the Method section to clarify that only those students who completed the survey by themselves were included (p. 8). We also added texts in the limitation section to state the study may not have included students with IDD who had more severe disabilities.

Reviewer 2 asked that we address the issue of age.

As seen in Table 1, age did not differ by group (students with IDD vs. students with other disabilities). Also, there were approximately 6.2% of students with other disabilities in ages 14-22. We agree ***“that the ability of younger students (ages 14-15) to know and express their aspirations would be quite different from the ability of older students (ages 18-22).”*** This study included age in the logistic regression model and found age was a significant factor for the parent expectation that their child would be financially independent; it was not a factor for the other two post-school goals. We added text to the Discussion to address the age issue (see p.16).

Reviewer 2 asked what the definition of “intellectual and developmental disabilities” was for this study.

We added text to the Method section (p. 8) to clarify the definitions were based on IDEA and other researchers. Also, the sample size was too small to run the logistic regression model by disability category; we mention this in the Limitations section (p. 20).

Reviewer 2 stated: “Although your data don't speak to this, it would be good to address the probable sources of parent and youth expectations, as well as the ways they are formed and enacted.”

Although we do not have data to speak this, we added text in the Implications section to note some possibilities (pp. 21-22).

Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

Responses to Reviewers

We would like to thank the reviewers for their detailed review of our manuscript entitled, "Post-school Goal Expectations for Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities" (No. AJIDD-D-22-00061R1). We have carefully considered all comments from the individual reviewers. We believe we have addressed each reviewer's concerns in the revised manuscript. A summary of the revisions we made to address reviewers' comments is provided here, with reviewers' comments in *italics*, followed by our responses.

Reviewer 3 stated: "Introduction: I'd recommend that the authors attempt to make the overall structure of the introduction more streamlined and cohesive. I'd also recommend that the authors attempt to more succinctly summarize the current literature base within the individual paragraphs comprising the introduction. I'd recommend that authors move away from listing and move towards cohesively summarizing the field's current understandings."

We revised the introduction to address the three recommendations for this section. (pp. 1-7).

Reviewer 3 also stated: "Methods:.... I'd recommend the authors revise the methods section to include justifications for their choices and decisions. It will lead the reader to feeling more comfortable and accepting of the study's findings."

We added text to the method section (p. 10 and p.12) and revised existing text (p.11).

Reviewer 3 noted "Implications: For example, we know that few teachers are familiar with long-term services and supports available to adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities. So, before teachers can educate families, they themselves will need to be educated. I'd encourage the authors to review their suggestions and implications and to consider broader factors that are at play and that may need to be considered in future research."

We added text to the implication section to respond to this recommendation. (p. 23).

Reviewer 3 also noted "General Writing: ... I'd encourage the authors to revise the manuscript for increased clarity. ... In particular, I'd encourage the authors to address run-on sentences."

We revised text throughout the manuscript to address this recommendation (e.g., page 8 / Sampling Section/ Paragraph 1/ Sentence 1.).

Reviewer 4 stated "the authors describe why (citing other research) they created the "IDD" category - however the limitations of this should be acknowledged as well as further justification

of factors beyond educational classification that created shared experiences for the youth in the groups created (IDD and non-IDD). ”

We added text to the limitation section (p. 22).

Reviewer 4 noted “the research questions could be revised to more clearly detail the comparisons undertaken.”

We revised research questions 1 and 2 (p. 7).

Reviewer 4 stated “the interaction of the variables included in the analyses should also be further explored... issues related to intersectionality, bias, and lack of culturally responsive supports for family and youth involvement..... should be highlight in the Discussion and contextualizing the limitations of using secondary data and identifying factors that influence expectations.

We added text in the method section (pp. 12-13) and the discussion to address this comment (pp. 21-22).

Reviewer 4 stated “the predictors literature is mentioned in the Introduction, but further justification for not looking at other variables in the NLTS2012 dataset that also are predictors of outcomes and expectations.”

We added text to the measure section to address this comment (p. 10).